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## Worst-case Complexity of Insertion Sort

Iterative growth of a head ("sorted" sublist) of a list A:

$n-1$ iterations (stages) $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$;
$j ; 1 \leq j \leq i$, comparisons and $j$ or $j-1$ moves per stage.

- Insertion sort is correct, since the head sublist is always sorted, and eventually expands to include all elements of $\mathbf{A}$.
- The worst-case complexity is $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- The worst-case inputs $\mathbf{A}$ consist of distinct items in reverse sorted order: $a[0]>a[1]>\ldots>a[n-1]$.
- The total worst-case number of comparisons is

$$
1+2+\ldots+n-1=\frac{(n-1) n}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n\right) \in \Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

## Average-case Complexity of Insertion Sort

## Lemma 2.3, p. 30

The average-case time complexity of insertion sort is $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$
The proof's outline:

- Assuming all possible inputs are equally likely, evaluate the average number $\bar{C}_{i}$ of comparisons at each stage $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.
- Calculate the average total number $\bar{C}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{C}_{i}$.
- Evaluate the average-case complexity of insertion sort by taking into account that the total number of data moves is at least zero and at most the number of comparisons.


## Average Complexity of Insertion Sort at Stage $i$

$i+1$ positions in the already ordered head $a[0], \ldots, a[i-1]$ of a list A to insert the next unordered yet item $a[i]$ :

| $a[0]$ | $a[1]$ | $a[2]$ | $\ldots$ | $a[i-1]$ | $a[i]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{i-1}$ |  |

For placing $[a[i]$ into each preceding position $j=i, i-1, \ldots, 1$, the algorithm performs $i-j+1$ comparisons and $i-j$ moves

- For position $j=0$, it performs $i$ comparisons and $i$ moves.

Therefore, the average number of comparisons at stage $i$ :

$$
\bar{C}_{i}=\frac{1+2+\ldots+i+i}{i+1}=\frac{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}+i}{i+1}=\frac{i}{2}+\frac{i}{i+1} \equiv \frac{i}{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{i+1}\right)
$$

## Total Average Complexity for $n$ Input Items

The total average number of comparisons for $n-1$ stages:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C} & =\overbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)}^{\bar{C}_{1}}+\overbrace{\left(\frac{2}{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right)\right)}^{\bar{C}_{2}}+\ldots+\overbrace{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)}^{\bar{C}_{n-1}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\underbrace{\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right)+\ldots+\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)}_{n-H_{n}}}_{\underbrace{(1+2+\ldots+(n-1))}_{\left(\frac{(n-1) n}{2}\right.}+} \\
& =\frac{(n-1) n}{4}+n-H_{n} \in \Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \approx \ln n$ when $n \rightarrow 0$ is the $n$-th harmonic number.

## Math Appendix: Evaluating Harmonic Numbers



## Analysis of Inversions

The running time of insertion sort is strongly related to inversions in a list $\mathbf{A}$ to be sorted.

Definition 2.5 (p.30): An inversion in a list $\mathbf{A}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is any ordered pair of positions $(i, j)$ such that $i<j$ but $a_{i}>a_{j}$.

Examples of inversions: $[\ldots, 2, \ldots, 1]$ or $[100, \ldots, 35, \ldots]$.

| List A | Number of <br> inversions | Reverse list $\mathbf{A}_{\text {rev }}$ | Number of <br> inversions | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[3,2,5]$ | 1 | $[5,2,3]$ | 2 | 3 |
| $[3,2,5], 1]$ | 4 | $[1,5,2,3]$ | 2 | 6 |
| $[1,2,3,5,7]$ | 0 | $[7,5,3,2,1]$ | 10 | 10 |

The number of inversions of a list is a measure of how far it is from being sorted.

## Analysis of Inversions

Number of inversions $I_{i}$, comparisons $C_{i}$ and data moves $M_{i}$ for each element $a[i]$ in $\mathbf{A}$ :

| Element $i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 44 | 13 | 35 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 20 |
| $I_{i}$ |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
|  | $I=14$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C_{i}$ |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| $M_{i}$ |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 |

It is always true that $I_{i}=M_{i}$, so the total number $I=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I_{i}$ of inversions is equal to the total number $M=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M_{i}$ of backward moves of elements $a[i]$ during the sort.

## Analysis of Inversions

The total number of data comparisions $C=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_{i}$ is also equal to the total number of inversions plus at most $n-1$.

Total number of inversions in both an arbitrary list $\mathbf{A}$ and its reverse $\mathbf{A}_{\text {rev }}$ is equal to the total number of the ordered pairs $(i<j)$ of integers $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ :

$$
\binom{n-1}{2}=\frac{(n-1) n}{2}
$$

- A sorted list has no inversions.
- A reverse sorted list of size $n$ has $\frac{(n-1) n}{2}$ inversions.
- In the average, all lists of size $n$ have $\frac{(n-1) n}{4}$ inversions.


## Complexity of Insertion Sort by Analysis of Inversions

Exactly one inversion is removed by swapping two neighbours
$a_{i-1}>a_{i}$.

- If an original list has $I$ inversions, insertion sort has to swap $I$ pairs of neighbours.
- A list with $I$ inversions results in $\Theta(n+I)$ running time of insertionSort because of $\Theta(n)$ other operations in the algorithm.
- In the very rare cases of nearly sorted lists for which $I$ is $\Theta(n)$, insertion sort runs in linear time.
- The worst-case time: $c \frac{n^{2}}{2}$, or $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- The average-case time: $c \frac{n^{2}}{4}$, or $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$.

More efficient sorting algorithms must eliminate more than just one inversion between neighbours per swap.

## Implementation of Insertion Sort

The number of comparisons does not depend on how the list is implemented, but the number of moves does.

- Backward moves in an array implementation of a list:
- Shifting elements to the right (linear time per stage) in the worst and average case, or
- Successive swaps to move the element backward.
- Insertion operation in a linked list implementation of a list:
- Constant-time insertion of an element.
- Fewer swaps by simply scanning backward (but it may take time for a singly linked list).

None of the implementation issues affect the asymptotic Big-Theta running time of the algorithm, just the hidden constants and lower order terms, due to too many comparisons in the worst and average case.

## One More Quadratic $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ Sorting Algorithm (p.181)

```
// Selection sort of an input array a of size n
// (building a tail by successive minima selection from a head)
public static void selectionSort( int [ ] a ) {
    for ( int i = 0; i < a.length - 1; i++ ) {
        int posMin = i;
        for ( int k = i + 1; k < a.length; k++ ) {
        if ( a[ posMin ] > a[ k ] ) posMin = k;
    }
    if ( posMin != i ) { swap a[i] and a[posMin]
        int tmp = a[ i ];
        a[ i ] = a[ posMin ];
        a[ posMin ] = tmp;
    }
    }
}
```

