Asymptotics of multivariate generating functions

Mark C. Wilson www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/

Department of Computer Science University of Auckland

Auckland, 2014-03-06

Sequences

- In combinatorics and probability we very often encounter special sequences of numbers (usually rational or integer).
- Simple examples:
 - $a_n =$ number of binary trees with n nodes
 - $a_n =$ expected height of a random binary tree with n nodes
 - $a_{n,k} =$ number of binary trees with n nodes having k leaves
 - a_r = number of paths by a *d*-dimensional rook from the origin to r ∈ N^d.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

A (*d*-variate) sequence is just a function N^d → C. We use subscript notation, a_{**r**} := a(**r**).

Generating functions

The best all-round tool for studying a_r is a *d*-variate formal power series called the generating function

$$F(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} a_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{r}}.$$

- This is analogous to the Fourier or Laplace transform, but it converts a discrete problem into a continuous one.
- ► A recurrence relation for a_r corresponds to a functional equation for *F*.
- Introduced by Euler in 1753 to count diagonals in polygons (*Catalan numbers*).

We can use the machinery of complex analysis to attack discrete problems (provided the radius of convergence is nonzero).

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- We can use the machinery of complex analysis to attack discrete problems (provided the radius of convergence is nonzero).
- There is a "dictionary": combinatorial, algebraic, statistical operations on sequences usually transform to nice operations on GFs.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- We can use the machinery of complex analysis to attack discrete problems (provided the radius of convergence is nonzero).
- There is a "dictionary": combinatorial, algebraic, statistical operations on sequences usually transform to nice operations on GFs.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 For many naturally occurring problems, much of the computation can be algorithmically implemented in a computer algebra system.

- We can use the machinery of complex analysis to attack discrete problems (provided the radius of convergence is nonzero).
- There is a "dictionary": combinatorial, algebraic, statistical operations on sequences usually transform to nice operations on GFs.
- For many naturally occurring problems, much of the computation can be algorithmically implemented in a computer algebra system.
- Versatility: GFs yield recurrences, identities, congruences, unimodality results, asymptotics.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

► Fibonacci: a₀ = 0, a₁ = 1, a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} for n ≥ 2. This is the simplest interesting linear homogeneous difference equation, and is ubiquitous.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Fibonacci: a₀ = 0, a₁ = 1, a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} for n ≥ 2. This is the simplest interesting linear homogeneous difference equation, and is ubiquitous.
- Let F(z) = ∑a_nzⁿ, θ := (1 + √5)/2 (golden ratio). Then the recurrence above yields (1 − z − z²)F(z) = z and by partial fractions

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z - z^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\frac{1}{1 - \theta z} - \frac{1}{1 + \theta^{-1} z} \right]$$

- ► Fibonacci: a₀ = 0, a₁ = 1, a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} for n ≥ 2. This is the simplest interesting linear homogeneous difference equation, and is ubiquitous.
- Let F(z) = ∑a_nzⁿ, θ := (1 + √5)/2 (golden ratio). Then the recurrence above yields (1 − z − z²)F(z) = z and by partial fractions

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z - z^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\frac{1}{1 - \theta z} - \frac{1}{1 + \theta^{-1} z} \right]$$

Can now extract coefficients easily:

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [\theta^n - (-\theta)^{-n}].$$

- ► Fibonacci: a₀ = 0, a₁ = 1, a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} for n ≥ 2. This is the simplest interesting linear homogeneous difference equation, and is ubiquitous.
- Let F(z) = ∑a_nzⁿ, θ := (1 + √5)/2 (golden ratio). Then the recurrence above yields (1 − z − z²)F(z) = z and by partial fractions

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z - z^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\frac{1}{1 - \theta z} - \frac{1}{1 + \theta^{-1} z} \right]$$

Can now extract coefficients easily:

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [\theta^n - (-\theta)^{-n}].$$

• Asymptotically, the θ^n term dominates.

Fibonacci numbers: more details of finding the GF

$$F(z) := \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^n$$

$$a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} \quad \text{for } n \ge 2$$

$$a_n z^n = a_{n-1} z^n + a_{n-2} z^n \quad \text{for } n \ge 2$$

$$\sum_{n \ge 2} a_n z^n = \sum_{n \ge 2} a_{n-1} z^n + \sum_{n \ge 2} a_{n-2} z^n$$

$$\sum_{n \ge 2} a_n z^n = z \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n z^n + z^2 \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^n$$

$$F(z) - a_0 - a_1 z = z(F(z) - a_0) + z^2 F(z)$$

$$F(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z}{1 - z - z^2} = \frac{z}{1 - z - z^2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

How many binary words of length n do not contain a fixed bitstring σ of length 11, say 10111000110? Many applications to gambling, compression algorithms, genetics, etc.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- How many binary words of length n do not contain a fixed bitstring σ of length 11, say 10111000110? Many applications to gambling, compression algorithms, genetics, etc.
- The GF is given by

$$F(z) = \frac{c(z)}{z^{11} + (1 - 2z)c(z)}$$

Here c is the autocorrelation polynomial of σ , with degree 10.

- How many binary words of length n do not contain a fixed bitstring σ of length 11, say 10111000110? Many applications to gambling, compression algorithms, genetics, etc.
- The GF is given by

$$F(z) = \frac{c(z)}{z^{11} + (1 - 2z)c(z)}$$

Here c is the autocorrelation polynomial of σ , with degree 10.

► The dominant pole of F is at ρ where 1/2 < ρ < 1 (by Pringsheim's theorem). Using Rouché's theorem we can show it is a simple pole and all other poles have |z| > 0.6.

- How many binary words of length n do not contain a fixed bitstring σ of length 11, say 10111000110? Many applications to gambling, compression algorithms, genetics, etc.
- The GF is given by

$$F(z) = \frac{c(z)}{z^{11} + (1 - 2z)c(z)}$$

Here c is the autocorrelation polynomial of σ , with degree 10.

- ► The dominant pole of F is at ρ where 1/2 < ρ < 1 (by Pringsheim's theorem). Using Rouché's theorem we can show it is a simple pole and all other poles have |z| > 0.6.
- This method works for any regular language, which covers vastly many problems involving sequences/strings/words and unconstrained lattice walks.

 \blacktriangleright By Cauchy's theorem, if C is a small circle around 0 then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz.$$

 \blacktriangleright By Cauchy's theorem, if C is a small circle around 0 then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz.$$

 \blacktriangleright Expanding C past ρ a little, we have by the residue theorem

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz - \operatorname{Res}(z^{-n-1}F(z); z = \rho).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 \blacktriangleright By Cauchy's theorem, if C is a small circle around 0 then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz.$$

• Expanding C past ρ a little, we have by the residue theorem

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz - \operatorname{Res}(z^{-n-1}F(z); z = \rho).$$

The integral is exponentially smaller than the residue as n→∞, O(ρ+ε)⁻ⁿ) as opposed to Cρ⁻ⁿ.

 \blacktriangleright By Cauchy's theorem, if C is a small circle around 0 then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz.$$

• Expanding C past ρ a little, we have by the residue theorem

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz - \operatorname{Res}(z^{-n-1}F(z); z = \rho).$$

- ▶ The integral is exponentially smaller than the residue as $n \to \infty$, $O(\rho + \varepsilon)^{-n}$) as opposed to $C\rho^{-n}$.
- Thus the exponential rate is $1/\rho$, and

$$a_n \sim \frac{c(\rho)}{\rho(11\rho^{10} + (1-2\rho)c'(\rho) - 2c(\rho))}\rho^{-n}$$

▶ Delannoy paths: Let *a_{rs}* be the number of increasing paths by a chess king, walks in Z² from (0,0) to (*r*, *s*) which go only to the north, east, or northeast neighbour at each step.

- ▶ Delannoy paths: Let a_{rs} be the number of increasing paths by a chess king, walks in Z² from (0,0) to (r,s) which go only to the north, east, or northeast neighbour at each step.
- We have a nice recurrence:

$$a_{rs} = a_{r,s-1} + a_{r-1,s} + a_{r-1,s-1}$$

and nice generating function:

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{1-x-y-xy} = \frac{\frac{1}{1-x}}{1-y\frac{1+x}{1-x}}.$$

- ▶ Delannoy paths: Let *a_{rs}* be the number of increasing paths by a chess king, walks in Z² from (0,0) to (*r*, *s*) which go only to the north, east, or northeast neighbour at each step.
- We have a nice recurrence:

$$a_{rs} = a_{r,s-1} + a_{r-1,s} + a_{r-1,s-1}$$

and nice generating function:

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{1-x-y-xy} = \frac{\frac{1}{1-x}}{1-y\frac{1+x}{1-x}}.$$

 However there is no simple explicit formula – the best is probably

$$a_{rs} = \sum_{i} 2^{i} \binom{r}{i} \binom{s}{i}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- ▶ Delannoy paths: Let a_{rs} be the number of increasing paths by a chess king, walks in Z² from (0,0) to (r,s) which go only to the north, east, or northeast neighbour at each step.
- We have a nice recurrence:

$$a_{rs} = a_{r,s-1} + a_{r-1,s} + a_{r-1,s-1}$$

and nice generating function:

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{1-x-y-xy} = \frac{\frac{1}{1-x}}{1-y\frac{1+x}{1-x}}.$$

 However there is no simple explicit formula – the best is probably

$$a_{rs} = \sum_{i} 2^{i} \binom{r}{i} \binom{s}{i}.$$

▶ How to approximate *a*_{rs}?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ ヨ・ うへの

Bivariate example 2: queueing network

Consider

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{2x}{3} - \frac{y}{3})(1 - \frac{2y}{3} - \frac{x}{3})}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

which is the "grand partition function" for a very simple queueing network.

Bivariate example 2: queueing network

Consider

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{2x}{3} - \frac{y}{3})(1 - \frac{2y}{3} - \frac{x}{3})}$$

which is the "grand partition function" for a very simple queueing network.

 This corresponds to the recurrence (with appropriate boundary conditions)

$$9a_{rs} = 9a_{r-1,s} + 9a_{r,s-1} - 2a_{r-2,s} - 5a_{r-1,s-1} - 2a_{r,s-2}.$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Naive attempts to generalize univariate methods fail badly

Fix s and solve the problem for all r. In Delannoy example, need to compute

$$[x^r]\frac{(1+x)^s}{(1-x)^{s+1}}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Can you do this easily?

Naive attempts to generalize univariate methods fail badly

Fix s and solve the problem for all r. In Delannoy example, need to compute

$$[x^r]\frac{(1+x)^s}{(1-x)^{s+1}}.$$

Can you do this easily?

► Fix the diagonal and reduce to a univariate problem. For d = 2, this always leads to an algebraic GF. For d ≥ 3, the diagonal will usually not even be algebraic.

Naive attempts to generalize univariate methods fail badly

Fix s and solve the problem for all r. In Delannoy example, need to compute

$$[x^r]\frac{(1+x)^s}{(1-x)^{s+1}}.$$

Can you do this easily?

- ► Fix the diagonal and reduce to a univariate problem. For d = 2, this always leads to an algebraic GF. For d ≥ 3, the diagonal will usually not even be algebraic.
- ▶ Even if we can understand the diagonal GF, its complexity grows with r + s. Also we can't derive asymptotics uniform in the slope, etc. Even the simplest examples (Delannoy, main diagonal gives $(1 6x + x^2)^{-1/2}$ are not so easy.

 Robin Pemantle (U. Penn.) and I have a major project on mvGF coefficient extraction, started 15 years ago.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Robin Pemantle (U. Penn.) and I have a major project on mvGF coefficient extraction, started 15 years ago.
- Thoroughly investigate coefficient extraction for meromorphic (e.g. rational) F(z) := F(z₁,..., z_d) (pole singularities). Amazingly little was known even about rational F in 2 variables.

- Robin Pemantle (U. Penn.) and I have a major project on mvGF coefficient extraction, started 15 years ago.
- Thoroughly investigate coefficient extraction for meromorphic (e.g. rational) F(z) := F(z₁,..., z_d) (pole singularities). Amazingly little was known even about rational F in 2 variables.
- Goal 1: improve over all previous work in generality, ease of use, symmetry, computational effectiveness, uniformity of asymptotics. Create a theory!

- Robin Pemantle (U. Penn.) and I have a major project on mvGF coefficient extraction, started 15 years ago.
- Thoroughly investigate coefficient extraction for meromorphic (e.g. rational) F(z) := F(z₁,..., z_d) (pole singularities). Amazingly little was known even about rational F in 2 variables.
- Goal 1: improve over all previous work in generality, ease of use, symmetry, computational effectiveness, uniformity of asymptotics. Create a theory!
- Goal 2: establish mvGFs as an area worth studying in its own right, a meeting place for many different areas, a common language.

- Robin Pemantle (U. Penn.) and I have a major project on mvGF coefficient extraction, started 15 years ago.
- Thoroughly investigate coefficient extraction for meromorphic (e.g. rational) F(z) := F(z₁,..., z_d) (pole singularities). Amazingly little was known even about rational F in 2 variables.
- Goal 1: improve over all previous work in generality, ease of use, symmetry, computational effectiveness, uniformity of asymptotics. Create a theory!
- Goal 2: establish mvGFs as an area worth studying in its own right, a meeting place for many different areas, a common language.
- See our book: Analytic Combinatorics in Several Variables, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 140, 2013.

Challenges

We use multivariate methods based on Cauchy Integral Formula. However everything is harder in dimension > 1: geometry and topology of singular set, computing residues, asymptotics of the residue.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Challenges

- We use multivariate methods based on Cauchy Integral Formula. However everything is harder in dimension > 1: geometry and topology of singular set, computing residues, asymptotics of the residue.
- Mathematical background in Chapters 4–7 and Appendix: Fourier-Laplace integrals, Gröbner bases, *D*-modules, amoebas, stratified Morse theory. Further progress will require better knowledge of Morse theory and algebraic geometry.
Challenges

- We use multivariate methods based on Cauchy Integral Formula. However everything is harder in dimension > 1: geometry and topology of singular set, computing residues, asymptotics of the residue.
- Mathematical background in Chapters 4–7 and Appendix: Fourier-Laplace integrals, Gröbner bases, *D*-modules, amoebas, stratified Morse theory. Further progress will require better knowledge of Morse theory and algebraic geometry.
- These are not in the toolbox of the standard combinatorics/probability researcher. They are not really in the toolbox of any single researcher. A team approach is needed.

Challenges

- We use multivariate methods based on Cauchy Integral Formula. However everything is harder in dimension > 1: geometry and topology of singular set, computing residues, asymptotics of the residue.
- Mathematical background in Chapters 4–7 and Appendix: Fourier-Laplace integrals, Gröbner bases, *D*-modules, amoebas, stratified Morse theory. Further progress will require better knowledge of Morse theory and algebraic geometry.
- These are not in the toolbox of the standard combinatorics/probability researcher. They are not really in the toolbox of any single researcher. A team approach is needed.
- There are many areas in which to contribute. More (hu)manpower is needed!

 Scaling BPS solutions and pure-Higgs states. Journal of High Energy Physics.

- Scaling BPS solutions and pure-Higgs states. Journal of High Energy Physics.
- An adic dynamical system related to the Delannoy numbers. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.

- Scaling BPS solutions and pure-Higgs states. Journal of High Energy Physics.
- An adic dynamical system related to the Delannoy numbers. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.
- Enumerating Rook and Queen Paths, Bulletin of the Institute for Combinatorics and Its Applications.

- Scaling BPS solutions and pure-Higgs states. Journal of High Energy Physics.
- An adic dynamical system related to the Delannoy numbers. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.
- Enumerating Rook and Queen Paths, Bulletin of the Institute for Combinatorics and Its Applications.
- Asymptotics of a family of binomial sums. Journal of Number Theory.

- Scaling BPS solutions and pure-Higgs states. Journal of High Energy Physics.
- An adic dynamical system related to the Delannoy numbers. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.
- Enumerating Rook and Queen Paths, Bulletin of the Institute for Combinatorics and Its Applications.
- Asymptotics of a family of binomial sums. Journal of Number Theory.

 Entropy calculation for a toy black hole. Classical and Quantum Gravity.

- Scaling BPS solutions and pure-Higgs states. Journal of High Energy Physics.
- An adic dynamical system related to the Delannoy numbers. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.
- Enumerating Rook and Queen Paths, Bulletin of the Institute for Combinatorics and Its Applications.
- Asymptotics of a family of binomial sums. Journal of Number Theory.
- Entropy calculation for a toy black hole. Classical and Quantum Gravity.
- Asymptotics of the monomer-dimer model on two-dimensional semi-infinite lattices. *Physical Review E*.

► Given F = G/H (say rational) in d ≥ 2 variables, we concentrate on the singular variety V given by H = 0.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Given F = G/H (say rational) in d ≥ 2 variables, we concentrate on the singular variety V given by H = 0.
- This is an analytic variety of complex dimension d-1.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- ► Given F = G/H (say rational) in d ≥ 2 variables, we concentrate on the singular variety V given by H = 0.
- This is an analytic variety of complex dimension d-1.
- We expect the singularities closest to the origin to play an important role. We use the Cauchy Integral Formula and adjust the contour near the boundary of the domain of convergence. This usually provides an exponential rate estimate.

- ► Given F = G/H (say rational) in d ≥ 2 variables, we concentrate on the singular variety V given by H = 0.
- This is an analytic variety of complex dimension d-1.
- We expect the singularities closest to the origin to play an important role. We use the Cauchy Integral Formula and adjust the contour near the boundary of the domain of convergence. This usually provides an exponential rate estimate.
- More detailed asymptotics requires detailed analysis of singularities of V. Unlike the univariate case, rational functions can have nasty singularities. We have successfully analysed several important classes, but much work remains.

Example: \mathcal{V} for "Arctic circle" dimer tiling model

(日)、



► Asymptotics in the direction r are determined by the geometry of V near a (usually finite) set crit(r) of critical points.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Asymptotics in the direction r are determined by the geometry of V near a (usually finite) set crit(r) of critical points.

- ► Asymptotics in the direction r are determined by the geometry of V near a (usually finite) set crit(r) of critical points.

 We can determine crit and contrib by a combination of algebraic and geometric criteria.

- ► Asymptotics in the direction r are determined by the geometry of V near a (usually finite) set crit(r) of critical points.

- We can determine crit and contrib by a combination of algebraic and geometric criteria.
- ▶ For each $\mathbf{z}^* \in \text{contrib}$, there is an asymptotic expansion $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$ for $a_{\mathbf{r}}$, computable via derivatives of G and H.

- Asymptotics in the direction $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ are determined by the geometry of \mathcal{V} near a (usually finite) set $\operatorname{crit}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ of critical points.
- For computing asymptotics in direction $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$, we may restrict to a subset $\operatorname{contrib}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq \operatorname{crit}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ of dominant points.
- We can determine crit and contrib by a combination of algebraic and geometric criteria.
- For each $\mathbf{z}^* \in \text{contrib}$, there is an asymptotic expansion $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$ for $a_{\mathbf{r}}$, computable via derivatives of G and H.
- This yields

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} \sim \sum_{\mathbf{z}^* \in \text{contrib}} \mathbf{z}^{*-\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$ is an asymptotic series that depends on the type of geometry of \mathcal{V} near \mathbf{z}^* , and is uniform on compact subsets of directions provided the geometry does not change.

• Let U be the domain of convergence of the power series $F(\mathbf{z})$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• Let U be the domain of convergence of the power series $F(\mathbf{z})$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Consider $\log U = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d | e^{\mathbf{x}} \in U}$. This is known to be convex.

- Let U be the domain of convergence of the power series $F(\mathbf{z})$.
- Consider $\log U = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d | e^{\mathbf{x}} \in U}$. This is known to be convex.
- ► (Combinatorial case) Each point x* of ∂ log U yields a minimal point z* = exp(x*) of V that lies in the positive orthant.

- Let U be the domain of convergence of the power series $F(\mathbf{z})$.
- Consider $\log U = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d | e^{\mathbf{x}} \in U}$. This is known to be convex.
- ► (Combinatorial case) Each point x* of ∂ log U yields a minimal point z* = exp(x*) of V that lies in the positive orthant.
- The cone spanned by normals to supporting hyperplanes at $\mathbf{x}^* \in \partial \log U$ we denote by $K(\mathbf{z}^*)$. If \mathbf{z}^* is smooth, this is a single ray determined by $dir(\mathbf{z}^*)$, the image of \mathbf{z}^* under the logarithmic Gauss map.

Picture of $\log U$ for Delannoy and queueing examples



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ 臣 ○ ○ ○ ○

Generic shape of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$

• (smooth point, or multiple point with $n \leq d$)

$$\sum_k a_k |\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-1)/2-k}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Generic shape of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$

• (smooth point, or multiple point with $n \leq d$)

$$\sum_k a_k |\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-1)/2-k}.$$

• (multiple point, $n \ge d$)

$$\mathbf{z}^{*-\mathbf{r}}G(\mathbf{z}^*)P\left(\frac{r_1}{z_1^*},\ldots,\frac{r_d}{z_d^*}\right),$$

P a piecewise polynomial of degree n - d.

Generic shape of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$

• (smooth point, or multiple point with $n \leq d$)

$$\sum_k a_k |\mathbf{r}|^{-(d-1)/2-k}.$$

• (multiple point, $n \ge d$)

$$\mathbf{z}^{*-\mathbf{r}}G(\mathbf{z}^*)P\left(\frac{r_1}{z_1^*},\ldots,\frac{r_d}{z_d^*}\right)$$

P a piecewise polynomial of degree n - d.

We also have results for quadratic cone singularities.

Formulae for the leading term

▶ (smooth/multiple point n < d)</p>

$$a_0 = G(\mathbf{z}^*)C(\mathbf{z}^*)$$

where C depends on the derivatives to order 2 of H;

Formulae for the leading term

▶ (smooth/multiple point n < d)</p>

$$a_0 = G(\mathbf{z}^*)C(\mathbf{z}^*)$$

where C depends on the derivatives to order 2 of H;

• (multiple point, n = d)

$$a_0 = G(\mathbf{z}^*)(\det J)^{-1}$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix $(\partial H_i/\partial z_i)$, other a_k are zero;

Formulae for the leading term

▶ (smooth/multiple point n < d)</p>

$$a_0 = G(\mathbf{z}^*)C(\mathbf{z}^*)$$

where C depends on the derivatives to order 2 of H;

• (multiple point,
$$n = d$$
)

$$a_0 = G(\mathbf{z}^*)(\det J)^{-1}$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix $(\partial H_i/\partial z_j)$, other a_k are zero; (smooth point)

$$a_0 = \frac{G(\mathbf{z}^*)}{||\operatorname{dir}(\mathbf{z}^*)||\sqrt{(2\pi||\mathbf{r}||)^d}\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{z}^*)}$$

where \mathcal{K} is the Gaussian curvature of $\log \mathcal{V}$.

▶ There are many interesting exceptions to almost every result, so we assume that all $a_r \ge 0$ and there is no periodicity (the "generic combinatorial case").

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ▶ There are many interesting exceptions to almost every result, so we assume that all $a_r \ge 0$ and there is no periodicity (the "generic combinatorial case").
- ► There is an onto map r
 → z* taking each admissible direction to a minimal point of V lying in the positive orthant. If V is smooth, this is a bijection.

- ▶ There are many interesting exceptions to almost every result, so we assume that all $a_{\mathbf{r}} \ge 0$ and there is no periodicity (the "generic combinatorial case").
- ► There is an onto map r
 → z* taking each admissible direction to a minimal point of V lying in the positive orthant. If V is smooth, this is a bijection.
- ▶ $\mathbf{z}^*(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ is the unique element of $\operatorname{contrib}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ and is precisely the element of $\operatorname{crit}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ that is also a minimal point of \mathcal{V} .

- ▶ There are many interesting exceptions to almost every result, so we assume that all $a_r \ge 0$ and there is no periodicity (the "generic combinatorial case").
- ► There is an onto map r
 → z* taking each admissible direction to a minimal point of V lying in the positive orthant. If V is smooth, this is a bijection.
- ▶ $\mathbf{z}^*(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ is the unique element of $contrib(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ and is precisely the element of $crit(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ that is also a minimal point of \mathcal{V} .
- ► Thus it suffices to: solve a system H(z) = 0, r ∈ K(z) for z*; classify local geometry, check for minimality. The first two are straightforward polynomial algebra for rational F; the last is harder but usually doable.

- ▶ There are many interesting exceptions to almost every result, so we assume that all $a_{\mathbf{r}} \ge 0$ and there is no periodicity (the "generic combinatorial case").
- ► There is an onto map r
 → z* taking each admissible direction to a minimal point of V lying in the positive orthant. If V is smooth, this is a bijection.
- ▶ $\mathbf{z}^*(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ is the unique element of $contrib(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ and is precisely the element of $crit(\overline{\mathbf{r}})$ that is also a minimal point of \mathcal{V} .
- ► Thus it suffices to: solve a system H(z) = 0, r ∈ K(z) for z*; classify local geometry, check for minimality. The first two are straightforward polynomial algebra for rational F; the last is harder but usually doable.
- ▶ We can now use *F*(z*) to compute asymptotics in direction *r*. Provided the geometry does not change, the above expansion is uniform (over compact subsets) in *r*.

Examples: crit and contrib

▶ (Delannoy) Here \mathcal{V} is globally smooth and crit is given by 1 - x - y - xy = 0 and x(1 + y)s = y(1 + x)r. There is a unique solution $(\frac{d-s}{r}, \frac{d-r}{s})$ (where $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2}$) for each r, s, where the outward normal to $\log U$ is parallel to (r, s).

Examples: crit and contrib

- ► (Delannoy) Here V is globally smooth and crit is given by 1 - x - y - xy = 0 and x(1 + y)s = y(1 + x)r. There is a unique solution (^{d-s}/_r, ^{d-r}/_s) (where d := √r² + s²) for each r, s, where the outward normal to log U is parallel to (r, s).
- ► (queueing) Here (1,1) is a double point. If 1/2 < r/s < 2, then asymptotics are controlled by (1,1). For other directions, a smooth minimal point on the relevant sheet of log V controls asymptotics.</p>
Generic case in dimension 2: explicit formula

Suppose that F = G/H has a simple pole at $P = (z^*, w^*)$ and F(z, w) is otherwise analytic for $|z| \le |z^*|, |w| \le |w^*|$. Define

$$Q(z,w) = -A^{2}B - AB^{2} - A^{2}z^{2}H_{zz} - B^{2}w^{2}H_{ww} + ABH_{zw}$$

where $A = wH_w, B = zH_z$, all computed at P. Then when $s \to \infty$ with r/s = B/A,

$$a_{rs} = (z^*)^{-r} (w^*)^{-s} \left[\frac{G(z^*, w^*)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{-A}{sQ(z^*, w^*)}} + O(s^{-3/2}) \right].$$

The apparent lack of symmetry is illusory, since A/s = B/r.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Generic case in dimension 2: explicit formula

Suppose that F = G/H has a simple pole at $P = (z^*, w^*)$ and F(z, w) is otherwise analytic for $|z| \le |z^*|, |w| \le |w^*|$. Define

$$Q(z,w) = -A^{2}B - AB^{2} - A^{2}z^{2}H_{zz} - B^{2}w^{2}H_{ww} + ABH_{zw}$$

where $A=wH_w,B=zH_z$, all computed at P. Then when $s\rightarrow\infty$ with r/s=B/A ,

$$a_{rs} = (z^*)^{-r} (w^*)^{-s} \left[\frac{G(z^*, w^*)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{-A}{sQ(z^*, w^*)}} + O(s^{-3/2}) \right].$$

The apparent lack of symmetry is illusory, since A/s = B/r.
This simplest case already covers Pascal, Catalan, Motzkin, Schröder, ... triangles, generalized Dyck paths, ordered forests, sums of IID random variables, Lagrange inversion, ... most published applications.

Recall

• Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

Recall

• Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

• Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

$$a_{r,s} \sim \left[\frac{d-s}{r}\right]^{-r} \left[\frac{d-r}{s}\right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi d(r+s-d)^2}}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• What about a particular diagonal, say r = s?

• Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

$$a_{r,s} \sim \left[\frac{d-s}{r}\right]^{-r} \left[\frac{d-r}{s}\right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi d(r+s-d)^2}}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• What about a particular diagonal, say r = s?

• Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

$$a_{r,s} \sim \left[\frac{d-s}{r}\right]^{-r} \left[\frac{d-r}{s}\right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi d(r+s-d)^2}}.$$

▶ What about a particular diagonal, say *r* = *s*?

$$a_{rr} \sim (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^r \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(3 - 2\sqrt{2})} r^{-1/2}.$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

▶ Estimate *a*_{100,100}.

• Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

$$a_{r,s} \sim \left[\frac{d-s}{r}\right]^{-r} \left[\frac{d-r}{s}\right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi d(r+s-d)^2}}.$$

▶ What about a particular diagonal, say *r* = *s*?

$$a_{rr} \sim (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^r \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(3 - 2\sqrt{2})} r^{-1/2}.$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

▶ Estimate *a*_{100,100}.

Find rate of growth of $a_{r,s}$ as $d := \sqrt{r^2 + s^2} \to \infty$

$$a_{r,s} \sim \left[\frac{d-s}{r}\right]^{-r} \left[\frac{d-r}{s}\right]^{-s} \sqrt{\frac{rs}{2\pi d(r+s-d)^2}}.$$

▶ What about a particular diagonal, say *r* = *s*?

$$a_{rr} \sim (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^r \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(3 - 2\sqrt{2})} r^{-1/2}.$$

▶ Estimate *a*_{100,100}.

$$a_{100,100} \cong \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})^{201}}{10 \cdot 2^{5/4} \sqrt{\pi}}$$
 (ad

accurate to within 0.1%.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example: queueing network

Recall

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{2x}{3} - \frac{y}{3})(1 - \frac{2y}{3} - \frac{x}{3})}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Example: queueing network

Recall

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{2x}{3} - \frac{y}{3})(1 - \frac{2y}{3} - \frac{x}{3})}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

► In the cone 1/2 < r/s < 2, we have a_{rs} ~ 3 (note the error terms are exponentially small). Outside, the smooth formula holds.

We have

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi i)^{-d} \int_T \mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}} F(\mathbf{z}) \, \mathbf{dz}$$

where $d\mathbf{z} = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d$ and T is a small torus around the origin.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We have

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi i)^{-d} \int_T \mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}} F(\mathbf{z}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}$$

where $d\mathbf{z} = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d$ and T is a small torus around the origin.

▶ We aim to use homotopy/homology to replace *T* by a contour that is more suitable for explicit computation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We have

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi i)^{-d} \int_T \mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}} F(\mathbf{z}) \, \mathbf{dz}$$

where $d\mathbf{z} = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d$ and T is a small torus around the origin.

▶ We aim to use homotopy/homology to replace *T* by a contour that is more suitable for explicit computation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

This may involve additional residue terms.

We have

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi i)^{-d} \int_T \mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}} F(\mathbf{z}) \, \mathbf{dz}$$

where $d\mathbf{z} = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d$ and T is a small torus around the origin.

▶ We aim to use homotopy/homology to replace *T* by a contour that is more suitable for explicit computation.

- This may involve additional residue terms.
- ► The homology of C^d \ V is the key to decomposing the integral.

We have

$$a_{\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi i)^{-d} \int_T \mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}} F(\mathbf{z}) \, \mathbf{dz}$$

where $d\mathbf{z} = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d$ and T is a small torus around the origin.

- ▶ We aim to use homotopy/homology to replace *T* by a contour that is more suitable for explicit computation.
- This may involve additional residue terms.
- ► The homology of C^d \ V is the key to decomposing the integral.
- It is natural to try a saddle point/steepest descent approach.

We reduce the Cauchy integral by stratified Morse theory to iterated integrals over quasi-local cycles (up to exponentially smaller terms). This can all be done very concretely for smooth and multiple points.

- We reduce the Cauchy integral by stratified Morse theory to iterated integrals over quasi-local cycles (up to exponentially smaller terms). This can all be done very concretely for smooth and multiple points.
- The inner integrals can be evaluated using residue forms (at least for the cases we have dealt with so far). For smooth/multiple points, these can be explicitly written down.

- We reduce the Cauchy integral by stratified Morse theory to iterated integrals over quasi-local cycles (up to exponentially smaller terms). This can all be done very concretely for smooth and multiple points.
- The inner integrals can be evaluated using residue forms (at least for the cases we have dealt with so far). For smooth/multiple points, these can be explicitly written down.
- The outer integral is now a Fourier-Laplace integral (after trigonometric substitution (z = exp(iθ)).

- We reduce the Cauchy integral by stratified Morse theory to iterated integrals over quasi-local cycles (up to exponentially smaller terms). This can all be done very concretely for smooth and multiple points.
- The inner integrals can be evaluated using residue forms (at least for the cases we have dealt with so far). For smooth/multiple points, these can be explicitly written down.
- The outer integral is now a Fourier-Laplace integral (after trigonometric substitution (z = exp(iθ)).
- We derive asymptotics of the F-L integral by a version of the saddle point method (we needed to extend published results in some areas).

• We have been led to large- λ analysis of integrals of the form

$$I(\lambda) = \int_D e^{-\lambda f(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, dV(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where:

• We have been led to large- λ analysis of integrals of the form

$$I(\lambda) = \int_D e^{-\lambda f(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, dV(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where:

•
$$\mathbf{0} \in D, f(\mathbf{0}) = 0 = f'(\mathbf{0}).$$

• We have been led to large- λ analysis of integrals of the form

$$I(\lambda) = \int_D e^{-\lambda f(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, dV(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where:

•
$$\mathbf{0} \in D, f(\mathbf{0}) = 0 = f'(\mathbf{0}).$$

• Re $f \ge 0$; the phase f and amplitude ψ are analytic.

• We have been led to large- λ analysis of integrals of the form

$$I(\lambda) = \int_D e^{-\lambda f(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, dV(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where:

- $\mathbf{0} \in D, f(\mathbf{0}) = 0 = f'(\mathbf{0}).$
- $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$; the phase f and amplitude ψ are analytic.
- ▶ D is an (n + d)-dimensional product of real tori, intervals and simplices; dV the volume element.

• We have been led to large- λ analysis of integrals of the form

$$I(\lambda) = \int_D e^{-\lambda f(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, dV(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where:

- $\mathbf{0} \in D, f(\mathbf{0}) = 0 = f'(\mathbf{0}).$
- $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$; the phase f and amplitude ψ are analytic.
- ▶ D is an (n + d)-dimensional product of real tori, intervals and simplices; dV the volume element.
- Difficulties in analysis: interplay between exponential and oscillatory decay, boundary terms, nonsmooth boundary of simplex.

► The relevant integral is

$$\int_D \exp\left[ir\theta - s\log\left(\frac{1+z^*e^{i\theta}}{1+z^*}\frac{1-z^*}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\right)\right]\frac{1}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\,d\theta.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The relevant integral is

$$\int_D \exp\left[ir\theta - s\log\left(\frac{1+z^*e^{i\theta}}{1+z^*}\frac{1-z^*}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\right)\right]\frac{1}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\,d\theta.$$

 \blacktriangleright Note that the argument $f(\theta)$ of the exponential has Maclaurin expansion

$$i\left(\frac{r(z^*)^2 + 2sz^* - r}{(z^*)^2 - 1}\right)\theta + \frac{sz^*(1 + (z^*)^2)}{(1 - (z^*)^2)^2}\theta^2 + \dots$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The relevant integral is

$$\int_D \exp\left[ir\theta - s\log\left(\frac{1+z^*e^{i\theta}}{1+z^*}\frac{1-z^*}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\right)\right]\frac{1}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\,d\theta.$$

 \blacktriangleright Note that the argument $f(\theta)$ of the exponential has Maclaurin expansion

$$i\left(\frac{r(z^*)^2 + 2sz^* - r}{(z^*)^2 - 1}\right)\theta + \frac{sz^*(1 + (z^*)^2)}{(1 - (z^*)^2)^2}\theta^2 + \dots$$

▶ Recall that $\operatorname{crit}(\overline{(r,s)})$ is defined by 1 - z - w - zw = 0 and s(1+w)z = r(1+z)w. Eliminating w yields $rz^2 + 2sz - r = 0$.

The relevant integral is

$$\int_D \exp\left[ir\theta - s\log\left(\frac{1+z^*e^{i\theta}}{1+z^*}\frac{1-z^*}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\right)\right]\frac{1}{1-z^*e^{i\theta}}\,d\theta.$$

 \blacktriangleright Note that the argument $f(\theta)$ of the exponential has Maclaurin expansion

$$i\left(\frac{r(z^*)^2 + 2sz^* - r}{(z^*)^2 - 1}\right)\theta + \frac{sz^*(1 + (z^*)^2)}{(1 - (z^*)^2)^2}\theta^2 + \dots$$

- ▶ Recall that $\operatorname{crit}(\overline{(r,s)})$ is defined by 1 z w zw = 0 and s(1+w)z = r(1+z)w. Eliminating w yields $rz^2 + 2sz r = 0$.
- ► Thus f(0) = 0, and f'(0) = 0 because (z*, w*) is a critical point for direction (r, s). This allows us to derive asymptotics of the right order.

 (Ch 9.2) crit is sometimes an entire torus (e.g. applications to quantum random walks). Treated by a variant of above analysis.

 (Ch 9.2) crit is sometimes an entire torus (e.g. applications to quantum random walks). Treated by a variant of above analysis.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Periodicity is not a major problem — we must sum contributions from several dominant points, and some cancellation occurs.

- (Ch 9.2) crit is sometimes an entire torus (e.g. applications to quantum random walks). Treated by a variant of above analysis.
- Periodicity is not a major problem we must sum contributions from several dominant points, and some cancellation occurs.
- (Ch 13.3) We can efficiently compute higher order terms in the expansions. Important in several situations including numerical approximation for small ||r|| (hyperasymptotics).

- (Ch 9.2) crit is sometimes an entire torus (e.g. applications to quantum random walks). Treated by a variant of above analysis.
- Periodicity is not a major problem we must sum contributions from several dominant points, and some cancellation occurs.
- (Ch 13.3) We can efficiently compute higher order terms in the expansions. Important in several situations including numerical approximation for small ||r|| (hyperasymptotics).
- Dominant singularities that are not multiple points require more work. So far we have dealt with quadratic cone points reasonably well (Ch 11).

- (Ch 9.2) crit is sometimes an entire torus (e.g. applications to quantum random walks). Treated by a variant of above analysis.
- Periodicity is not a major problem we must sum contributions from several dominant points, and some cancellation occurs.
- (Ch 13.3) We can efficiently compute higher order terms in the expansions. Important in several situations including numerical approximation for small ||r|| (hyperasymptotics).
- Dominant singularities that are not multiple points require more work. So far we have dealt with quadratic cone points reasonably well (Ch 11).
- If a_r is not nonnegative, then the elements of contrib need not be minimal points, and computing them is much harder. We have only looked at special examples (Ch 9.4).

Higher order asymptotics: Delannoy numbers $a_{3n,2n}$

n	1	2	4	8	16
exact	25	1289	4.6733 ·10 ⁶	$8.5276 \cdot 10^{13}$	3.9780·10 ²⁸
1-term approx	26.263	1321.5	4.7322·10 ⁶	$8.5811 \cdot 10^{13}$	3.9904·10 ²⁸
2-term approx	24.944	1288.4	4.6728 ·10 ⁶	$8.5273 \cdot 10^{13}$	3.9780·10 ²⁸
1-term rel error	0.050525	0.025246	0.012597	0.0062895	0.0031420
2-term rel error	0.0022371	0.00050044	0.00011673	0.000028104	0.0000068844

Future work

Moving to more complicated singularities, we would like a more systematic approach. The quadratic cone analysis is very complicated, and algebraic singularities occur often.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <
- Moving to more complicated singularities, we would like a more systematic approach. The quadratic cone analysis is very complicated, and algebraic singularities occur often.
- Resolution of singularities has not been used in this subject, but seems reasonable.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Moving to more complicated singularities, we would like a more systematic approach. The quadratic cone analysis is very complicated, and algebraic singularities occur often.
- Resolution of singularities has not been used in this subject, but seems reasonable.
- ► Safonov showed how to partially resolve singularities and match a_r to b_{rM} where ∑_r b_rz^r is rational and M is a fixed unimodular matrix.

- Moving to more complicated singularities, we would like a more systematic approach. The quadratic cone analysis is very complicated, and algebraic singularities occur often.
- Resolution of singularities has not been used in this subject, but seems reasonable.
- ► Safonov showed how to partially resolve singularities and match a_r to b_{rM} where ∑_r b_rz^r is rational and M is a fixed unimodular matrix.
- Note that this approach would never occur to someone living only in the univariate world.

- Moving to more complicated singularities, we would like a more systematic approach. The quadratic cone analysis is very complicated, and algebraic singularities occur often.
- Resolution of singularities has not been used in this subject, but seems reasonable.
- ► Safonov showed how to partially resolve singularities and match a_r to b_{rM} where ∑_r b_rz^r is rational and M is a fixed unimodular matrix.
- Note that this approach would never occur to someone living only in the univariate world.
- ► There are some problems: the leading term for b_r vanishes (can deal with); not all b_r need be nonnegative, so dominant singularities are not necessarily minimal and may even lie at ∞ (don't know how to deal with in general). An interesting project and I am looking for collaborators.

The Narayana numbers are generated by

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + x(y-1) - \sqrt{1 - 2x(y+1) + x^2(y-1)^2} \right).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Narayana numbers are generated by

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + x(y-1) - \sqrt{1 - 2x(y+1) + x^2(y-1)^2} \right).$$

Safonov's procedure shows that if

$$G(u, x, y) := \frac{u(1 - 2u - ux(1 - y))}{1 - u - xy - ux(1 - y)}$$

then

$$[x^{n,k}]F(x,y) = [u^n x^n y^k]G(u,x,y).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Narayana numbers are generated by

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + x(y-1) - \sqrt{1 - 2x(y+1) + x^2(y-1)^2} \right).$$

Safonov's procedure shows that if

$$G(u, x, y) := \frac{u(1 - 2u - ux(1 - y))}{1 - u - xy - ux(1 - y)}$$

then

$$[x^{n,k}]F(x,y) = [u^n x^n y^k]G(u,x,y).$$

► The dominant point in question is (k/n, (n − k)²/nk, k²/(n − k)²). Note that the numerator of G vanishes there, so we need to compute higher order terms. We can do this routinely (formulae omitted).

The Narayana numbers are generated by

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + x(y-1) - \sqrt{1 - 2x(y+1) + x^2(y-1)^2} \right).$$

Safonov's procedure shows that if

$$G(u, x, y) := \frac{u(1 - 2u - ux(1 - y))}{1 - u - xy - ux(1 - y)}$$

then

$$[x^{n,k}]F(x,y) = [u^n x^n y^k]G(u,x,y).$$

► The dominant point in question is (k/n, (n − k)²/nk, k²/(n − k)²). Note that the numerator of G vanishes there, so we need to compute higher order terms. We can do this routinely (formulae omitted).

 This case was relatively easy because there was only one branch of the algebraic function passing through the origin.