



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Automation in Construction

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/autcon

1 Architecture, computing, and design assistance

2
Q13 1. Spatial design for architecture

4 Design is one of the most complex of human endeavours requiring
5 an enormous number of often conflicting criteria to be contemplated
6 when identifying optimal solutions. Design is constrained by guidelines,
7 codes, and standards applicable to the specific cultural and locational
8 context that the design will be sited. Furthermore, a design has to be
9 created within a collaborative team consisting of many professionals
10 focused on specific subsystems and expert preferences that provide
11 unique **functionality** to the overall design.

12 *Architecture Design* is a specific form of design concerned with the
13 function-driven structuring of *empty space* – within architecture design,
14 the majority of the considerations of *structural form* and resulting
15 (*mal*)*function* are inherently spatial by nature. To manage the space of
16 potential solutions a designer relies upon extensive training and experience
17 in order to identify, manage, and resolve conflicting design
18 criteria. Alongside expert ability and personal ingenuity, designers are
19 supported by analytical and simulation tools, and rules of thumb
20 which are based upon the precise physical properties of a design. The
21 input and results of these tools and rules of thumb are almost exclusively
22 quantitative, typically based on simulations of fundamental physical
23 properties of a design. Few of these tools are focused on spatial design
24 considerations supporting qualitative analysis within the *design space*,
25 which is a style of analysis more closely aligned with the designer's
26 mental model. *Next-generation design systems* will rely on representation
27 and computational foundations that allow this form of design assistance
28 to be created, and to build upon the traditional quantitative
29 analytical support offered to designers.

30 In recent years, the field of *Construction Informatics* has developed as
31 an applied science that studies the application of computer science
32 methods and techniques for supporting the design, engineering,
33 and construction of building facilities [24,19,17]. This includes 'hard
34 computing' methods for solving numerical problems related to the
35 simulation and analysis of physical phenomena, but effort has also
36 been devoted to knowledge-centred approaches required to enable
37 the representation, processing and exchange of design knowledge.
38 Consequently, the application of *formal knowledge representation and*
39 *reasoning* methods is emerging as a major field of study in Construction
40 Informatics.

41 *Building Information Modelling (BIM)* as a sub-discipline of construction
42 informatics has received increasing interest in both industry
43 and academic communities over the last decades. The basic notion of
44 BIM is an object-oriented approach to structure and share information
45 generated for building and construction projects by a multitude of
46 stakeholders covering its whole lifecycle. Being one among many
47 aspects of BIM, a variety of geometric and topological information
48 have always played a **vital** and integral role in the description of engineering
49 artefacts. The increasing use and capability of software tools
50 involved in the creation and processing of such spatial information

has also led to elevated levels of complexity that spurred a need to 51
structure, query and reason about multiple *spatial representations* of 52
buildings and their components in new ways [4,8,10]. 53

In order to facilitate interoperability among the heterogeneous 54
domain-specific BIM tools used in a construction project, a vendor- 55
neutral information exchange format is needed to allow uniform data 56
storage instead of one-to-one mappings between individual applica- 57
tions. The *Industry Foundation Classes (IFC)* model, which has evolved 58
from the broader Standard for the Exchange of Product data (STEP) 59
initiative is fulfilling this role since its inception in the late 1990s. The 60
models' capability to capture a wide range of different geometric and 61
topologic representations has also made it a promising candidate as a 62
native information model for spatial design support tools, for instance, 63
as is also reflected by a number of contributions in this special issue. 64

2. Next-generation architecture design systems 65

Contemporary architecture design processes and tools regard even- 66
tual design products as isolated '*frozen moments of perfection*'.¹ Even 67
within state-of-the-art design tools, aspects such as commonsense, 68
semantics, structure, function, behaviour, people-centred design – 69
concepts that are implicitly known to designers – are yet to come to 70
the fore. 71

Next-generation people-centred design systems, frameworks, assis- 72
tive tools, educational aids, and design policies necessitate foundational 73
abstraction and computational building blocks where the modalities 74
of human perception, action, environmental experience, and design 75
conception and semantics are central. Research in this context ad- 76
dresses the following questions [6,7]: 77

- Contemporary Computer-Aided Architecture Design (CAAD) tools 78
provide robust geometric modelling methods; how can the future 79
evolution of design computing bring notions of design semantics, 80
structure, function, and people-centred design to the fore at an 81
ontological, representational and computational level? 82
- What is the role of specialised forms of visual-spatial perception, 83
abstraction, and commonsense spatial reasoning, within the broader 84
realm of design computing, spatial design assistance, and tools for 85
design learning and education? 86
- What is the nature and form of the assistive design feedback that de- 87
signers and planners expect during the early design conception and 88
iterative refinement phase? What are the implications of this from 89
the viewpoint of the usability, interface, and interaction design 90
aspects of spatial design (assistance) systems? 91

Research activities in the field of spatial cognition for architectural 92
design are developing the cognitively driven foundational spatial 93

¹ This is an expression that occurs in a related context in the book 'Eating Architec-
ture' (Pg. 12), ed. Jamie Horwitz, Paulette Singley, MIT Press (2004).

informatics for people-centred architectural design systems [6]. The emphasis here is to develop human-centred models of abstraction, modelling, and computing for function-driven architectural design assistance [7]. The overall objective is to identify the manner in which interdisciplinary application of knowledge from computer science, cognitive science, environmental psychology, and architectural design may provide real benefit for the theory and professional practice of architecture, and eventually, tangible benefit for the quality of everyday personal life and work.

3. Architectural computing and artificial intelligence

The significance and the paradigmatic relevance of Artificial Intelligence in Modern Design are intertwined with Herbert Simon's original articulation of the *Science of Design* [23], and with Simon's interpretation of design as a "decision-making process under constraints of physics, logic, and cognition" [2]. This view of the scientific design process underlies much of what artificial intelligence has to offer by way of its formal representational and computational apparatus to the domain of design computing. From a topical viewpoint, the knowledge representation and reasoning area within artificial intelligence have been the cornerstone of most formal AI inroads in so far as problem-solving for design is concerned. In the last two decades, several interdisciplinary initiatives comprising of computer scientists, engineers, and designers have addressed the application of artificial intelligence techniques for solving problems that accrue at several stages of the design process: design conceptualisation, functionality specification, geometric modelling, structural consistency and code-checking, optimisation, collaborative (design) workflow management, design creativity, and a plethora of other issues.^{2,3}

Analytical computing for spatial design, with its focus on spatial and semantic reasoning capability in design, is characterised in two ways: firstly, by the scientific questions that it must address from a representational and computational viewpoint and their relationships to the domain of artificial intelligence and design in general, and secondly, by the outcomes that a paradigm such as this is expected to produce. Specifically:

- the body of work that is concerned with the use of formal methods in knowledge representation and reasoning in general, and conceptual, geometric, qualitative spatial representation and reasoning in specific, for solving problems in modelling (e.g., spatial semantics, modularity, requirement constraints) and validation (e.g., diagnosis, hypothetical reasoning) in the domain of spatial design
- the body of work whose aim is to develop the generic apparatus – application framework, methodology, tool-sets – that may be used as a basis of providing people-centred design computing capability and assistive design support within a conventional CAAD-based spatial design and iterative refinement workflow.

The kinds of fundamental reasoning tasks that may be identified within the purview of spatial computing spans a wide spectrum, e.g., including reasoning patterns such as spatial property projection, spatial simulation, spatial planning (e.g., for configuration problems), explanations with spatial information (i.e., causal explanation, hypothetical reasoning) to name a few. Both within and beyond the range of domains identified here, these are inference problems that involve an inherent interaction between space, actions, events, and spatial

² The journal "Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing" completed two decades of publishing in 2007 and its anniversary publication is a good overview of the area [12,15]. A sketch of '40 years of design research' is available in [3]. The collected works of [1,11,13,14,16,18,20] are a rich source of reference and contextualisation.

³ The select works of the editors summarised in this article, e.g., [4,5,8–10,21,22], address many of these research topics more directly in the context of the questions that we raise in this special issue.

change with the backdrop of domain-specific knowledge and commonsense knowledge about the world.

4. Assistive technology for design

Assistive Technology supporting planners, architects, and engineers in the design process consists of frameworks, toolsets, and specialised software applications that are able to check a concrete building design with respect to requirements and conditions. Significant scientific results have been achieved for formalising and checking rules which are based on a comparison of alphanumeric values of individual attributes, such as the thickness of a house's outer walls or a slab's thickness. However, the possibility to define and check rules that comprise conceptual design specifications, e.g., including qualitative spatial relationships between building components (e.g., topological and directional relationships), has been relatively recently investigated only by a few researchers. Assistive technology based on aspects such as *geometric and qualitative spatial representation and reasoning, conceptual reasoning, and non-standard complex data visualisation* techniques can help to facilitate the design task and support the designing architects and engineers. There are a number of important applications of spatial inference techniques in the context of building design and engineering:

- Design intent – assists the designer throughout the design task by recording and evaluating spatial design intent. Typically, this will be in the form of qualitative expressions of design function, such as the expected impact or *user experience* of a space, subjective lighting influences, flows between spaces, ensuring navigation patterns such that people do not get lost etc.
- Conceptual consistency – supports the detection of contradictions between individual requirements and/or regulations, i.e. checking the consistency of the effective constraints. If there are contradictions between different spatial constraints, the solution space for a valid building design may be empty. This has to be detected before the architect or engineer starts trying to fix his design, complying with one rule and violating another in an endless loop.
- Design consistency – used to check a concrete building information model for compliance with the client's requirements or with certain regulations. The latter refers to the vision of Automated Code Checking, which refers to validation of a *building design* for compliance with regulations and building codes. More broadly, this vision is closely related to the concept of people-centred functional design.

Achieving capabilities such as above require suitable ways for encoding conceptual requirements, design regulations, and building codes in a computer-interpretable manner. This particularly applies to spatial conditions which can be found at *numerous places* in construction regulations, empirical evidence-based studies, design guidelines etc. While there have been a small number of implementations of code compliance checkers, these are typically for structural engineering oriented prescriptive *codes which* are well suited to computerisation. With a trend towards more semantically rich conceptually grounded functional codes, there needs to be a refocused effort towards encoding the functional specifications and providing specialised constraint solvers compatible with these specifications.

5. About the special issue

This special issue resulted from an interdisciplinary 'design meeting' held at *Schloss Etelsen* near the city of Bremen in Germany. Co-organised by the editors of this special issue, the meeting aimed at stimulating and facilitating an active exchange on interdisciplinary applications, ideas, approaches, and methods in the areas of:

- Design computing
- Design semantics
- Spatial cognition and computation

- 208 • Spatial representation and reasoning (e.g., geometric, qualitative,
209 visual and diagrammatic)
- 210 • Artificial intelligence for design
- 211 • Architecture and construction informatics
- 212 • Computer-aided architecture design (CAAD)
- 213 • Creative, functional, and people-centred design
- 214 • Assistive technologies for design
- 215 • Holistic spatial design.

216 We planned this meeting as a workshop in order to facilitate interac-
217 tion between research communities that are addressing similar prob-
218 lems, and pursuing similar goals, but from different perspectives, and
219 using diverse methods and approaches ranging from basic questions
220 in computer science, to applied informatics and engineering research.
221 The workshop solicited contributions from a range of disciplines and
222 qualifications encompassing:
223

- 224 • Computer science
- 225 • Mathematics
- 226 • Architecture and construction informatics
- 227 • Civil engineering
- 228 • Cognitive science, and spatial cognition
- 229 • Environmental psychology.

230 One crucial goal of the workshop, and this resulting special issue, has
231 been to identify interdisciplinary research synergies and collaborations
232 spanning basic theoretical as well as applied research faculties. Our
233 objective has been to inspire a direct interaction between the cognitive
234 and computational sciences, and to promote the development of com-
235 putation as a mechanism to materialise empirical results on design
236 performance and function.
237

238 6. Contributions in this issue

239 After two to three rounds of manuscript revisions under the review of
240 at least three reviewers for each contribution, five select publications
241 have been accepted for this issue. The theme of knowledge-centred
242 analytical and assistive technology, and spatial assistance systems for
243 space analysis clearly comes to the fore, and resonates across all contribu-
244 tions. In particular, the five selected articles develop methods concerning:

- 245 • Knowledge-based computational methods in spatial analysis
- 246 • Design support specialising on mobility assistance
- 247 • Graph-theoretic design quality analysis
- 248 • Safety checking of automated construction models in relation to
249 Building Information Models (BIM)
- 250 • Shape grammar based generation of parametric design systems.

251 Overall, the theme of “analytical design computing” and “spatial
252 design assistance” resonates across all contributions. The representa-
253 tional and computational basis of the methods adopted range from
254 semantic and knowledge-based specifications, to rule-based produc-
255 tion systems and graph-theoretic formalizations. A brief discussion
256 of the core aspects of the accepted contributions follow:
257

258 6.1. A knowledge-based framework for automated space-use analysis

259 6.1.1. Tae Wan Kim, Ram Rajagopal, Martin Fischer, Calvin Kam

260 Kim et al. propose a knowledge-based computational framework
261 for automated space-use assistance to enable analysers to predict
262 and update space utilisation whilst considering the three perspectives
263 of space, users, and their activities. According to Kim et al.:

264 “there is a need for a logical framework in which analysers can gather,
265 represent, and use the knowledge about users and spaces in support of
266 automated space-use analysis.”

“having a formal model that incorporates related information into
space use analysis process is important because this model provides
analysers with a consistent means of assessing and comparing archi-
tects’ decisions about space-use.”

Toward this, the authors operationalise concepts of *spatial com-
puting for design* from the viewpoint of iterative refinement of de-
signs, within a computational system for knowledge-based spatial
design analysis.

6.2. Intelligent mobile assistant for spatial design support

6.2.1. Janusz Bedkowski

Bedkowski proposes a specific type of spatial assistance system in-
volving semantic and qualitative spatial modelling and analyses for
the mobile or indoor navigation case. As summarised by the author:

“The main idea behind the assistant is to create a semantic model of
the environment and performing preliminary spatial reasoning to
provide cognitive feedback. The main goal is to support the designer
in his task by perceiving and evaluating spatial design intent.”

The work by Bedkowski directly builds on the paradigm of spatial
assistance systems, and reflects a close integration of concepts from
the field of qualitative spatial representation and reasoning.

6.3. Automatic design quality evaluation using graph similarity measures

6.3.1. Barbara Strug

The contribution by Strug further represents the topic of spatial
abstraction, iterative refinement, and design assistance. As Strug
elaborates:

“Of special importance in the computer-aided design domain is the
maintenance of spatial relations among different parts of the design.
To preserve these relations an adequate representation is needed that
could be used during both the design and evaluation process.”

Strug uses hierarchical graphs to represent qualitative spatial
relationships between building components and/or spaces. Strug
applies the concept of graph kernels for assessing the similarity be-
tween two different building designs in order to support evolutionary
design systems in finding good solutions. The proposed approach is
illustrated by experimental results obtained for the task of floor layout
design.

6.4. Build information modelling (BIM) and safety: automatic safety checking of construction models and schedules

6.4.1. Sijie Zhang, Jin-Kook Lee, Jochen Teizer, Charles Eastman

Zhang et al. analyse building information models with respect to
spatial properties and relationships to automatically detect safety
hazards and suggest preventive measures. A rule-based engine has
been implemented on top of a commercially available BIM platform
to show the feasibility of the approach. They state:

“From a safety management perspective, time and effort of safety
engineers can be saved through an automated safety code checking
and simulation tool that assists labor-intensive safety checking
tasks.”

As emphasised by Zhang et al., the developed automated safety
checking platform informs construction engineers and managers by
reporting, why, where, when, and what safety measures are needed.

323 6.5. *Building envelope shape design in early stages of the design process:*
324 *integrating architectural design systems and energy simulation*

325 6.5.1. *Vasco Granadeiro, Jose P Duarte, Joao R Correia, Vitor M. S Leal*
326 Granadeiro et al. focus on the design assistance systems involving
327 the integration of early stage building envelope design assistance
328 with advanced stage building simulation focussing on energy perfor-
329 mance of a design. The authors state:

330 “In the early design stages, when the envelope shape is defined, energy
331 performance information is normally nonexistent, due to modelling for
332 energy simulation being a time-consuming task...The methodology is
333 based on establishing a direct link between early design generation,
334 through a generative design system, and automated energy simulation.”

335 whereas Granadeiro et al. focus on energy aspects, the general line of
336 inquiry and their methods translate to other aspects of design perfor-
337 mance, and serve as a prototype for a general framework for design
338 performance optimisations.

339 7. Editorial postlude

340 The research initiatives that inspired the Design Meeting in Bremen,
341 and also the resulting special issue, have been driven by a shared belief
342 that architecture design systems need to take a big leap forward in their
343 fundamental tenets, moving toward:

344 – a shift from point, line, polygon driven design processes into
345 cognitively-driven computational modelling and reasoning about
346 design semantics, structure, and function.

347 As working exemplars broadly supporting this line of thinking, we
348 hope that readers will find the works described herein to be interest-
349 ing, and that the integrative efforts and the scientific agenda of this
350 issue will inspire other researchers to pursue projects and to further
351 develop people-centred *analytical design computing* as an interdis-
352 ciplinary and integrative interface for combining methods from com-
353 puter science, architecture, construction informatics, environmental
354 psychology, cognitive science, and spatial cognition and computation.

356 Acknowledgements

357 We acknowledge the support of the Journal of Automation in Con-
358 struction towards this special issue, right from the initial proposition
359 phase, through the editorial, and final production phases. We sincerely
360 thank Editor-in-Chief *Miroslaw Skibniewski* for his support and
361 co-ordination of the special issue. We acknowledge all reviewers who
362 contributed immensely with their timely reviews; we are grateful to
363 all of them for their service.

364 *Mehul Bhatt* acknowledges the funding by the Deutsche
365 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)/German Research Foundation in
366 the Transregional Collaborative Research Centre “*Spatial Cognition:*
367 *Reasoning, Action, Interaction*” (SFB/TR 8). Support of the SFB/TR 8 *Project*
368 *DesignSpace – Assistive Intelligence for Spatial Design* is gratefully
369 acknowledged.

370 *André Borrmann* acknowledges the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
371 (DFG) for supporting the research work carried out in the frame of the
372 projects “*A 3D spatial query language for digital building models*” and “*A*
373 *spatio-temporal query language for checking and validating 4D building*
374 *models*”.

375 References

376 [1] Ö. Akin, Architects' reasoning with structures and functions, *Environment and*
377 *Planning B: Planning and Design* 200 (3) (1993) 273–294.
452

- [2] C. Baldwin, Steps toward a science of design, NSF Principal Investigators Conference 378
on the Science of Design, 2007. 379
- [3] N. Bayazit, Investigating design: a review of forty years of design research, *Design* 380
Issues 200 (1) (2004). 381
- [4] M. Bhatt, J.H. Lee, C. Schultz, CLP(QS): a declarative spatial reasoning framework, 382
COSIT, 2011, pp. 210–230. 383
- [5] M. Bhatt, J. Hois, O. Kutz, Ontological Modelling of Form and Function in Architectural 384
Design, *Applied Ontology Journal* IOS Press, 2012, pp. 1–35, (pre-press: [http://](http://iospress.metapress.com/content/k1671w832553r045/)
385 iospress.metapress.com/content/k1671w832553r045/). 386
- [6] M. Bhatt, C. Schultz, C. Freksa. The ‘Space’ in Spatial Assistance Systems: Concep- 387 **Q2**
tion, Formalisation and Computation. In T. Tenbrink, J. Wiener, and C. Claramunt, 388
editors, *Representing space in cognition: Interrelations of behavior, language, and*
389 *formal models*. Series: *Explorations in Language and Space*. Oxford University
390 Press, in press. 391
- [7] M. Bhatt, C. Schultz, M. Huang, The shape of empty space: human-centred cogni- 392
tive foundations in computing for spatial design, VL/HCC – IEEE Symposium on
393 *Visual Languages and Human-Centric Computing*, 2012. 394
- [8] A. Borrmann, J. Beetz, Towards spatial reasoning on building information models, 395
Proc. of the 8th European Conference on Product and Process Modeling, Cork,
396 Ireland, 2010. 397
- [9] A. Borrmann, E. Rank, Specification and implementation of directional operators in a 398
3d spatial query language for building information models, *Advanced Engineering*
399 *Informatics* (ISSN: 1474-0346) 230 (1) (Jan. 2009) 32–44, [http://dx.doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2008.06.005)
400 [1016/j.aei.2008.06.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2008.06.005). 401
- [10] A. Borrmann, E. Rank, Topological analysis of 3d building models using a spatial 402
query language, *Advanced Engineering Informatics* (ISSN: 1474-0346) 230 (4)
403 (Oct. 2009) 370–385, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2009.06.001>. 404
- [11] D.C. Brown, Intelligent computer-aided design (1998 revised version), in: J.G. Williams, 405
K. Sochats (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Computer Science and Technology*, 1993. 406
- [12] D.C. Brown, AI EDAM at 20, *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and* 407
Manufacturing (ISSN: 0890-0604) 210 (1) (2007) 1–2. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0890060407070011)
408 [S0890060407070011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0890060407070011). 409
- [13] B. Chandrasekaran, Design problem solving: a task analysis, *AI Magazine* (ISSN: 410
0738-4602) 110 (4) (1990) 59–71. 411
- [14] J.S. Gero, Design prototypes: a knowledge representation schema for design, *AI* 412
Magazine 110 (4) (1990) 26–36. 413
- [15] J.S. Gero, AI EDAM at 20: artificial intelligence in designing, *Artificial Intelligence* 414
for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing 210 (1) (2007) 17–18. 415
- [16] J.S. Gero, K.W. Tham, H.S. Lee, Behavior: a link between function and structure in 416
design, in: D.C. Brown, M.B. Waldron, H. Yoshikawa (Eds.), *IntCAD*, volume B-4 of
417 *IFIP Transactions*, North-Holland, ISBN: 0-444-81560-0, 1991, pp. 193–225. 418
- [17] T. Hartmann, Advances in architectural, engineering and construction informatics, 419
Advanced Engineering Informatics 260 (4) (2012) 654–655. 420
- [18] J. Hirtz, R. Stone, D. McAdams, S. Szykman, K. Wood, A functional basis for engi- 421
neering design: reconciling and evolving previous efforts, *Research in Engineering*
422 *Design* 130 (2) (2002) 65–82. 423
- [19] W. Huhnt, Preface: special issue on construction informatics, *Advanced Engineering* 424
Informatics (ISSN: 1474-0346) 240 (4) (Nov. 2010) 377–378. 425
- [20] R. Krishnamurti, Explicit design space? Artificial Intelligence for Engineering 426
Design, Analysis and Manufacturing (ISSN: 0890-0604) 200 (2) (2006) 95–103,
427 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0890060406060082>. 428
- [21] C. Schultz, M. Bhatt, Multimodal spatial data access for architecture design assis- 429
tance, AI EDAM: AI for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing, SI on:
430 *Design Computing and Cognition* 260 (2) (2012) 177–203. 431
- [22] C.P.L. Schultz, R. Amor, B. Lobb, H.W. Guesgen, Qualitative design support for 432
engineering and architecture, *Advanced Engineering Informatics* 230 (1) (2009)
433 68–80. 434
- [23] H. Simon, *The Sciences of the Artificial* [The Karl Taylor Compton lectures.], 435
Chapter 3: *The Science of Design*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1969. 436
- [24] Z. Turk, Construction informatics: definition and ontology, *Advanced Engineering* 437
Informatics (ISSN: 1474-0346) 200 (2) (2006) 187–199. 438

Mehul Bhatt 440

University of Bremen, Germany 441

Corresponding author. 442

E-mail address: bhatt@informatik.uni-bremen.de. 443

André Borrmann 444

Technische Universität München, Germany 445

Robert Amor 446

University of Auckland, New Zealand 447

Jakob Beetz 448

Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands 449

450

Available online xxx 451