



# CompSci.367

## The Practice of Artificial Intelligence

Assoc. Prof. Ian Watson

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Symbolic reasoning

- GOFAI\* relies on the Physical Symbol System Hypothesis:
  - Intelligent activity is achieved through the use of
    - symbol patterns to represent the problem
    - operations on those patterns to generate potential solutions
    - search to select a solution among the possibilities
  - An AI representation language must
    - handle qualitative knowledge
    - allow new knowledge to be inferred from facts & rules
    - allow representation of general principles
    - capture complex semantic meaning
    - allow for meta-level reasoning (reasoning about reasoning)
    - e.g., Predicate Calculus (also, the basis of Prolog)

\* GOFAI = "Good Old Fashioned AI"

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate Calculus

- Predicate calculus (PC) is a language for representing knowledge, amenable to reasoning using inference rules
- the syntax of a language defines the form of statements
  - the building blocks of statements in the PC are terms and predicates
  - terms denote objects and properties
    - truth symbols            true false
    - constant symbols        dave redBlock happy
    - variable symbols        X    Person Answer1
    - function expressions    mother(bob)    plus(1,3)
  - predicates define relationships between objects (arity defines the number of arguments)
    - mother/1    plus/2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: sentences

- sentences are statements about the world
  - propositions (predicates applied to terms) are sentences
  - if S, S1 and S2 are sentences, then so are
    - $\neg S$  (negation – NOT)
    - $S1 \wedge S2$  (conjunction – AND)
    - $S1 \vee S2$  (disjunction – OR)
    - $S1 \Rightarrow S2$  (implication – IF-THEN)
    - $\forall X S$  (universal quantification – FOR ALL X...)
    - $\exists X S$  (existential quantification – THERE EXISTS an X...)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: sentences

- male(dave)
- parent(dave, jack)
- $\neg$ happy(chris)
- parent(dave, jack)  $\wedge$  parent(dave, charlie)
- happy(chris)  $\vee$   $\neg$ happy(chris)
- healthy(kelly)  $\Rightarrow$  happy(kelly)
- $\forall X$  (healthy(X)  $\Rightarrow$  happy(X))
- $\exists X$  parent(dave, X)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: semantics

- the semantics of a language defines the meaning of statements
- an interpretation assigns meaning to terms/sentences
  - must focus on a particular domain (universe of objects)
  - terms are assigned values from the domain
    - constant  $\rightarrow$  an object in the domain
    - variable  $\rightarrow$  a subset of the domain
    - function symbol  $\rightarrow$  a function mapping args to an object in the domain
  - predicate symbols are assigned mappings from args to true/false
    - e.g. DOMAIN: students in this class
    - patrick, bryanP, john, bryanJ, scott : mapped to actual people
    - friend function : maps a students to his/her friend
    - friend(patrick)  $\rightarrow$  bryanP, friend(bryanP)  $\rightarrow$  patrick
    - undergrad/1: maps a student to true if an undergrad, else false
    - grad/1: maps a student to true if a grad student, else false
    - male/1: maps a student to true if male, else false
    - female/1: maps a student to true if female, else false

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: semantics

- interpretation assigns true/false value to sentences
  - proposition assigned true/false according to predicate mapping
- $\neg S$  true if S is false, else false
- $S1 \wedge S2$  true if both S1 and S2 are true, else false
- $S1 \vee S2$  true if either S1 or S2 are true, else false
- $S1 \Rightarrow S2$  false if S1 is true and S2 is false, else true
- $\forall X S$  true if S is true for all assignments to X
- $\exists X S$  true if S is true for any assignment to X

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: semantics

- e.g. the following are all assigned true under the previous interpretation
  - $\text{undergrad}(\text{patrick}) \quad \text{grad}(\text{scott}) \quad \text{male}(\text{john})$
  - $\neg \text{undergrad}(\text{john}) \quad \neg \text{female}(\text{bryanP})$
  - $\text{undergrad}(\text{patrick}) \wedge \text{undergrad}(\text{friend}(\text{patrick}))$
  - $\text{undergrad}(\text{bryanP}) \vee \text{undergrad}(\text{bryanJ})$
  - $\forall X \text{male}(X) \quad \exists G \text{grad}(G)$
  - $\forall X (\text{undergrad}(\text{friend}(X)) \Rightarrow \text{undergrad}(X))$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: logical consequence

- the semantics of the predicate calculus provides a basis for a formal theory of logical inference
- an interpretation that makes a sentence true satisfies it
  - a set of expressions  $\{S1, \dots, Sn\}$  logically implies S if
    - every interpretation that satisfies  $\{S1, \dots, Sn\}$  satisfies S
    - equivalently, we could say S is a logical consequence of  $\{S1, \dots, Sn\}$
    - shorthand notation:  $\{S1, \dots, Sn\} \models S$
    - e.g.,
      - $\{\text{itRains} \Rightarrow \text{getWet}, \text{goSwim} \Rightarrow \text{getWet}, \text{itRains} \vee \text{goSwim}\} \models \text{getWet}$
      - $\{\forall P(\text{human}(P) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(P)), \text{human}(\text{socrates})\} \models \text{mortal}(\text{socrates})$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Predicate calculus: inference

- proving logical consequence via interpretations is difficult
  - requires reasoning over all interpretations
- alternatively, a proof procedure can generate logical consequences
  - a proof procedure is a combination of inference rules and an algorithm for applying the rules to generate logical consequences
  - example inference rules:
    - *Modus Ponens*: if  $S1$  and  $S1 \Rightarrow S2$  are true, then infer  $S2$
    - *And Elimination*: if  $S1 \wedge S2$  is true, then infer  $S1$  and infer  $S2$
    - *And Introduction*: if  $S1$  and  $S2$  are true, then infer  $S1 \wedge S2$
    - *Universal Instantiation*: if  $\forall X p(X)$  is true, then infer  $p(a)$  for any  $a$

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Inference example

- initial knowledge:
  - {  $\forall P(\text{human}(P) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(P))$ ,
  - $\text{human}(\text{socrates})$  }

↓ extend using Universal Instantiation

  - {  $\forall P(\text{human}(P) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(P))$ ,
  - $\text{human}(\text{socrates})$ ,
  - $\text{human}(\text{socrates}) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(\text{socrates})$  }

↓ extend using Modus Ponens

  - {  $\forall P(\text{human}(P) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(P))$ ,
  - $\text{human}(\text{socrates})$ ,
  - $\text{human}(\text{socrates}) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(\text{socrates})$ ,
  - $\text{mortal}(\text{socrates})$  }

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Inference example 2

- initial knowledge:
  - {  $\forall P(\text{student}(P) \Rightarrow \text{tired}(P))$ ,
  - $\forall S(\text{csmajor}(S) \Rightarrow \text{overworked}(S))$ ,
  - $\forall X(\text{tired}(X) \wedge \text{overworked}(X) \Rightarrow \text{testy}(X))$ ,
  - $\text{student}(\text{patrick})$ ,
  - $\text{csmajor}(\text{patrick})$  }

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## AI programming languages

- there are 2 major programming language used for AI research
  - LISP (List Processing)
    - older (1957), more established in the U.S.
    - uses a functional style (but is still declarative)
    - CLIPS is based on LISP
  - Prolog (Programming in Logic)
    - newer (1971), more widely used in Europe & Asia
    - uses a totally declarative style, a.k.a. logic programming
    - attractive features: built-in notion of search
    - general data structures
    - powerful primitives for symbol manipulation

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## AI programming languages

- Prolog evolved out of the automated deduction community – 2 drivers:
  - (1) focus on a subset of predicate calculus
    - *programs are collections of logical statements & relations*
  - (2) implement a simple but efficient proof procedure
    - *Prolog interpreter applies inference rules to perform deduction*
  - logic programming: *computation = logical deduction from program statements*

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Prolog

- Prolog programs are statements from the Horn clause subset of predicate calculus
- facts (i.e., propositions)
  - all variables are assumed to be universally quantified, so  $\forall$  is implicit
  - terminate each fact with a period

```
male(dave) .
parent(dave, jack) .
mortal(X) .
```

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



# Prolog

- rules (i.e., implications of the form:  $P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \Rightarrow C$ )
  - again,  $\forall$  is implicit & terminate rule with a period
  - slightly different notation in Prolog (to suit standard keyboards)
    - (1) conclusion is on the left (the Horn clause form)
    - (2) :- replaces  $\Leftarrow$
    - (3) comma replaces  $\wedge$
    - e.g.,  $C :- P_1, \dots, P_n.$

```
happy(chris) :- healthy(chris).
If Chris is healthy, Chris is happy
mortal(X) :- human(X).
father(F, C) :- parent(F, C), male(F).
grandfather(F, G) :- father(F, C), parent(C, G).
```

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



# Prolog's basic model of computation

- the programmer states relations between objects as facts & rules

```
parent(dave, charlie).      parent(dave, jack).
parent(laura, charlie).    parent(laura, jack).

male(dave).      male(charlie).      male(jack).
female(laura).

father(dave, charlie) :- parent(dave, charlie),
male(dave).
mother(M, C) :- parent(M, C), female(M).
```

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



# Prolog's basic model of computation

- the job of the Prolog interpreter is to receive queries and determine whether they are logical consequences of the facts & rules

```
in simple case, merely      ?- parent(dave, charlie).
looks up facts              Yes

more generally, may need    ?- father(dave, charlie).
to perform inferences      Yes
using the rules              ?- father(charlie, dave).
                                    No

may even require picking the ?- mother(laura, charlie).
right instances of rules      Yes
```

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Prolog's basic model of computation

```

#####
%%% family.pro
%%%
%%% Encodes family relations.
#####

parent(dave, charlie).
parent(dave, jack).
parent(laura, charlie).
parent(laura, jack).

male(dave).
male(charlie).
male(jack).

female(laura).

father(F, C) :-
    parent(F, C), male(F).

mother(M, C) :-
    parent(M, C), female(M).

```

- A Prolog program is just a database of facts & rules that define relations between objects
- % begins a comment in Prolog
- name files with .pro or .pl extensions
- good practice to group all definitions of the same relation together (some Prolog interpreters complain otherwise)
- since a period marks the end of a rule, can split across lines for readability

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Prolog environment

```

Welcome to SWI-Prolog (Version 4.0.11)
Copyright (c) 1990-2000 University of Amsterdam.
Copy policy: GPL-2 (see www.gnu.org)

For help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).

?- consult('a:/family.pro').
% a:/family.pro compiled 0.00 sec, 1,516 bytes
Yes

?- parent(laura, charlie).
Yes

?- mother(laura, charlie).
Yes

?- mother(dave, charlie).
No

```

- SWI-Prolog is a free Prolog interpreter/environment
  - online HTML reference manual:
    - <http://www.swi-prolog.org/>
- program files are simply text files, use your favorite text editor
- once a file is created, its knowledge (facts & rules) can be loaded by consulting that file
- once the facts & rules have been consulted, can enter queries and the interpreter determines logical consequence

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---