CREATING A BENCHMARKING SERVICE TO MEASURE ICT UPTAKE FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY*

Graham Brewer <u>gbrewer@mail.newcastle.edu.au</u> Thayaparan Gajendran <u>Thayaparan.Gajendran@newcastle.edu.au</u> Judith McCann <u>Judith.McCann@newcastle.edu.au</u> Professor Swee Eng Chen <u>Swee.Chen@newcastle.edu.au</u> School of Architecture and Built Environment, University of Newcastle. Australia

SUMMARY

As national and international competition in the Construction Industry (CI) intensifies, construction organisations are investing large amounts of money in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in an attempt to gain a competitive advantage. However, the beneficial outcomes of ICT are sometimes unclear and some organisations are dissatisfied with their ICT investments. This suggests that organisations are experiencing problems in their adoption and integration of new technology into their business practices. Benchmarking ICT uptake can aid an organisation in identifying and achieving its ICT goals, highlighting areas of deficiency. The Co-operative Research Centre for Construction Innovation (CRC CI) in Australia has commissioned a scoping study into the development of a benchmarking tool to measure ICT uptake in the CI. Initial research indicates the development of a web-based survey tool that can be expanded enabling other, unrelated issues to be investigated using the same infrastructure. This paper presents the rationale behind the tool and outlines its features.

Keywords: Benchmarking, ICT, Uptake, On-line

INTRODUCTION

Construction projects demand the intensive generation and communication of information. The effective integration of information is a key factor in improving the quality, cost efficiency and shortened delivery times in any construction project (Sarshar, Betts & Ridgeway, 1999). In such an information-rich environment the implementation of a coherent ICT strategy is vital to an organisation aiming to improve time, cost and quality outputs.

Widening of the operational environment further complicates the situation. With the advent of sophisticated ICT technologies, organisations are able to undertake projects on the other side of the world with partners they may never meet. Within Australia, construction organisations are operating in remote locations from central offices. Kajewski (2000) has recognised the need for web-based project platforms and information transfers, and for the CI to embrace these methods as other sectors have.

With the globalisation of the CI Australian companies have to vie with major international competitors for local contracts. If the industry is to engage competitively in these situations then some measure of performance is required. The process of benchmarking provides such a measure and has been defined as "the continuous process of measuring products, services and practices, against the toughest competitors or those companies recognised as industry leaders" (Keans, 1989, cited in Bendal, Boulter & Goodstadt, 1998).

* This project is funded by the Co-operative Research Centre for Construction, Australia. <u>http://www.construction-innovation.info/</u>

Benchmarking methodologies are primarily tools that encourage a culture of continuous improvement, triggered by observation of best practice. As competitors provide challenges within marketplaces, they can also give insights into how business performance might be improved. Benchmarking through *objective* competitor analysis allows companies to measure products or services against competitors and best-inclass companies. Such is the potential benefit of a benchmarking database- a system that has the ability to act as an industry sentinel, monitoring sector-wide improvements whilst concurrently identifying best practice against which organisations can compare and learn.

Organisations are *enabling* themselves through ICT implementation in order to compete in a market that is rapidly becoming global. Logically, the next phase in this evolution is to assess the performance benefits gained by implementing these innovations using organisational benchmarking as a trigger. If this is accomplished, both individual organisations and the industry at large will be able to monitor performance improvements over time, compare themselves with competitors and supply chain partners, and access useful information regarding their organisational status.

Perceptive and considered use of such information has the potential to revolutionise the business practices of construction industry participants and promote a culture of knowledge sharing and cooperation without the risk of compromising competitive advantage. By benchmarking ICT uptake and integration it will be possible to gauge where industry participants stand in relation to each other and also, more importantly, with the rest of the world.

The CRC CI is a unique initiative that allows co-operative groups of organisations from industry, government and research to access substantial amounts of Australian Federal Government grant aid for applied research projects that are likely to deliver tangible benefits to the industry. Its vision is to lead the Australian property and construction industry in collaboration and innovation, with the three objectives of:

- enhancing the contribution of long-term scientific and technological research and innovation to Australia's sustainable economic and social development.
- enhancing the collaboration between researchers, industry and government, and improving efficiency in the use of intellectual and research resources.
- creating and commercially exploiting tools, technologies and management systems to deliver innovative and sustainable constructed assets to further the financial, environmental and social benefit to the construction industry and the community.

It is logical that the CRC CI with its nationwide focus should champion a benchmarking initiative that transcends the single issue of ICT uptake, providing a platform upon which a multitude of disparate issues may be benchmarked.

BENCHMARKING ICT UPTAKE

Rationale for the study

There is abundant literature that attests to the desirability of integrating ICT into the business processes of CI participant organisations. Likewise, many studies have been carried out worldwide to establish the level of ICT uptake across the CI; a meta-analysis of the prominent amongst these is provided in Table 1. The authors acknowledge that ICT uptake alone will not produce the desired business performance improvements. It is held that project performance is a function of the uptake *and* integration of ICT into the business processes of the project supply chain. Longitudinal studies have charted the spread (or lack of it) of ICT throughout the sector, though none nationally in Australia, thus providing the rationale for this study (ICT integration is the subject of a sister project being funded by the CRC CI and carried out at the University of Newcastle). The IT Barometer (Howard and Samuelson, 1998), originally developed in Scandinavia, has been applied to a number of different countries over the past five years. As a consequence it forms the point of departure for the proposed survey, though it is intended to form partnerships with other international benchmarking organisations and CRC CI international partners, given that the scope of the project is now proposed to extend outside of the ICT domain.

TITLE/AUTHOR	GOALS/AIMS	METHOD	SIMILARITIES	DIFFERENCES
Building &	Uses best practice to	Survey via email with	Are looking to identify	Although there is an
Construction	identify concepts,	small numbers.	key performance	overlap, fundamentally
Industries Supply	innovations and initiative		indicators and are	the projects at this stage
Chain Project	that are working in		benchmarking supply	are looking at different
	supply chain		chain management	levels of complexity.
Tucker, Mohamed,	management.		practices. Supply chain	This study is looking at
Johnston, McFallen &			management is	processes rather than IT
Hampson (2001)			facilitated by the	specifically. We are
CSIRO			integration of business process which is in turn	looking at much larger numbers of
			facilitated by IT	organisations and
			acintated by T	individuals.
International	Enhance knowledge or	Completed telephone	Aims are basically the	The study is not limited
Benchmarking Studies		interviews with	same.	to the construction
5	benchmark UK against	approximately 7600	Study assesses both the	sector.
Department of Trade &	other countries, identify	businesses of all sizes.	usage of ICT and its	
Industry	strengths & weaknesses		integration into business	
(2003)	in organisations of all		practises.	
.	sizes.	A H H H H		
On-line and Remote	Development and	On-line databases	Encouraging web-based	Primarily focused on
Construction	evaluation of an on-line	accessible to project	project management	remote project
Management (ORCM)	project platform to reduce time wastage	participant to allow communication between	and the use of IT. Also attempts to benchmark	management, not IT uptake and integration.
Pahos & Kajewski	and cost associated with	geographically isolated	the project to determine	uplane and integration.
(2000)	information transfers.		cost effectiveness to	
QUT & CSIRO		of case studies to	technologies.	
		assess the success of		
		the on-line techniques.		
Case Study of the	The application of the	Case study approach	Case study approach to	Is small scale compared
National Museum	alliancing method of	using surveys with	benchmarking with an	to the scope of the
(Acton Peninsula)	project delivery; and	project participants	emphasis on IT.	present study which
Project	The use of IT in the	assessing project		aims to collect similar
Keniger, Hampson &	design, construction and	alliancing and IT. Case was benchmarked		information on a much
Peters (2000)	project management	against the Australian		larger scale
releis (2000)		industry standards.		
Gallicon/ Salford	Evaluate the impact of	Benchmarking- see		
University	an integrated project	figure 1 for a breakdown		
-	database on a project	of the benchmarking		
Aouad, Sun, Bakis &	lifecycle in construction	lifecycle adopted for this		
Swan (2001)		study. Is a hybrid of		
		Andersen & Pettersen		
		(1996) and Construct IT		
		(1998) methods of benchmarking		
IT Construction & Real	Provide a common IT	Use IT as support to	Long-term perspective	Is not a live data site
Estate	platform for construction	change management	for management change	
	and real estate	processes. Requires	regarding IT.	industry as a whole, is
www.itbof.com	companies for improved		Requires industry	more a tool than a data
(2003)	market communication,	between all parties. Is	participation.	reservoir.
	greater efficiency, lower	not about the immediate		
	costs, and higher	needs of the		
Construction Indust:	quality.	construction industry.	la a wab basad	Deep not each to
Construction Industry Trading Exchange	Create an "open, neutral	Project process: feasibility> design>	Is a web-based approach with a heavy	Does not seem to
(CITE)	exchange" via a web based "one stop shop"	construction> operation	emphasis on being a	involve the long term storage of company
(2003)	for the procurement of	with participants	neutral exchange. Aim	information for use in
(goods and services.	involved for different	to introduce web based	comparison. It is more a
	13-240 4.14 001 10000.	periods at different	trading into construction	virtual shopping centre
		penous al unerent		
		times. Has various	management practices	and lacks best practice
		•		
		times. Has various capabilities: design and document management,		and lacks best practice
		times. Has various capabilities: design and		and lacks best practice

 Table 1
 Meta-analysis of benchmarking methodologies

TITLE/AUTHOR	GOALS/AIMS	METHOD	SIMILARITIES	DIFFERENCES
Computer use in New	Attempts to measure	Survey of quantity found	Looking to measure IT	The range of IT issues
Zealand Construction Doherty (1997)	computer usage and main roles, what is used and how much this has changed in the last five years.	that a "large minority of businesses do not use computers or use them only casually". Concludes that there is an apparent need for businesses to better manage their use of IT.	with a long-term perspective.	have limited interpretation capabilities. The survey is based primarily on the IT barometer and therefore does not address the less tangible aspects of IT diffusion in organisations.
KPI Study- Blackpool Sea Defence Centre for Construction Innovation (2001)	Benchmarking study for assessment of project performance.	Determined key performance indicators and compared company levels with industry norms. Benchmark levels were set for future performance.		Does not incorporate IT uptake and integration, which is a key factor in the present study.
Impact of IT on the Canadian AEC industries Rivard (2000)	Aims to assess the current and planned use of IT and its impact within the housing sector	Adopted a slightly modified version of the IT Barometer. Found that many business processes are also entirely computerised with the intention to make them even more so, with the exception of design information, which still tends to remain in traditional form. Although these changes have raised the productivity and speed of work, they require more advanced skills to use them.	Analysis of usage of IT with an organisational and temporary organization perspective. Also looking at the same group of industry participants	In comparison this is a short-term project. Is not as focused on the integration of IT into organisational practises and culture. Does not have local (organisation), intermediate (temporary organisation) and global (construction industry) perspective.
Summary of IT use in Turkish Construction Industry Isikdag (1999)	Aims to assess the use and the priorities of the Construction industry regarding IT use and implementation	Survey of IT usage and IT topics of interest via lit review. Participants identified what they thought were topics of priority. Interviews based on answers to questionnaires.	Long term the project aims to identify critical issues in the Cl, the temporary organization and the organization as a whole.	Is not a live database like ours, and our platform is not limited to IT benchmarking long- term.
Evaluation of IT investments Anandarajam, Wen (1999)	Aimed to identify a more industry specific measure of IT investment that better captures the intangible benefits of IT and present this information in a manner that practitioners can understand.	Survey method- but with the separation of tangible and intangible benefits. The usual Likert scale has been removed as it is considered too objective. Framework was developed in order to identify hidden costs in the project lifecycle.	Is looking at more than just quantities in relation to IT.	This is a small-scale version, which is focused on financial rather than business process advantages of IT. Is not web based or long-term
Innovation Indicators in Building AEGIS (1999) Commonwealth Dept of Industry, Science and Resources	Aim to map the industry to illustrate the dynamics of growth and development. Looks at the collective infrastructure needed for further development of the industry.	Assessment of various options and issues such as sampling, survey length, target population,	Is a long-term approach with objective perspective for and broad-view aims. Identifies business environment, use of technologies and barriers to information technology.	Are not measuring performance within and between organisations and temporary organisations. And have no web-based platforms.

 Table 1 cont. Meta-analysis of benchmarking methodologies.

TITLE/AUTHOR	GOALS/AIMS	METHOD	SIMILARITIES	DIFFERENCES
Awareness & Usage of Info Standards in the UK CI: A survey by the SIENE Network. Ingirige & Aouad (2001)	The aim of the investigation was to steam-line info standardization interpretability. Aim to review current projects and benchmark them.	Survey and case study method, accompanied by a discussion of different information	Large scale benchmarking study which aims to unify the industry. Is looking at more than just IT usage.	Is not an actual database than can be accessed by industry and participants.
(Salford University) Innovation in Building & Construction. CI Branch, Science & Res. Canberra Cebon, Newton & Noble (1999)	Evaluation and commentary on the state of the CI and the need for policy to be based on empirical evidence.	innovation, innovativeness and the	benefits of harnessing innovation and organising the industry.	Is not specifically focused on IT, and lacks the benefits for individual organisations. Also is not a benchmarking exercise, rather it is guidelines for future research and development.
www.ITCBP.org.uk (2003)	Best practice self assessment tool for the U.K construction industry	and various packages to improve organisation practices through on-line assessment.	to organisation productivity and	Good prototype for the aims of the present study.

Table 1 cont. Meta-analysis of benchmarking methodologies.

Rationale for web-based data collection

After reviewing the literature and identifying diverse examples of "world's best practice" in survey methodology a number of survey mechanisms were considered for use in this study including Postal survey, Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) survey and Web-based survey. These were then assessed for ease of use, cost, reliability and potential for introducing bias, with a final choice being made in favour of web-based technology. Some of the advantages of web-based surveys include:

- Email follow-up reminders are easy and low cost.
- Provision of feedback has been found to motivate respondent participation.
- Running costs are minimal compared to postal surveys. With the exception of the development costs for the site, there are few ongoing costs.
- Data analysis costs are minimal compared to other survey types. Not only do they contain the advantages of traditional survey methods, but also save cost and time in data entry, as survey data is immediately available for analysis.
- Altering and updating can be done automatically.

The decision to pursue a web-based solution was in part guided by the recognition that, with careful design, a robust and adaptable survey mechanism could be created that could be used by the CRC CI to benchmark other, unrelated, subjects.

The conceptual CRC CI benchmarking tool

Literature has indicated that maximum benefit can be derived from using a web-based data collection mechanism. Ongoing costs associated with data collection, storage and analysis will be lower than with either of the alternatives discussed in this document. The proposed benchmarking tool will have a *core survey mechanism* that uses a modular graphical user interface (the questionnaire and report generator) dedicated to investigating a particular study topic and will be able to be adapted to other areas of investigation. Figure 1 demonstrates the conceptual structure of the benchmarking tool. A number of features are apparent in this mechanism:


- The data will be collected via an on-line questionnaire. This will be the public face of a subject-specific module.
- User enquiries, data input and reporting of results will all be executed through the same interface, and hosted on a web site.
- The tool will generate a set of key performance indicators relevant to the user enquiry.
- After analysis, results access will be facilitated through the web site. It is intended that the results will be available in many different formats, diagrams, charts and written reports.
- The results type and availability will be controlled by the nature of the module being accessed.
- A buffer mechanism will be included to screen the data being inputted into the system. It is considered that this would be an essential feature, protecting the integrity of the database because:
 - It would prevent the same organisational unit from inputting multiple entries.
 - It would also prevent the entry of "trial runs" that would corrupt the integrity of the database.
 - It would allow a user to save (via an I.D. number) a partially complete response to the questionnaire, allowing them to complete it at a later date.
- The database will be divided into two parts, the active database (data not more than two years old) and the archive database (data older than two years). These would serve two distinct purposes:
 - Active database- this would allow users to compare their organisational practice with industry and sector norms, and best-practice examples. Access to a contemporary snapshot of industry performance could allow meaningful benchmarking baselines to be set, and progress towards individual goals to be recorded.
 - Archive database- this would allow other groups of users to take a longer-term view of the issue under consideration. Trends could be identified, the diffusion of innovation could be mapped and evidence for the effectiveness of specific research initiatives could be sought. By moving ageing data out of the active database, benchmarking against obsolete practice would be avoided.
- Notwithstanding the need for commercial confidentiality, the mechanism would allow for the easy identification of organisations that demonstrated best practice in aspects of their performance. If they indicated their willingness to participate, they could then be the subject of a case study. This would then be published in a dedicated area of the web site.
- The underlying mechanism could be applied to any number of data collection, analysis, or reporting situations.

It is intended that the initial population of the database will be achieved by using a number of survey methods (post, telephone and electronic) and will be recruited from diverse sources, for example CRC CI project participants, Master Builders Association membership databases, and other commercial databases.

The 'living' nature of the mechanism will ensure that changes can be made to the detail of the questions without rendering the database obsolete. New lines of questions may be introduced as a result of the findings of the latest research, for instance, arising from other CRC CI projects. These questions will run in parallel with the existing set. This will allow comparison with previous datasets, whilst preparing for the next iteration of the instrument when the old question sets will have been replaced.

Analysis of the active database and the process of archiving historical databases could allow the mapping of industry-wide change over time. From this, changing patterns of use and integration would be plotted, indicating the diffusion of innovation in the areas that had been surveyed.

Currently, the status of the project is that in-principle agreement has been given for its development, thus providing funds for the implementation phase. A computer software engineering research student is beginning concurrent development of a beta version of both the database and the web-based front end. This is to be based upon a needs analysis. Inherent in this process is the recognition that a template approach will be required for questionnaire design that is flexible enough to enable various diverse research topics to use the underlying mechanism. This will require input from other potential research users. A number of different software engineering structures will be advanced and evaluated for fit with the outcome of the needs analysis.



User Inquiry

Figure 1 Conceptual Model for Benchmarking Tool

CONCLUSION

Until now, Australia has lacked a national survey of ICT uptake. The CRC CI has recognised the need for this, both in terms of a national "stock take" and as a vital step for any organisation wishing to benchmark its ICT performance. Concurrently, it has been acknowledged that the mechanism being developed to fulfill these requirements could be utilised to investigate a number of other issues. The proposed benchmarking tool generates a database of information that grows with every new participant's input via the web questionnaire. The information can be kept up-to-date with periodic input from participants ensuring the data contained is a true depiction of the current environment. The tool's ability to be expanded is easily achieved since its mechanism is indifferent to the content type. The possibilities for expansion include adaptation by other CRC CI projects and industry sectors.

This line of research has the potential to be highly successful, due to the many derived organisational and industry-wide benefits. It is an innovative concept that is feasible for the following reasons:

- International experience has shown that centralised benchmarking initiatives have acted as a spur to industry-wide performance improvement, since
- Exposure to best practice case studies has been shown to trigger organisational re-engineering, and
- Access to mean industry performance values in the form of key performance indicators has provided a direct measure of organisational performance improvement.

The tool has several advantages over other benchmarking schemes used elsewhere:

- The survey will be web-based.
- It will add new data to the existing database.
- The mechanism will compare this dataset to the industry mean contained in the database and report back to the user.
- Database reports will be tailored to the user's requirements. This may be achieved manually (by subscriber selection of comparator groupings) or automatically (the database will select a range of comparator groups of interest, based on the subscriber's own data).
- The master database will be a 'living' resource, constantly being updated as each new dataset is added.
- Periodic culls of old datasets will ensure that the active database only contains data that is less than two years old, ensuring that comparisons will only be made with latest industry practice.
- The user subscription structure will be arranged to encourage periodic updating of the database.
- Concurrently, the mechanism will identify sector leaders in terms of their performance, targeting them for potential inclusion as best-practice case studies.

The tool has the potential to become the accepted benchmarking mechanism across the Australian CI, and can be heralded as promoting industry and cross-industry benchmarking standards. The CRC CI believe the tool will help foster an online community in the construction industry, over time encourage industry participants to create more online communities. They believe the tool will help organisations develop more effective and efficient business practices and encourage integration and standardisation in the construction industry.

REFERENCES

AEGIS (1999). "Innovation Indicators in Building and Construction." <u>Report for Department of</u> <u>Industry, Science and Resources</u>.

Anandarajan, A. Weng, H. J. (1999). "*Evaluation of information technology investment.*" <u>Management Decision</u> **37**(4): 329-337.

Aouad, G., Sun, M., Bakis, N., Swan, W. (2001) *"Integrated information exchange towards an industry-wide process improvement with particular emphasis on water and housing industry projects"*. <u>Gallicon Supporting Partners Benchmarking Report</u>

Bendell, T, Boulter & Goodstadt, P.(1998) "*Benchmarking for competitive advantage*" 2nd ed. London. Pitman

Betts, M. (1999). "Strategic Management of IT in Construction." Blackwell Science, Oxford, U.K.

Cebon, P., P. Newton, et al. (1999). *"Innovation in Building & Construction: Towards a Model for Indicator Development."* Melbourne, Construction Industries Branch, Department of Industry, Science & Resources, Canberra.

Centre for Construction Innovation (2001) "KPI Study - Blackpool Sea Defence"

CITE (2003) *Construction Industry Trading Electronically* Accessed at <u>http://www.cite.org.uk/PIIIG.htm</u> on 21/2/2003

Department of Trade and Industry (2002) *"International Benchmarking Report"* accessed at <u>http://www.ukonlineforbusiness.gov.uk/benchmarking2002/pages/index_top.html</u> on 21/2/2003

Doherty, J. M. (1997). "A survey of computer use in the New Zealand building and construction industry." <u>Electronic Journal of Information Technology in Construction</u> **2**: 1-13.

Fischer, M. A., Waugh, L.M, Axworthy, A. (1998). "*IT support of single project, multi project and industry wide integration*." <u>Computers in Industry</u> **35**: 31-45.

Howard R, Samuelson O (1998) *"IT-barometer: international comparisons of IT in building"*. In: Proceedings of CIB W78. Bjork, B-C and Jagbeck, A (eds.) "The life-cycle of IT innovations in construction. Technology transfer from research into practice", June 3-5 1998, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm.

Ingirige, B. and G. Aouad (2001). *Awareness and Usage of Information Standards in the UK Construction Industry: A Survey by The SIENE Network*. School of Construction and Property Management, University of Salford.

Isikdag, U. *"A survey of IT use in the Turkish construction industry",* School of Construction and Property Management, University of Salford

Kajewski, S. P., S (2000). "*IT fast-tracking remote construction projects*." <u>Built Environment</u> <u>Innovation and Construction Technology</u>. Keniger, M, Hampson, K, Peters, R (2000) *"Case study of the Australian National Museum."* <u>Fifth Annual Conference for Innovation in Construction</u>

Lenard, D. and C. Abbott (2002). *Innovative Procurement in Construction: An Australian Case Study*. Centre for Construction Innovation.

Love, P. E. D. and M. Skitmore (1996). *Construction project delivery systems: An analysis of selection criteria weighting*. Proceedings of CIB W92 - "North meets South: Developing Ideas", Durban, South Africa, The Department of Property Development and Construction Economics, University of Natal.

Pahos, S. and Kajewski, S. (2000) *"IT Fast-tracking Remote Construction Projects"* in <u>Built</u> <u>Environment Innovation and Construction Technology</u>. CSIRO.

Rivard, H. (2000). "A survey on the impact of information technology on the Canadian architecture, engineering and construction industry." <u>Electronic Journal of Information Technology</u> in Construction **5**: 37-56.

Sarshar, M., Betts, M. and Ridgeway, M. (1999) *"Does construction need an Information Strategy Planning methodology?"* in Bowen, P. and Hindle, R. (eds) *"Customer satisfaction: a focus for research and practice"*. Proceedings of Joint Triennial Symposium. Capetown. CIB W55 & W65.

Sturges, J. L., C. Egbu, Bates, A. (1999). *Innovation in Construction. Construction Industry Development in the New Millenium, Singapore*, School of Building and Real Estate, National University of Singapore.

Tucker S.N., Mohamed, S., Johnston, D.R., McFallen, S.L., Hampson, K.D. (2001) *"Building and construction industries supply chain project (domestic)"*. <u>Report for Department of Industry,</u> <u>Science and Resources.</u>

<u>www.itcbp.org.uk</u>. "A best practise self-assessment tool for the U.K construction industry." Accessed 21/2/2003

http://www.itbof.com/sa/node.asp?node=113 (1997). "IT Construction and Real Estate 2000: Implementation in construction and facilities management." Accessed 21/2/2003