

Marking schedule for A#4 – Monkeys**Total marks = 120 (will be rescaled to 100)**

0. **[20 marks] Basic checks:** complies and runs a very simple test, such as abcde (letters only, no spaces).

1. **[45 marks] Baseline for sequential mode**
 - 1.1. **[5 marks] Validate input** (error panels must be raised if conditions are not met)
 - 1.1.1. [2 marks] PopulationSize must contain a number in the range [1,10000]
 - 1.1.2. [2 marks] TargetText - all characters must be in the set {10, 13, 32-126}
 - 1.1.3. [1 mark] TargetText must contain a text of length in the range [1,300]
 - 1.2. **[30 marks] Simple correctness tests**, with population size 2000 (probably default)
 - 1.2.1. [10=9+1 marks] TC1 correct behaviour and result.
 - 1.2.2. [10=9+1 marks] TC2 correct behaviour and result.
 - 1.2.3. [10=9+1 marks] TC3 correct behaviour and result.
 - 1.2.4. For each case, allocate the last 1/10 mark only if it ends with the green highlight.
 - 1.2.5. No penalty here, but repeat the test and note if generation number not in the [1000, 10000] range (**see section 5 cheating**).
 - 1.3. **[10 marks] Cancel test:** Start again one of TC1-TC3 above and click Cancel button to check whether the evolution stops:
 - 1.3.1. [5 marks] execution stops
 - 1.3.2. [3 marks] no exception
 - 1.3.3. [2 marks] no green highlight (even if it never shows the green highlight).

2. [20 marks] Simple correctness and cancel tests for parallel mode: Run the program in parallel mode, with population size 2000 (probably default)

2.1. [15 marks] Simple correctness tests

2.1.1. [5 marks] TC1 correct behaviour and result.

2.1.2. [5 marks] TC2 correct behaviour and result.

2.1.3. [5 marks] TC3 correct behaviour and result.

2.2. [5 marks] Cancel test: Start again one of TC1-TC3 above and click Cancel button to check whether the evolution stops:

2.2.1. [2 marks] no exception

2.2.2. [3 marks] no green highlight.

3. **[15 marks] TC4 performance on population size 5000:** on a lab machine or equivalent (4 or 8 logical cores).
 - 3.1. Run **demo v1** on TC4 five times in parallel and compute the average **demo** parallel real time (you need run this only once per machine/session)
 - 3.2. Run TC4 three times **sequentially** and compute the average sequential real time.
 - 3.3. Run TC4 three times in **parallel** and compute the average parallel real time.
 - 3.4. [5 marks] On typical lab machines, the **parallel** version should run faster than the **sequential** version: at least **20%** faster
 - 3.5. [5 marks] The **parallel** version should run **5%** faster than **parallel demo v1**
 - 3.6. [5 marks] The task manager should show high CPU utilisation for the **parallel** version (all logical cores are reasonably busy): the total CPU utilisation box on top left indicates an average at least **40% for 4 cores or 30% for 8 cores**.

Note that a **sequential** mode cannot achieve much more than 25% on 4 cores or 12.3% on 8 cores (so the above percents seem reasonable)
 - 3.7. Marks for this section are only allocated if
 - 3.7.1. the results are correct (with or without highlight)
 - 3.7.2. both sequential and parallel version end in less than 5 minutes
 - 3.7.3. there is no algorithm cheating (see section 5)

4. **[20 marks] Code inspection**
 - 4.1. [10 marks] The coding differences between the sequential and the parallel modes are well localised; most of the lines should be common between the two modes
 - 4.1.1. allocate 5 marks only if there is some refactoring but still some duplicates
 - 4.2. [10 marks] The GUI updates are performed in a thread-safe manner, i.e. a background thread can legally update only
 - 4.2.1. using SwingUtilities, or
 - 4.2.2. using explicitly declared (see API) thread-safe controls and methods (such JTextComponent's setText)
 - 4.2.3. Note that, getText, setEnabled, setBackground are not thread-safe.
 - 4.2.4. allocate 5 marks only if some GUI operations are thread safe bit not all

5. **[penalty] Cheating code inspection:** cheating the algorithm (not plagiarism)
 - 5.1. **Must not “cheat” by identifying matching positions**, i.e. must only base the evolution on a global fitness score.
 - 5.2. You need to check this more carefully if execution is very fast or if the generation number is not in the mentioned [1000, 10000] range.
 - 5.3. If cheating suspicions, put a flag on all student’s marks obtained in **section 3 (performance)** and let us know immediately, for further checks.

6. **[penalty] sequential/parallel code inspection:** in reality providing one mode only
 - 6.1. **Sequential only:** only marks for **section 1** (i.e. up to **45 marks**); the other section checks can be safely skipped.
 - 6.2. **Parallel only:** automatically loses section **3.4**, i.e. **5 marks**; maybe others or maybe not.
 - 6.3. This must be checked by careful code inspection, to see which methods run when you switch the parallel checkbox. If in doubt, you can put a breakpoint or a print statement somewhere in the critical part, to see if the execution reached that point or not
 - 6.4. **Penalty 2 marks:** no proper population exchange (swap) at the end of the new generation loop

Please ask Radu (r.nicolescu@auckland.ac.nz) if you have questions.

APPENDIX○ **Validation tests** (suggestion)

Population size: -1, 0, 10001

TargetText (suggestion, both longer and with illegal chars):

Погиб поэт! - невольник чести -
Пал, оклеветанный молвой,
С свинцом в груди и жаждой мести,
Поникнув гордой головой!....
Не вынесла душа поэта
Позора мелочных обид,
Восстал он против мнений света
Один как прежде.... и убит!
Убит!... к чему теперь рыдания,
Пустых похвал ненужный хор,
И жалкий лепет оправданья? -
Судьбы свершился приговор!

○ **TC1 on 2000 monkeys:**

There are 10 types of people in this world: those who understand binary and those who don't.

○ **TC2 on 2000 monkeys:**

Good friends, good books, and a sleepy conscience: this is the ideal life.

○ **TC3 on 2000 monkeys:**

There are only two ways to live your life. One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as though everything is a miracle.

TC4 on 5000 monkeys:

To be or not to be, that is the question;
Whether 'tis nobler in the mind to suffer
The slings and arrows of outrageous fortune,
Or to take arms against a sea of troubles,
And by opposing, end them.