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1. Incompleteness

2. Are there interesting independent sentences?

3. What is the source of incompleteness?

4. How common is the incompleteness phenomenon?
Incompleteness

Can mathematics be done exclusively by computer?

- Can we write a program to check whether a mathematical proof is correct?
- Can we write a program to generate all mathematical theorems?
- Can we write a program to check whether a mathematical sentence is a theorem?

The above questions “make sense” if we adopt a (formal, axiomatic) theory to express mathematical sentences and theorems.
We must not believe those, who today with philosophical bearing and deliberative tone prophesy the fall of culture and accept the *ignorabimus*. For us there is no *ignorabimus*, and in my opinion none whatever in natural science. In opposition to the foolish *ignorabimus* I offer our answer: We must know, We will know.
1930, days later, the shock: the incompleteness theorem

Every effectively generated formal theory including elementary arithmetic cannot be both consistent and complete.

In any consistent, effectively generated formal theory including elementary arithmetic there exists a sentence of arithmetic which is neither provable nor disprovable.
Two examples and a question

Peano Arithmetic, PA, is incomplete or inconsistent.

Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory with choice, ZFC, a system for the “whole mathematics”, is incomplete or inconsistent.

What about automatic theorem provers, like Automath, Isabelle, NuPRL, ProofPower, ProverBox, SPARK?
What does incompleteness imply?

- Arithmetic is incomplete, but elementary geometry is complete.

- Incompleteness is “incurable”: each time a new statement is added as an axiom, there are other true statements that still cannot be proved.

- Incompleteness is a serious challenge to Hilbert’s program towards a universal mathematical formalism.
What does incompleteness not imply?

- \( 1 + 1 = 3 \).

- There are no complete and consistent formal systems for arithmetic (take all true statements about the natural numbers to be axioms).

- The Bible is either incomplete or inconsistent.

- The Constitution is either incomplete or inconsistent.
Three questions

- Are there interesting/natural concrete independent sentences?
- What is the source of incompleteness?
- How common is the incompleteness phenomenon?
[Gödel] Every effectively generated formal theory including elementary arithmetic cannot prove its own consistency.

PA cannot prove its own consistency, but ZFC can prove PA consistency.
A universal prefix-free machine is a (Turing) machine with prefix-free domain capable of simulating any other prefix-free machine.

[CC-Hay] There exists a universal (prefix-free) machine such that PA cannot prove its universality.
The halting probability of a $U$ is Chaitin’s Omega number

$$\Omega_U = \sum_{U(x) \text{ stops}} 2^{-|x|}.$$ 

[Chaitin] ZFC (if arithmetically sound) can determine at most finitely many bits of $\Omega_U$. 
[CC] Consider a prefix-free machine $U$ which PA proves universal. There is a universal prefix-free machine $V$ such that

- PA proves universal,
- $\Omega_U = \Omega_V$, 
- ZFC (if arithmetically sound) can determine at most the initial bits equal to 1 of $\Omega_V$. 

[Solovay] There exists a prefix-free universal machine $U$ such that ZFC (if arithmetically sound) cannot determine any bit of $\Omega_U$.

All sentences about the values of $\Omega_U$ digits are unprovable in ZFC.
What is the source of incompleteness?

Proving complexity is hard

Define the prefix-complexity by \( H(x) = \min\{|p| : U(p) = x\} \).

[Chaitin] For every finitely specified consistent axiomatic theory there exists a constant \( c \) such that every provable sentence of the form “\( H(x) > m \)” has \( m < c \).
Chaitin’s principle

[Chaitin’s principle] The theorems of a finitely specified theory cannot be significantly more complex than the theory itself.

[CC-Jürgensen] Chaitin’s principle is true for the complexity
\[ \delta(x) = H(x) - |x|. \]
How common is the incompleteness phenomenon?

Independence abounds

[CC-Jürgensen-Zimand] The set of true and unprovable sentences is co-rare with respect to any non-clustering topology generated by a computable and length preserving partial order.

[CC-Jürgensen] The set of true and unprovable sentences has positive probability.
Is incompleteness bad?

Mathematics needs creativity!
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