

ON A THEOREM OF GÜNTER ASSER

by CRISTIAN CALUDE and LILA SÂNTEAN in Bucharest (Romania)

1. Introduction

Recently, G. ASSER [2] has obtained two interesting characterizations of the class of unary primitive recursive string-functions over a fixed alphabet as Robinson algebras. Both characterizations use a somewhat artificial string-function, namely the string-function lexicographically associated with the number-theoretical excess-over-a-square function. Our aim is to offer two new and natural Robinson algebras which are equivalent to ASSER's algebras.

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of naturals, i.e. $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{N}_+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. We consider a fixed alphabet $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$, $r \geq 2$, and denote by A^* the free monoid generated by A under concatenation (with e as the null-string). By $\text{length}(w)$ we denote the length of the string w ($\text{length}(e) = 0$). For every $w \in A^*$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $w^m = ww\dots w$ (m times), in case $m > 0$, and $w^0 = e$. By Fnc (respectively, Fnc_A) we denote the set of all unary number-theoretical (respectively, string) functions. By $I, \text{Succ}, E, C_m, Pd$ we denote the following number-theoretical functions: $I(x) = x$; $\text{Succ}(x) = x + 1$; $E(x) = x \div [\sqrt{x}]^2$; $C_m(x) = m$; $Pd(x) = x \div 1$, where $x \div y = \max(x - y, 0)$. By $I^\wedge, \text{Succ}_i^\wedge, C_u^\wedge, \sigma, \delta, \pi$ we denote the following string-functions: $I^\wedge(w) = w$; $\text{Succ}_i^\wedge(w) = wa_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$); $C_u^\wedge(w) = u$; $\sigma(e) = a_1$, $\sigma(wa_i) = wa_{i+1}$, if $1 \leq i < r$, and $\sigma(wa_r) = \sigma(w)a_1$; $\delta(e) = e$, $\delta(wa_i) = w$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$); $\pi(e) = e$, $\pi(\sigma(w)) = w$. Furthermore we use the bijections $c: A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{c}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^*$ given by $c(e) = 0$, $c(wa_i) = r \cdot c(w) + i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $\bar{c}(0) = e$, $\bar{c}(m + 1) = \sigma(\bar{c}(m))$; obviously $c(\bar{c}(m)) = m$ and $\bar{c}(c(w)) = w$.

To each $f \in \text{Fnc}$ we associate the string-function $s(f) \in \text{Fnc}_A$ defined by $s(f)(w) = \bar{c}(f(c(w)))$; conversely, to each string-function g we associate the number-theoretical function $n(g) \in \text{Fnc}$ defined by $n(g)(x) = c(g(\bar{c}(x)))$. It is easily seen that for every $f \in \text{Fnc}$ and $g \in \text{Fnc}_A$ one has $n(s(f)) = f$ and $s(n(g)) = g$. For example, $s(C_m) = C_{\alpha(m)}^\wedge$, $s(\text{Succ}) = \sigma$, $s(I) = I^\wedge$, $s(Pd) = \pi$.

For every $F \subseteq \text{Fnc}$ and $G \subseteq \text{Fnc}_A$ we put $s(F) = \{s(f) \mid f \in F\}$ and $n(G) = \{n(g) \mid g \in G\}$. A mapping from Fnc^n in Fnc ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) is called an n -ary operator in Fnc , and analogous for Fnc_A . We consider the following operators in Fnc and Fnc_A :

$$\text{sub}(f, g) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, g, h \in \text{Fnc} \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = f(g(x));$$

$$\text{it}_x(f) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, h \in \text{Fnc} \quad \text{and} \quad h(0) = x, \quad h(y + 1) = f(h(y));$$

$$\text{add}(f, g) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, g, h \in \text{Fnc} \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = f(x) + g(x);$$

$$\text{diff}(f, g) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, g, h \in \text{Fnc} \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = f(x) \div g(x);$$

$$\text{sub}_A(f, g) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, g, h \in \text{Fnc}_A \quad \text{and} \quad h(w) = f(g(w));$$

$$\sigma\text{-it}_{A,u}(f) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, h \in \text{Fnc}_A \quad \text{and} \quad h(e) = u, \quad h(\sigma(w)) = f(h(w));$$

$$\text{it}_{A,u}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f_1, \dots, f_r, h \in \text{Fnc}_A \quad \text{and}$$

$$h(e) = u, \quad h(wa_i) = f_i(h(w)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r;$$

$$\text{con}_A(f, g) = h \quad \text{iff} \quad f, g, h \in \text{Fnc}_A \quad \text{and} \quad h(w) = f(w)g(w).$$

For every operator φ in Fnc ,

$$s(\varphi)(f) = s(\varphi(n(f))), \text{ for every } f \in Fnc;$$

analogously, for every operator θ in Fnc ,

$$n(\theta)(g) = n(\theta(s(g))), \text{ for every } g \in Fnc.$$

For example, $s(it_x) = \sigma-it_{A, c(x)}$, $n(\sigma - it_{A, w}) = it_{\bar{c}(w)}$.

Finally, for every subset $F \subseteq Fnc$ and every set X of operators in Fnc , $[F; X]$ denotes the smallest subset of Fnc which contains F and is closed under the operators belonging to X , and analogously for Fnc_A .

A simple, but useful, result in ASSER [2] establishes the following relations:

- (1) For every $F \subseteq Fnc$ and for every set X of operators in Fnc , $s([F; X]) = [s(F); s(X)]$.
- (2) For every $G \subseteq Fnc_A$ and for every set Y of operators in Fnc_A , $n([G; Y]) = [n(G); n(Y)]$.

2. Main results

The primitive recursive string-functions were introduced by ASSER [1] and studied by various authors (see EILENBERG and ELGOT [6], BRAINERD and LANDWEBER [4], CALUDE [5]). A famous result of R. M. ROBINSON [9] gives the following characterisation of the class $Prim^1$ of unary primitive recursive number-theoretical functions:

$$Prim^1 = [\{Succ, E\}; \{sub, it_0, add\}].$$

In ASSER [2] the following characterizations of the class $Prim^1_A$ of unary primitive recursive string-functions are obtained:

- (3) $Prim^1_A = [\{\sigma, s(E)\}; \{sub_A, \sigma-it_{A, e}, s(add)\}]$,
- (4) $Prim^1_A = [\{Succ^{\wedge}_1, \dots, Succ^{\wedge}_r, s(E)\}; \{sub_A, it_{A, e}, con_A\}]$.

These characterizations use the somewhat artificial string-function $s(E)$ and the operator $s(add)$ in Fnc_A is also rather artificial.

In GEORGIEVA [7] (see also CALUDE [5]) one finds the following result:

$$Prim^1 = [\{Succ\}; \{sub, diff\} \cup \{it_x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}].$$

This formula can be simplified as follows:

- (5) $Prim^1 = [\{Succ\}; \{sub, diff, it_0\}]$.

All that remains to prove (5) is the inclusion

$$Prim^1 \subseteq P = [\{Succ\}; \{sub, diff, it_0\}],$$

i.e. the closure of P under the operators it_x for $x \in \mathbb{N}_+$. First we note that P contains the functions $sg = it_0(C_1)$, $\bar{sg} = diff(C_1, sg)$ and that P is closed under sum and product. Now let f in P and $h = it_x(f)$, $x \in \mathbb{N}_+$. If, for every natural $k > 0$, $f^k(x) = f(f(\dots(f(x))\dots)) \neq 0$ (k times), then $h = sub(h^*, Succ)$, where $h^* = it_0(g)$ and $g(y) = x \cdot \bar{sg}(y) + f(y) \cdot sg(y)$ (\cdot denotes the product). In case there exists a natural $k > 0$ such that $f^k(x) = 0$, say the minimal one, then

$$h(y) = h^*(Succ(y)) \cdot \bar{sg}(t(x)) + h_*(t(x)) \cdot sg(t(x)),$$

where $t = diff(I, C_k)$, $h_* = it_0(f)$.

In view of (5) and (1), as a slight improved form of (3) we obtain:

$$(6) \quad Prim_A^1 = [\{\sigma\}; \{sub_A, \sigma-it_{A,e}, s(diff)\}].$$

The string-function $s(E)$ is dropped, but the unpleasant operator $s(diff)$ in Fnc_A is still present. To overcome this difficulty we shall present our first result:

$$(7) \quad Prim_A^1 = [\{Succ_1^A, \dots, Succ_r^A, \pi\}; \{sub_A, it_{A,e}, con_A\}].$$

For this, we denote by F the right-hand side of (7). In order to prove (7) we will show that (i) $\sigma \in F$, (ii) F is closed under $\sigma-it_{A,e}$, (iii) F is closed under $s(diff)$.

As in the proof of Proposition 2 in ASSER [2] we begin with displaying a list of string-functions belonging to F :

$$a) \quad C_e^A(w) = e: C_e^A = it_{A,e}(\pi, \dots, \pi).$$

$$b) \quad \kappa_i(w) = a_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq r): \kappa_i = sub_A(Succ_i^A, C_e^A).$$

$$c) \quad I^A(w) = w: I^A = it_{A,e}(Succ_1^A, \dots, Succ_r^A).$$

$$d) \quad sg_i^A(e) = e, \quad sg_i^A(w) = a_i, \text{ for } w \neq e \quad (1 \leq i \leq r): sg_i^A = it_{A,e}(\kappa_i, \dots, \kappa_i).$$

$$e) \quad succ_i^A(w) = a_i w \quad (1 \leq i \leq r): succ_i^A = con_A(\kappa_i, I^A).$$

$$f) \quad mir(e) = e, \quad mir(wu) = mir(u) mir(w): mir = it_{A,e}(succ_1^A, \dots, succ_r^A).$$

$$g) \quad \lambda_i(w) = a_i^{\text{length}(w)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r): \lambda_i = it_{A,e}(Succ_i^A, \dots, Succ_i^A).$$

$$h) \quad \gamma_i(w) = a_i^{c(w)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r):$$

$$\gamma_i = it_{A,e}(con_A(I^A \dots I^A, \kappa_i), con_A(I^A \dots I^A, \kappa_i \kappa_i), \dots, con_A(I^A \dots I^A, \kappa_i \dots \kappa_i))$$

(the k -th place of the operator $it_{A,e}$ is $con_A(I^A \dots I^A, \kappa_i \dots \kappa_i)$ with r concatenations of I^A and k concatenations of κ_i ; $1 \leq k \leq r$).

$$i) \quad \alpha_i(w) = u \text{ iff } w = ua_i a_i \dots a_i \text{ and } u \text{ does not terminate with } a_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq r):$$

$$\alpha_i = sub_A(mir, sub_A(it_{A,e}(Succ_1^A, \dots, Succ_{i-1}^A, con_A(I^A, sg_i^A), Succ_{i+1}^A, \dots, Succ_r^A), mir))).$$

$$j) \quad \beta_i(w) = a_i a_i \dots a_i \text{ iff } w = \alpha(w) a_i a_i \dots a_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq r): \beta_i = it_{A,e}(C_e^A, \dots, Succ_i^A, \dots, C_e^A).$$

$$k) \quad \overline{sg}_i^A(e) = a_i, \quad \overline{sg}_i^A(w) = e, \text{ for } w \neq e \quad (1 \leq i \leq r):$$

$$\overline{sg}_i^A = sub_A(sg_i^A, sub_A(\beta_r, con_A(succ_r^A, sg_i^A))).$$

$$l) \quad \psi_i(e) = a_{i+1}, \quad \psi_i(w) = a_i, \text{ for } w \neq e \quad (1 \leq i < r): \psi_i = con_A(\overline{sg}_{i+1}^A, sg_i^A);$$

$$\psi_r(e) = a_1, \quad \psi_r(w) = a_r, \text{ for } w \neq e: \psi_r = con_A(\overline{sg}_1^A, sg_r^A).$$

$$m) \quad \chi(e) = e, \quad \chi(ua_i) = ua_{i+1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < r, \quad \chi(ua_r) = ua_1:$$

$$\chi = sub_A(mir, sub_A(it_{A,e}(con_A(I^A, \psi_1), \dots, con_A(I^A, \psi_r)), mir)).$$

We are now in a position to prove (i): $\sigma \in F$. Indeed,

$$\sigma = con_A(sub_A(\overline{sg}_1^A, \alpha_r), con_A(sub_A(\chi, \alpha_r), sub_A(\kappa_1, \beta_r))).$$

Passing to (ii) we note that

$$(8) \quad \sigma-it_{A,e}(f) = sub_A(it_{A,e}(f, \dots, f), \gamma_1),$$

i.e. F is closed under the operator $\sigma-it_{A,e}$.

To finish the proof we recall that, for every $f \in Fnc_A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $f^0 = I^A$ and $f^n = sub_A(f, sub_A(f, \dots, sub_A(f, f) \dots))$, n times. Using a double lexicographical induction one proves the equality

$$\pi^{c(w)}(u) = \tilde{c}(diff(c(u), c(w))) \text{ for } u, w \in A^*,$$

which enables us to write the formula

$$(9) \quad s(diff)(f, g) = sub_A(it_{A,e}(\sigma, \pi, I^A, \dots, I^A), con_A(sub_A(\gamma_1, f), sub_A(\gamma_2, g))),$$

for all $f, g \in Fnc_A$, thus proving (iii). This ends the proof of (7).

Our second Robinson algebra is the following:

$$(10) \quad Prim_A^1 = [\{\sigma, \pi\}; \{sub_A, it_{A,e}, con_A\}].$$

In view of (6) we must prove that the right-hand side of (10) is closed under $\sigma-it_{A,e}$ and $s(diff)$.

Again we proceed with displaying a sequence of primitive recursive string-functions belonging to the right-hand side of (10):

- a) $I^A = sub_A(\pi, \sigma)$.
- b) $C_e^A = it_{A,e}(\pi, \dots, \pi)$.
- c) $\varkappa_i = sub_A(\sigma, sub_A(\sigma, \dots, sub_A(\sigma, C_e^A)))$,

where the operator sub_A appears i times ($1 \leq i \leq r$).

$$d) \gamma_i = it_{A,e}(con_A(M_r(I^A), M_1(\varkappa_i)) con_A(M_r(I^A), M_2(\varkappa_i)), \dots, con_A(M_r(I^A), M_r(\varkappa_i))),$$

with $M_j(f) = con_A(f, con_A(f, \dots, con_A(f, f) \dots))$, where f is any string-function and the operator con_A appears $j \geq 1$ times.

The proof of (10) is complete in view of (8) and (9).

Finally, we conjecture the validity of the following formula:

$$(11) \quad Prim_A^1 = [\{\sigma, \pi\}; \{sub_A, \sigma-it_{A,e}, con_A\}].$$

In view of (2) and $n(Succ^A)(x) = Succ^i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, (11) holds iff its right-hand side is closed under the operator $it_{A,e}$.

3. Final remarks

After finishing this paper we have learnt the following new characterizations of $Prim_A^1$ due to G. ASSER [3]:

$$Prim_A^1 = [\{Succ_1^A, \dots, Succ_r^A, \lambda\}; \{sub_A, it_{A,e}, con_A\}] \\ = [\{Succ_1^A, \dots, Succ_r^A, \varrho\}; \{sub_A, it_{A,e}, con_A\}],$$

where λ, ϱ are the component functions of the pairing function

$$\gamma(u, v) = a_1^{\text{length}(u)} a_2 u v a_2 a_1^{\text{length}(v)},$$

i.e., if $w = \gamma(u, v)$ for some strings u, v , then $\lambda(w) = u$ and $\rho(w) = v$, else $\lambda(w) = \rho(w) = e$.

Furthermore G. ASSER (communication of July 13, 1989) has perceived that in (7) the function π can be replaced by the function δ , i.e.

$$(12) \quad \text{Prim}_A^1 = [\{ \text{Succ}_1^A, \dots, \text{Succ}_r^A, \delta \}, \{ \text{sub}_A, \text{it}_{A,e}, \text{con}_A \}].$$

The proof is essentially the same as for (7). Only a) must be replaced by

$$C_e^A = \text{it}_{A,e}(\delta, \dots, \delta),$$

and (9) must be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & s(\text{diff})(f, g) \\ & = \text{sub}_A(\text{it}_{A,e}(\sigma, \dots, \sigma), \text{sub}_A(\text{it}_{A,e}(\text{Succ}_1^A, \delta, \dots, \delta), (\text{con}_A(\text{sub}_A(\gamma_1, f), \text{sub}_A(\gamma_2, g))))). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] ASSER, G., Rekursive Wortfunktionen. This Zeitschrift 6 (1960), 258–278.
- [2] ASSER, G., Primitive recursive word-functions of one variable. In: Computation Theory and Logic (E. BÖRGER, ed.), Springer Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 270 (1987), pp. 14–19.
- [3] ASSER, G., Zur Robinson-Charakterisierung der einstelligen primitiv rekursiven Wortfunktionen. This Zeitschrift 34 (1988), 317–322.
- [4] BRAINERD, W. S., and L. H. LANDWEBER, Theory of Computation. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1974.
- [5] CALUDE, C., Theories of Computational Complexity. Annals of Discrete Mathematics 35, North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam–New York–Oxford–Tokyo 1988.
- [6] EILENBERG, S., and C. C. ELGOT, Recursiveness. Academic Press, New York–London 1970.
- [7] GEORGIEVA, N., Another simplification of the recursion schema. Arch. Math. Logik Grundlagenforsch. 18 (1976), 1–3.
- [8] PÉTER, R., Rekursive Funktionen. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1951.
- [9] ROBINSON, R. M., Primitive recursive functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 925–943.
- [10] ROSE, H. E., Subrecursion. Functions and Hierarchies. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1984.

C. Calude

(Eingegangen am 14. November 1988)

Department of Mathematics

University of Bucharest

14 Academiei Str.

Bucharest R-70109

Romania

L. Sântean

Department of Knowledge Processing Systems

Institute for Computers and Informatics

8–10 Miciurin Blvd.

Bucharest R-71316

Romania