

Image processing in case-based reasoning

PETRA PERNER¹, ALEC HOLT² and MICHAEL RICHTER³

¹ *Director of the Institute of Computer Vision and Applied Computer Sciences, Körnerstraße 10, 04107 Leipzig/Germany; E-mail: ibaiperner@aol.com,*

² *Director of Health Informatics, Department of Information Science, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand; E-mail: aholt@infoscience.otago.ac.nz,*

³ *Visiting Professor, Department of Computer Science, University of Calgary, Canada, E-mail: richter@informatik.uni-kl.de*

Abstract

This commentary summarizes case-based reasoning (CBR) research applied to image processing. It includes references to the systems, workshops and landmark publications. Image processing includes a variety of image formats, from CT images to remote sensing and spatial data sets.

1 Introduction

Image processing is a challenging field. The unique data (images) and the necessary computation techniques require extraordinary case representations, similarity measures and case-based reasoning (CBR) strategies to be utilised. Image interpretation is the process of mapping the numerical representation of an image into logical representations suitable for scene descriptions. An image interpretation system must be able to extract symbolic features from the pixels of an image (e.g., irregular structure inside the nodule, area of calcification, and sharp margin). This is a complex process; the image passes through several general processing steps before the final symbolic description is obtained. Image interpretation systems are becoming increasingly popular in medical and industrial applications. However, existing statistical and knowledge-based techniques lack robustness, accuracy and flexibility. New strategies are needed that can adapt to changing environmental conditions, user needs and process requirements. Introducing CBR strategies into image interpretation systems can satisfy these requirements. CBR can be used to control the image processing process in all phases of an image interpretation system to derive information of the highest possible quality. Beyond this CBR offers different learning capabilities, for all phases of an image interpretation system, that satisfy different needs during the development process of an image interpretation system. An overview of the challenges of image processing and image interpretation by introducing CBR strategies is given in (Perner, 2001).

2 Image processing

Several systems have been developed that apply CBR for image retrieval and interpretation at the symbolic level. Usually the images are not processed, and the symbolic terms are user-specified. Early examples include Macura and Macura (1995) for retrieval of radiological images, Haddad *et al.* (1997) for the detection of coronary heart disease and Jaulent *et al.* (1998) for the diagnosis of breast cancer in histopathology.

Grimnes and Aamodt (1996) presented a system that integrates CBR into a task-oriented model-based system for interpreting abdominal CT images. A case-based reasoner working on a segment case base contains the individual image segments. These cases with labels are considered indices for another case-based reasoner working on an organ interpretation case base. Their system is based on a propose-critique-modify learning cycle. Jarmulak (1998) presented a

system for ultra-sonic B-scans, which are one-dimensional signals. He presented a tree-based retrieval strategy. A completely different application of CBR to image processing was described by Ficet-Cauchard *et al.* (1999). They applied CBR for the development of the image processing steps of formerly unknown image processing problems by using experiences and plan adaptation. Micarelli *et al.* (2000) applied CBR to scene recognition. They calculated image properties from images and stored them in a case base. They used a wavelet approach that is scale-independent and therefore constrained their similarity measure to consider only object rotations.

Perner has been influential and prolific in this area of image processing using CBR, having authored about 40 publications. In early publications, Perner (1998; 1999) described using CBR in image segmentation for CT images from the brain. As her research progressed, she used more complex case representations, reasoning and learning strategies and data mining techniques for pattern recognition. Perner (2000) proposed a system that used CBR to optimize image segmentation at the low-level stage according to changing image acquisition conditions and image quality. The intermediate-level stage extracted the case representation used by the high-level unit employed to dynamically adapt image interpretation. The system worked on different case representations such as a graph representation for the cases of the high-level image description and the raw image matrix for the low-level image representation. Therefore, the system used different CBR strategies for reasoning and learning, where one uses structural similarity and the other uses digital image distance. Different learning strategies in a hierarchy of structural cases are presented in Perner (1998; 2003). Perner (2002) has integrated CBR and dissimilarity classification research methods, which has become important in pattern recognition. The application of case-based image interpretation to health monitoring and biotechnology is described in (Perner *et al.*, 2003). Learning case representations and improving system performance by controlling the similarity measure is described in (Perner *et al.*, 2002). Recent research has focused on mining raw information into more general cases (Perner & Jähnichen, 2004) and making object recognition more robust against model variation (Perner & Bühring, 2004). Most of the work described here was presented at the International and European CBR conferences. There has also been established a working group for CBR in image processing under the umbrella of The International Association for Pattern Recognition Technical committee 17 - Machine Learning and Data Mining (www.ibai-research.de/html/TC17) that has an annual conference called the *International Conference on Machine Learning and Data Mining*.

3 Spatial information processing

An early application of CBR that attempted to use spatial information was focussed on meteorological images (Jones & Roydhouse, 1994). They used meteorological images in trying to predict weather patterns based on previous similar spatial patterns. Their research focused on the efficient retrieval of structured spatial information.

A new challenging application field concerns using spatial information from surveying, remote sensing, and aerial photography. This kind of application requires special spatial problem solving techniques and spatial similarity measures. There are about 60 publications in this area. Holt & Benwell (1996; 1999) reported the first application of CBR to geographic information systems (GIS) and have published about 30 publications on this subject. Their approach consisted of combining CBR with GIS to form a hybrid system to solve spatial problems. Their research now focuses on incorporating spatial topology in cases.

Further recent research described using spatial similarity measures for comparing the location of objects in aerial photos (Carswell *et al.*, 2002; O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2004; 2005). They have published about 10 publications on this subject. Some of their work used raster sketches for digital image retrieval. They also used directional and metric object relationships to evaluate similarity between user-defined query scenes and object configurations. They used digital image software and a series of metrics to calculate values for topology, direction, distance and shape.

References

- Carswell, JD, Wilson, DC & Bertolotto, M, 2002. Digital Image Similarity for Geo-Spatial Knowledge Management. In *proceedings of 6th European Conference on Case-Based Reasoning, ECCBR2002*, Springer Verlag Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, pp 58-72.
- Ficet-Cauchard, V, Porquet, C & Revenu, M, 1999. CBR for the reuse of image processing knowledge: A recursive retrieval/adaption strategy. In Althoff, K-D, Bergmann, R & Branting LK, (Eds.) *Case-Based Reasoning Research & Development*. Berlin: Springer, pp. 438-453.
- Grimmes, M & Aamodt, A. 1996. A two layer case-based reasoning architecture for medical image understanding, In I. Smith & B. Faltings (Eds.) *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, pp. 164-178.
- Haddad, M, Adlassnig, K-P & Porenta, G, 1997. Feasibility analysis of a case-based reasoning system for automated detection of coronary heart disease from myocardial scintigrams, *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine* 9:61-78.
- Holt, A & Benwell, GL, 1996. Case-Based Reasoning and Spatial Analysis. *Journal of the Urban and Regional Information Systems Association*, 8(1):27-36.
- Holt, A & Benwell, GL, 1999. Applying Case-Based Reasoning Techniques in GIS, *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 13(1):9-25.
- Jarmulak, J, 1998. Case-based classification of ultrasonic B-Scans: Case-base organisation and case retrieval. In B. Smyth & P. Cunningham (Eds.) *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, pp. 100-111.
- Jaulent MC, Le Bozec C, Zapletal E & Degoulet P, 1998. Case based diagnosis in histopathology of breast tumours. *MedInfo*. 9 Pt 1:544-548.
- Jones, EK & Roydhouse, A, 1994. Intelligent retrieval of historical meteorological data. *AI Applications*, 8:43-54.
- Macura R & Macura, K, 1995. MacRad: Radiology Image Resource with a Case-Based Retrieval System, In: Veloso M & Aamodt A, (eds.), *Case-Based Reasoning: Research and Development*, Springer pp. 43-45.
- Micarelli, A, Neri, A & Sansonetti, G, 2000. A case-based approach to image recognition, In Blanzieri E & Portinale L, (Eds.) *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, pp. 443-454.
- O'Sullivan, D, McLoughlin, E, Bertolotto, M & Wilson, D, 2004. A Case-Based Approach to Managing Geo-Spatial Imagery Tasks, *Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Case Based Reasoning, ECCBR'04*, Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Springer-Verlag, pp. 702-716.
- O'Sullivan, D, McLoughlin, E, Bertolotto, M & Wilson, D, 2005. Capturing and Reusing Case-Based Context for Image Retrieval, *Proceedings of the 19th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-05*, Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 1574-1576.
- Perner, P, 1998. Different Learning Strategies in a Case-Based Reasoning System for Image Interpretation, *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*, Smyth B & Cunningham P, (Eds.), LNAI 1488, Springer Verlag, pp. 251-261
- Perner, P, 1999. An Architecture for a CBR Image Segmentation System, *Journal on Engineering Application in Artificial Intelligence, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 12(6):749-759
- Perner, P, 2000. CBR Ultra Sonic Image Interpretation. In: Blanzieri E & Portinale L, (Eds.), *Advances in Case-based Reasoning*, Springer Verlag, LNAI 1898, pp. 479-481
- Perner, P, 2001. Why Case-Based Reasoning is Attractive for Image Interpretation, Aha DW & Watson I, (Eds.), *Case-based Reasoning Research and Developments*, Springer Verlag, LNAI 2080, pp. 27-44
- Perner, P, 2002. Are case-based reasoning and dissimilarity-based classification two sides of the same coin? *Journal Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 15/3:205-216.
- Perner, P, 2003. Incremental Learning of Retrieval Knowledge in a Case-Based Reasoning System, In: Ashley KD & Bridge DG, (Eds.), *Case-Based Reasoning – Research and Development*, LNAI 2689, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 422-436.
- Perner, P, & Bühring, A, 2004. Case-Based Object Recognition, In: Funk P & González Calero, PA, *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*, Springer Verlag LNAI 3155, pp. 375-388.
- Perner, P, Günther, TH, Perner, H, Fiss, G & Ernst, R, 2003. Health Monitoring by an Image Interpretation System - A System for Airborne Fungi Identification, In: Petra Perner, Rüdiger Brause, Hermann-Georg Holzhütter (Eds.), *Medical Data Analysis*, Springer Verlag, LNCS 2868, pp. 64-77
- Perner, P, & Jähnichen, S, 2004. Case Acquisition and Case Mining for Case-Based Object Recognition, In: Funk P & González Calero, PA, *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*, Springer Verlag, LNAI 3155, pp. 616-629.
- Perner, P, Perner, H & Müller, B, 2002. Similarity Guided Learning of the Case Description and Improvement of the System Performance in an Image Classification System, In: S. Craw and A.Preece (Eds.), *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning, ECCBR2002*, Springer Verlag, LNAI 2416, pp. 604-612.