

# *K*-triviality in computable metric spaces

A. Melnikov and A. Nies

U of Auckland

ARA 2011, Auckland

# $K$ -trivial sets of natural numbers

- ▶ The property of  $K$ -triviality for a set of natural numbers was introduced by Chaitin and Solovay 1975. It was intensely studied during the last decade. Today it is a key notion at the interface of computability and randomness.
- ▶ Surprising coincidence results have been obtained.  $K$ -trivial sets are at the same time
  - ▶ far from random (by definition)
  - ▶ weak as an oracle set
  - ▶ computably approximable with a small total of changes.
- ▶ We will extend the notion of  $K$ -triviality to the more general setting of points in a computable metric space  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Main results

- ▶ Existence and preservation:
  - ▶ Every perfect computable metric space  $\mathcal{M}$  contains a  $K$ -trivial non-computable point.
  - ▶  $K$ -triviality is preserved under computable maps between metric spaces.
- ▶ The definition of  $K$ -triviality of a point  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  is via **Cauchy names**, generalizing the definition of computable points.

It is equivalent to an apparently weaker “local” condition stating that special points (something like rationals) close to  $x$  are highly compressible.

1 Basics

2 Existence and preservation results for  $K$ -trivials

3 A local condition characterizing  $K$ -trivials

# Prefix-free machines

A partial computable function from binary strings to binary strings is called **prefix-free machine** if its domain is an anti-chain under the prefix relation of strings.

There is a universal prefix-free machine  $\mathbb{U}$ : for every prefix-free machine  $M$ ,

$$M(\sigma) = y \text{ implies } \mathbb{U}(\tau) = y,$$

for a string  $\tau$  that is only by a constant  $d_M$  longer than  $\sigma$ .

# Descriptive string complexity $K$

- ▶ The prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity is the length of a shortest  $\mathbb{U}$ -description of  $y$ :

$$K(y) = \min\{|\sigma| : \mathbb{U}(\sigma) = y\}.$$

- ▶ One can show that  $2^{-K(y)}$  is proportional to

$$\lambda\{X \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{U}(\sigma) = y \text{ for some initial segment } \sigma \text{ of } X\},$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes product measure in Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Informally, this is the probability that  $\mathbb{U}$  prints  $y$ . This only works with prefix-free machines.

# Definition of $K$ -triviality

For a string  $y$ , up to constants,  $K(|y|) \leq K(y)$ , since we can compute  $|y|$  from  $y$  (here we write numbers in binary). Converse?

## Definition

A set  $A$  is  $K$ -trivial if there is a constant  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n$ ,

$$K(A \upharpoonright_n) \leq K(n) + b,$$

namely, the  $K$  complexity of all initial segments is minimal.

This is **opposite** to ML-randomness:

- ▶  $Z$  is ML-random if all complexities  $K(Z \upharpoonright_n)$  are near the upper bound  $n + K(n)$ , while
- ▶  $Z$  is  $K$ -trivial if they have the minimal possible value  $K(n)$  (all within constants).

# Why prefix free machines?

- ▶ **C-triviality** is the analogous notion defined with the usual Kolmogorov complexity  $C$  instead of  $K$ .
- ▶ Each  $C$ -trivial set is computable (Chaitin, 1975).
- ▶ (Chaitin, 1976) proved that the  $K$ -trivial sets are  $\Delta_2^0$  (i.e., computed by the halting problem).
- ▶ Solovay (1975) was the first to construct a non-computable  $K$ -trivial  $A$ , which was  $\Delta_2^0$  as expected but not computably enumerable.
- ▶ Later on, various constructions of a computably enumerable example appeared. E.g., the cost function construction of Downey et al. (2002).



# $K$ -trivials are Turing below the halting problem

## Theorem

*There is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each constant  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , for each length  $n$*

$$|\{x: |x| = n \wedge K(x) \leq K(n) + b\}| < 2^c 2^b.$$

Using this, one proves:

## Theorem

- (i) For each  $b$ , at most  $2^{c+b}$  sets are  $K$ -trivial with constant  $b$ .*
- (ii) Each  $K$ -trivial set is in  $\Delta_2^0$ .*

## Theorem (Downey et al., 2002)

*If sets  $A$  and  $B$  are  $K$ -trivial then  $A \oplus B = 2A \cup 2B + 1$  is  $K$ -trivial.*

*More specifically, if both  $A$  and  $B$  are  $K$ -trivial via  $b$ , then  $A \oplus B$  is  $K$ -trivial via  $3b + \mathcal{O}(1)$ .*

## $K$ -trivial functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

For a number  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  (seen as a binary string), recall that  $K(v)$  denotes the prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity of  $v$ :  $K(v) = \min\{|\sigma|: \mathbb{U}(\sigma) = v\}$ , where  $\mathbb{U}$  is a universal prefix-free machine.

### Definition

A function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is called  $K$ -trivial if there is a constant  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $K(f \upharpoonright_n) \leq K(n) + b$ .

Here  $f \upharpoonright_n$  denotes the tuple of the first  $n$  values of  $f$ . We assume some effective encoding of tuples over  $\mathbb{N}$  by natural numbers.

This extends the usual definition for sets (seen as 0,1-valued functions). Each computable function is  $K$ -trivial, but not conversely.

### Proposition (uses that $K$ -trivial = low for $K$ )

*A function  $f$  is  $K$ -trivial  $\iff$  the graph of  $f$  is  $K$ -trivial.*

## Definition

Let  $(M, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a dense sequence in  $M$ .

- ▶  $\mathcal{M} = (M, d, (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$  is a **computable metric space** if  $d(\alpha_i, \alpha_k)$  is a computable real uniformly in  $i, k$ .
- ▶ We call the elements of the sequence  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  the **special points**. We often identify  $\alpha_i$  with  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Definition

- ▶ A sequence  $(p_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  of special points is called a **Cauchy name** if  $d(p_s, p_t) \leq 2^{-s}$  for each  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \geq s$ .
- ▶ Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is complete,  $x = \lim_s p_s$  exists. We say that  $(p_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a **Cauchy name for**  $x$ . Note that  $d(x, p_s) \leq 2^{-s}$ .

# $K$ -trivial points

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a computable metric space. Recall that a point  $x \in M$  is called **computable** if it has a computable Cauchy name.

## Definition

A point  $x \in M$  is called  **$K$ -trivial** if it has a  $K$ -trivial Cauchy name.

- ▶ The unit interval, and Baire space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the ultrametric distance function  $d(f, g) = \max\{2^{-n} : f(n) \neq g(n)\}$ , form computable metric spaces in a natural way.
- ▶ It is easy to check that in these spaces, a point is  $K$ -trivial iff it is  $K$ -trivial in the usual sense.

1 Basics

2 Existence and preservation results for  $K$ -trivials

3 A local condition characterizing  $K$ -trivials

# Preservation of $K$ -triviality

A map  $F$  between computable metric spaces is called **computable** if there is an oracle computation procedure that with a Cauchy name for  $x$  as an oracle computes a Cauchy name for  $F(x)$ .

## Proposition

*Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  be computable metric spaces, and let the map  $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be computable. If  $x$  is  $K$ -trivial in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $F(x)$  is  $K$ -trivial in  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

This relies on a hard result, the downward closure under  $\leq_T$  of the class of  $K$ -trivial functions. However, the result can be verified directly if  $F$  is Lipschitz. It shows that  $K$ -triviality is invariant under the change of computable structure to an equivalent one.

Another preservation fact: if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a computable Banach space, then the  $K$ -trivial points form a subspace.

# A metric space where all $K$ -trivial points are computable

## Example

There is a computable metric space  $\mathcal{M}$  with a noncomputable point such that the only  $K$ -trivial points are the computable points.

## Proof.

Let

$$M = \{\Omega_s : s \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\Omega\}$$

with the metric inherited from the unit interval,  
and with the computable structure given by  $\alpha_s = \Omega_s$ .

If  $g$  is a Cauchy name for  $\Omega$  then  $\Omega \leq_T g$ , so  $g$  is not  $K$ -trivial. □



# Existence of $K$ -trivials

In the following fix a computable metric space  $\mathcal{M}$  with no isolated points.

**Theorem (Brattka and Gherardi, 2009)**

*There is a computable injective map  $F: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  which is Lipschitz.*

**Corollary**

*$\mathcal{M}$  contains a  $K$ -trivial, non-computable point.*

**Proof of Corollary.**

Let  $A \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ -trivial non-computable set. Then  $F(A)$  is  $K$ -trivial. The inverse of  $F$  is computable on its domain. Hence the point  $F(A)$  is non-computable. □

## A $K$ -trivial in $\ell_2$

It is interesting to look at separable Banach spaces, because they often have a natural computable structure (see book by Pour-El / Richards, 1989).

Examples of such spaces are  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  with the sup norm, and the sequence spaces  $\ell_p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  a computable real.

We give an example of a  $K$ -trivial point in Hilbert space  $\ell_2$  which is not obtained through a Brattka/Gherardi embedding.

Let  $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots$  be the usual orthonormal basis of  $\ell_2$ .

### Example

- ▶ Let  $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be an increasing, non-computable  $K$ -trivial function.
- ▶ Let  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_i 2^{-g(i)} \mathbf{e}_i$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}$  is non-computable.
- ▶ Let  $f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} 2^{-g(i)} \mathbf{e}_i$ . Then  $f$  is a  $K$ -trivial Cauchy name for  $\mathbf{x}$ .

## $K$ -trivial compact sets

- ▶ Given a Polish space  $M$ , let  $\mathbb{K}(M)$  denote the Polish space of compact subsets of  $M$  with the Hausdorff distance (the maximum distance that a point in one set can have from the other set).
- ▶ If  $M$  is a computable metric space then  $\mathbb{K}(M)$  carries a natural computable structure where the special points are the finite sets of special points in  $M$ . Thus we have a notion of  $K$ -trivial compact sets.

If  $M$  is Cantor space  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , as a computable structure we can take equivalently the clopen sets.

Bampalias, Cenzer, Remmel and Weber (2009) studied a notion of  $K$ -triviality in  $\mathbb{K}(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ . They call a closed set  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $K$ -trivial if the corresponding tree consisting of strings  $\sigma$  with  $[\sigma] \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$  is  $K$ -trivial.

It's not hard to see that their definition coincides with ours.

- 1 Basics
- 2 Existence and preservation results for  $K$ -trivials
- 3 A local condition characterizing  $K$ -trivials

# The local condition

As usual we fix a computable metric space  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let the letters  $p, q$  range over special points in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We write  $K(u, v)$  for  $K(\langle u, v \rangle)$ , the complexity of the ordered pair.

## Definition

We say that  $x \in M$  is **locally  $K$ -trivial via  $b$**  if

$$\forall n \exists p \text{ special } [d(x, p) \leq 2^{-n} \text{ and } K(p, n) \leq K(n) + b].$$

- ▶ Given a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , from the **tuple**  $A \upharpoonright_n$  we can determine  $n$ .  
But from an approximation  $p$  we cannot (in general) determine the “intended distance” to  $x$ .
- ▶ So it seems this definition is the appropriate analog of the usual definition  $K(A \upharpoonright_n) \leq K(n) + O(1)$  in Cantor space, not the less stringent condition where we write  $K(p) \leq K(n) + b$ .

# The less stringent local condition fails even in Cantor space

In Cantor space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the special points are the infinite sequences of bits that are eventually 0.

## Theorem

*There is a Turing complete set  $A \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $A$  has c.e. complement, and*

$$\forall n \exists p \text{ special } [d(A, p) \leq 2^{-n} \wedge K(p) \leq K(n) + b].$$

And a  $K$ -trivial set is never Turing complete. Thus, we indeed need to write  $K(p, n) \leq K(n) + b$  in our generalization of  $K$ -triviality.

# Equivalence with $K$ -triviality

The local condition seems to be weaker than the definition via Cauchy names, because we only require that pairs  $\langle p, n \rangle$  be compressible, not the whole tuple of special points for distances down to  $2^{-n}$ . But, surprisingly:

## Theorem

$x \in M$  is  $K$ -trivial  $\iff x$  satisfies the local condition for some  $b$ :

$$\forall n \exists p \text{ special } [d(x, p) \leq 2^{-n} \text{ and } K(p, n) \leq K(n) + b].$$

Proof idea.



This is easy: let  $f$  be a  $K$ -trivial Cauchy name for  $x$ . Given  $n$ , let  $p = f(n)$ . Then

$$K(p, n) \leq K(f \upharpoonright_{n+1}) + O(1) \leq K(n) + O(1).$$

## Theorem (again)

$x \in M$  is  $K$ -trivial  $\iff \exists b$

$$\forall n \exists p \text{ special } [d(x, p) \leq 2^{-n} \wedge K(p, n) \leq K(n) + b].$$

**Proof idea,**  $\Leftarrow$  Recall that we identify special points with numbers.

- ▶ Fix a Solovay function  $h$  (i.e.,  $h$  is computable,  $\forall n K(n) \leq h(n)$ , and  $K(n) = h(n)$  for infinitely many  $n$ ).
- ▶ Consider the infinite computably enumerable tree

$$T = \{(p_1, \dots, p_r) : d(p_i, p_{i+1}) \leq 2^{-i-1} \text{ and } K(p_i, i) \leq h(i) + b\}$$

for all  $i$  (where it makes sense).



$$T = \{(p_1, \dots, p_r) : (p_i, p_{i+1}) \leq 2^{-i-1} \text{ and } K(p_i, i) \leq h(i) + b\}.$$

- ▶ Enumerate a c.e. tree  $G$  of initial segments of Cauchy names that are  $K$ -trivial for the same constant.
- ▶ When a new string  $\eta$  enters the given tree  $T$ , pick the largest  $v$  such that  $\eta(v-1)$  is already the last entry of some string  $\rho \in G$ .
- ▶ By maximality of  $v$  there must be short “unused” descriptions of pairs  $\langle \eta(k), k \rangle$  for  $v \leq k < r$ . We use these to be able to put  $\rho$  concatenated with the rest of  $\eta$  on  $G$ .
- ▶  $G$  is an infinite, finitely branching tree. Hence it has a path, which is a Cauchy name for  $x$ . It is  $K$ -trivial by a theorem on Solovay functions by Bienvenu, Merkle and Nies (2010).

# Algorithmic information theory characterization of computable points

## Theorem (variant for $C$ )

$x \in M$  has a  $C$ -trivial Cauchy name  $\iff \exists b$

$$\forall n \exists p \text{ special } [d(x, p) \leq 2^{-n} \wedge C(p, n) \leq C(n) + b].$$

Note that a function  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is  $C$ -trivial iff it is computable. So this characterizes computable points locally.

# Future directions

- ▶ Characterize the  $K$ -trivial points in computable Banach spaces, such as  $C[0, 1]$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\dots$
- ▶ Study  $K$ -trivial compact sets in Cantor space and other computable metric spaces.
- ▶ A point  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  is called **incompressible in approximation** if all special points close to  $x$  are incompressible:

$$\exists b \in \mathbb{N} \forall p [d(z, p) \geq 2^{-K(p)-b}].$$

This notion is opposite to  $K$ -triviality. In the unit interval it coincides with Martin-Löf randomness. We showed some closure properties. Relate incompressibility to  $K$ -triviality in  $\mathcal{M}$ , possibly via relative computability in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Summary

- ▶  $K$ -triviality can be defined in general computable metric spaces.
- ▶ If the space is perfect they can be non-computable.
- ▶ Reasonable examples in spaces like  $\ell_2$  and  $\mathbb{K}(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ .
- ▶ Preserved under computable maps, hence independent of the particular computable structure.
- ▶ The definition via having a  $K$ -trivial Cauchy name is equivalent to the apparently weaker local definition

$$\forall n \exists p \text{ special } [d(x, p) \leq 2^{-n} \wedge K(p, n) \leq K(n) + b].$$

- ▶ these and other slides, on Nies' web page.
- ▶ Paper by Melnikov and Nies, Proc. AMS, in press
- ▶ Nies' book for background on  $K$ -triviality.