ASSOCIATIVE RINGS SATISFYING THE ENGEL CONDITION

D. M. RILEY AND M. C. WILSON

ABSTRACT. Let C be a commutative ring, and let R be an associative C-algebra generated by elements $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$. We show that if R satisfies the Engel condition of degree n then R is upper Lie nilpotent of class bounded by a function that depends only on d and n. We deduce that the Engel condition in an arbitrary associative ring is inherited by its group of units, and implies a semigroup identity.

As part of his positive solution of the restricted Burnside problem ([12]), Zelmanov proved that every finitely generated Lie ring satisfying the Engel condition

$$[x,\underbrace{y,y,\ldots,y}_n]=0$$

is nilpotent. In Lie algebras over a field of characteristic zero, the Engel condition implies nilpotence — not just local nilpotence. This result was proved by Kemer ([3]) for the class of Lie algebras arising from associative algebras, and later by Zelmanov ([11]) for general abstract Lie algebras. It is known, however, that this result fails to hold for general Lie rings, and in particular fails for Lie algebras arising from associative algebras over fields of positive characteristic ([5],[8]). Perhaps the simplest example is the tensor square of the (nonunital) Grassmann algebra of a countably-infinite-dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic p > 2. In fact, it is nil of bounded index 2p but not Lie nilpotent; which is to say, it is not nilpotent when considered as a Lie algebra ([6],[7]).

Let C be a commutative (unital) ring, and let R be a finitely generated (associative) C-algebra. It is not strictly necessary for us to assume that R is unital, but we shall as a matter of convenience. The primary purpose of this note is to prove that if R satisfies the Engel condition then R is Lie nilpotent. Since such rings need not be finitely generated as Lie rings, our result is not included in Zelmanov's. Shalev, however, proved the above result in the case when C is a field of positive characteristic ([10]).

Furthermore, we are able to show that R is Lie nilpotent in a stronger sense. Define a descending central series of associative ideals $\{\gamma^i(R)\}$ in R by $\gamma^1(R) = R, \gamma^{i+1}(R) = \langle [\gamma^i(R), R] \rangle = [\gamma^i(R), R]R$. Then R is upper Lie nilpotent of class s if $\gamma^s(R) \neq 0$ and $\gamma^{s+1}(R) = 0$. Although upper Lie nilpotence clearly

Date: April 9, 1997.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16R40. Secondary 16W10, 17B60, 16U60.

Key words and phrases. Engel identity, Lie nilpotent, strongly Lie nilpotent, upper Lie nilpotent, nonmatrix.

implies Lie nilpotence, the converse need not be true (as was conjectured by S.A. Jennings). Indeed, the Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic not 2 is Lie nilpotent of class 2 but not upper Lie nilpotent, while Gupta and Levin constructed a similar example in characteristic 2 ([2]).

Theorem. Let C be a commutative ring, and let R be a finitely generated associative C-algebra which is generated by d elements and satisfies the Engel condition of degree n. Then R is upper Lie nilpotent, of class bounded by a function depending on d and n only.

Corollary 1. Let R be an associative ring. If R satisfies the Engel condition of degree n then its group of units $\mathcal{U}(R)$ satisfies the Engel condition of degree m for some m depending only on n.

Corollary 2. Let R be an associative ring. If R satisfies the Engel condition then R satisfies a semigroup identity.

A semigroup identity is the equality of two words in the free monoid on countably many letters. We remark that Corollary 2 settles the ring-theoretic analogue of a problem raised by Shirshov ([4, Problem 2.82]): does the variety of groups satisfying an Engel identity satisfy a semigroup identity?

1. Proofs

All associative rings considered below will be assumed to be unital. We denote the usual descending central series of a group or Lie ring by $\{\gamma_i(\cdot)\}$.

Proposition 1. Suppose that R is generated as an associative C-algebra by a Lie subring L which is nilpotent of class s. If $\gamma_2(L)$ is associative nilpotent of index t+1 then R is upper Lie nilpotent of class at most s+1.

Proof. We first collect a few simple facts that follow from the identities

$$[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z, \quad [xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y.$$

Notice that the Lie ring L acts on R via the ad map. If a subset X in R is stable under this action then XR = RX. Also, $[X, R] \subseteq [X, L]R$. In particular, $\gamma_i(L)R = R\gamma_i(L)$ and $[\gamma_i(L), R] \subseteq \gamma_{i+1}(L)R$ for each i. It follows that

$$\gamma^2(R) = \gamma_2(L)R$$

and

$$[\gamma_i(L)R, R] \subseteq \gamma_i(L)\gamma_2(L)R + \gamma_{i+1}(L)R.$$

Put $I_i = \gamma_i(L)R$ for each i. Then I_i is an associative ideal of R with the property that

$$[I_i,R]\subseteq I_iI_2+I_{i+1}$$
.

We claim that for all $n \geq 2$,

$$\gamma^n(R) \subseteq \sum I_{n_1} \cdots I_{n_k}$$

where the sum is over all (n_1, \ldots, n_k) with $n_i \geq 2$ and $\sum_i (n_i - 1) = n - 1$.

The claim is true for n = 2 since $\gamma^2(R) = I_2$. If n > 2 then the claim follows by induction and the observation

$$[I_{n_1} \cdots I_{n_k}, R] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^k I_{n_1} \cdots I_{n_{i-1}} [I_{n_i}, R] I_{n_{i+1}} \cdots I_{n_k}$$

$$\subseteq \sum_{i=1}^k I_{n_1} \cdots I_{n_{i-1}} (I_{n_i} I_2 + I_{n_i+1}) I_{n_{i+1}} \cdots I_{n_k}.$$

If all $n_i \leq s$ and $k \leq t$ then $n-1 \leq st$. Hence if n > 1+st then each monomial above is zero since $I_{n_i} \subseteq I_2$ and $I_2^{t+1} = I_{s+1} = 0$.

Proposition 2. Let n and d be positive integers. Then F(d,n), the relatively free d-generated ring satisfying the Engel condition of degree n, is upper Lie nilpotent.

Proof. We shall employ some well-known results from PI-theory, for which [9] is a sufficient reference. Write R = F(d, n).

Let J be the Jacobson radical of R. Because R is a finitely generated PIring, J is nilpotent by a theorem of Braun. We claim that $\gamma^2(R) \subseteq J$; which is to say, R/J is commutative. Indeed, since R/J is a semiprimitive PI-ring, by a theorem of Kaplansky, it is a subdirect product of full matrix rings over division rings, $M_n(D)$ say, where D is finite dimensional over its centre F. As $M_2(F)$ does not satisfy the Engel condition, we have must have $M_n(D) = D$. If F is finite then D = F, whereas if F is infinite then D has precisely the same identities as $M_m(F)$ for some $m \ge 1$. But again, the latter possibility can only occur if m = 1, and so either way D = F. Thus, R/J is a subdirect product of fields, and therefore commutative. It follows that $\gamma^2(R)$ is nilpotent.

Let L be the Lie subring of R generated by the finitely many associative generators of R. Since $\gamma^2(R)$ is nilpotent, R is (associatively nilpotent)-by-commutative, and hence Lie solvable. Thus L is a finitely generated solvable engelian Lie ring, which is nilpotent by a theorem of Gruenberg [1]. The result now follows directly from Proposition 1.

We denote the upper nilpotence class in the conclusion of Proposition 2 by f(d,n).

To prove the Theorem, let S be the subring of R generated by its d C-generators. Then S is upper Lie nilpotent of class f(d,n) since S is a homomorphic image of F(d,n). Since upper Lie nilpotence corresponds to a multilinear identity and R is a homomorphic image of $S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C$, the result now follows from Proposition 2.

We now prove Corollary 1. Let R be a ring satisfying the Engel condition of degree n, and let S be the subring in R generated by $\{u, v, u^{-1}, v^{-1}\}$, where u, v are arbitrary units in R. Then S is upper Lie nilpotent of class at most f(4, n). The result now follows from the inclusion

$$\gamma_m(\mathcal{U}(S)) \subseteq 1 + \gamma^m(S),$$

which is readily established by induction.

Finally, we prove Corollary 2. Suppose that R satisfies the Engel condition of degree n, and let S be an arbitrary 2-generated subring of R. Then S is Lie nilpotent of class at most c = f(2, n). It follows by induction that S satisfies the Morse identity $x_c = y_c$ defined by $x_1 = xy, y_1 = yx, x_{i+1} = x_iy_i, y_{i+1} = y_ix_i$. Hence R also satisfies the semigroup identity $x_c = y_c$.

REFERENCES

- K. W. Gruenberg, Two theorems on Engel groups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 49 (1953), 377–380.
- 2. N. D. Gupta and F. Levin, On the Lie ideals of a ring, J. Algebra 81 (1983), 225–231.
- 3. A. Kemer, Non-matrix varieties, Algebra and Logic 19 (1981), 157–178.
- V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro (eds.), Unsolved problems in group theory. The Kourovka notebook., 13th ed., Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 1995.
- Yu. P. Razmyslov, On Lie algebras satisfying the Engel condition, Algebra and Logic 10 (1971), 21–29.
- D. M. Riley, The tensor product of Lie soluble algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 66 (1996), 372–377.
- 7. D. M. Riley and V. Tasić, The transfer of a commutator law from a nil-ring to its adjoint group, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).
- E. Rips and A. Shalev, The Baer condition for group algebras, J. Algebra 140 (1991), 83-100.
- 9. L. Rowen, Ring theory, Academic Press, 1988.
- A. Shalev, On associative algebras satisfying the Engel condition, Israel J. Math. 67 (1989), 287–290.
- 11. E. I. Zel'manov, Engelian Lie algebras, Siberian Math. J. 29 (1988), 777–781.
- 12. _____, On the restricted Burnside problem, Siberian Math. J. 30 (1990), 885–891.

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa Al $35487\hbox{-}0350,\,\mathrm{USA}$

E-mail address: driley@gp.as.ua.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, PRIVATE BAG 92019 AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: wilson@math.auckland.ac.nz