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1. Provide your fullname, student ID and signature here to indicate that you acknowledge your understanding of the University Academic Integrity policies, as highlighted in the coversheet. [0 marks]
2. Consider an instance of the Stable Matching Problem in which the preferences of the blue nodes $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}: P_{1}>P_{2}>P_{3} \\
& B_{2}: P_{2}>P_{1}>P_{3} \\
& B_{3}: P_{1}>P_{2}>P_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

while the preferences of the pink nodes $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}: B_{3}>B_{2}>B_{1} \\
& P_{2}: B_{2}>B_{1}>B_{3} \\
& P_{3}: B_{1}>B_{3}>B_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) Explain why $\left(B_{1}, P_{1}\right),\left(B_{2}, P_{2}\right),\left(B_{3}, P_{3}\right)$ is not a solution.

(ii) Explain why in any solution, $B_{2}$ must be matched with $P_{2}$.
[2 marks]

Each is the other's top choice so if paired in any other way they would form a blocking pair.
(iii) Show the execution of the Gale-Shapley algorithm (with blue nodes as proposers). List every engagement made and every engagement broken, and the final output.
[6 marks]
$\square$
$B_{1}$ proposes to $P_{1}$, engaged; $B_{2}$ proposes to $P_{2}$, engaged; $B_{3}$ proposes to $P_{1}, B_{1}$ rejected by $P_{1}, B_{3}$ engaged to $P_{1} ; B_{1}$ proposes to $P_{2}$, rejected; $B_{1}$ engages $P_{3}$. Final assignment has $\left(B_{1}, P_{3}\right),\left(B_{2}, P_{2}\right),\left(B_{3}, P_{1}\right)$.
3. Suppose that we have a new algorithm for multiplication of matrices of dimension $n$ (and hence size $m=n^{2}$ ) that works by dividing each of the $n \times n$ matrices $x$ and $y$ into 9 submatrices of as equal size as possible, and computing the product $x y$ by means of 26 multiplications of the submatrices, plus 216 matrix additions and subtractions.
(i) Write down a recurrence describing the worst-case running time of this algorithm on an instance of size $m=n^{2}$.
$T(m) \leq 26 T(m / 9)+g(m)$ where $g(m)$ is $\Theta(m)$ (coming from the additions and subtractions, plus a reasonable guess about the effort needed to divide into 3 parts). If $\leq$ is replaced by $=$, it is probably OK (no marks lost). Since $g$ is presumably increasing, we could write $T(\lceil m\rceil)$ instead. I am not worrying about these minor points, since they turn out not to affect the asymptotic solution. Nor is the initial condition very important.
(ii) Is this algorithm likely to be faster than the standard matrix multiplication algorithm when used on large inputs? Give full explanation.

4. Define a simple fraction to be a rational number of the form $1 / n$, where $n$ is a positive integer. Consider the problem of writing a positive rational number less than 1 as a sum of different simple fractions. For example, $2 / 3=1 / 2+1 / 6$ is a valid representation, but $2 / 3=1 / 3+1 / 3$ is not valid.
(i) State a greedy algorithm for this problem. Show that it always finds a solution to the problem. You must show why the algorithm terminates.
[5 marks]

Choose the largest positive integer $x$ such that $1 / x \leq m / n$, and iterate. If $x$ is the first denominator of the simple fraction chosen for $m / n$, then we have $x-1<$ $n / m \leq x$. Thus after one iteration we have $m / n-1 / x=(m x-n) /(n x)$ and the numerator is less than $m$ by above. So the numerators form a strictly decreasing sequence of natural numbers, which terminates. But this algorithm can terminate if and only if we reach 0 .
(ii) Carry out the algorithm on the rational number $3 / 8$.

We get $3 / 8=1 / 3+1 / 24$.
(iii) Show that the greedy algorithm does not always find the optimal solution, if we seek to minimize the number of summands. Hint: consider numbers of the form $(a+1) / n a$.
[3 marks]
$8 / 77=7 / 77+1 / 77=1 / 11+1 / 77$ from hint; however the greedy algorithm gives $8 / 77=1 / 10+3 / 770$ and hence will yield a longer expansion since $3 / 770$ is not in reduced form.

