## Programming in Logic: Prolog

Solving the 8-Puzzle Readings: 11.1-2

MB: 26 March 2001

CS360 Lecture 13

# Types of Puzzles

- The puzzles we've seen so far have involved inferring new facts about a state that are consistent with the given facts and some "rules" about the domain.
- Going to look at a puzzle, where the question is to find some sequence of actions/states which lead from an initial state to a goal state.



#### Solution = [I, S1, S4, S9]

MB: 26 March 2001

CS360 Lecture 13

#### 8 Puzzle



Find a sequence of actions/states that transform the initial state into the goal state. Actions involve sliding a tile into the empty/blank slot. Easiest to imagine moving the blank slot.



## How to Approach

- First, what does a solution structure look like.
- Second, how to generate all possible candidates.
- Third, how to identify actual solutions.

#### Solution Structure

Our solution structure will be a list of states, [S0, S1, S2, ..., Sn], with S0 the initial state, Sn the goal state, and each Si, Si+1 being connected by a legal move.

#### What's in a State?

- Just as in the 8 queens puzzle, there's different ways of representing a state:
  - List the positions of the tiles.
  - List the contents of the positions.
  - List the positions of the blank and the tiles.
- A state will be a list of the positions of blank and tiles (in ascending order). E.g.,

- [BlankPos, T1Pos, T2Pos, ..., T8Pos]

#### **Representing Position**

- How should position of a tile be represented?
- Number of different ways, we choose cartesian coordinates.



Tile 8's position is coordinates: 1, 2. What data structure should we use to represent coordinates? Same as for 8 queens: *X/Y* 

#### State Representation cont'd



This state is represented as: [3/3,1/3,2/2,2/3,3/2,3/1,2/1,1/1,1/2] b 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

## Naïve Approach to Candidate Generation

- Generate sequences of valid states & test each:
  - is first state the initial state?
  - valid sequence of legal transitions between states?
  - is last state the goal state?
- How many states are there?  $\sim 50,000$  states
- How many sequences of length **n**? 50,000 \*\* **n**
- Naïve approach too computationally expensive.

## Test Incorporation

- How to narrow down number of candidates?
- We have 3 testers:
  - is first state the initial state?
  - valid sequence of legal transitions between states?
  - is last state the goal state?
- Try incorporating some of them into the generator.

## Incorporating Testers

- Is first state the initial state?
  - Generate only those sequences that begin with S0.
- Valid sequence of legal transitions between states?
  - Only add legal transition states to end of sequences.
- Is last state the goal state?
  - Can't incorporate this on top of preceding two, it will remain a tester.

#### Our "solve/3" Relation

- **Signature:** *ids*(+*StartState*, +*GoalState*, ?*RevSol*)
- ids(StartState, GoalState, RevSol) : path(StartState, EndState, RevSol), generator EndState = GoalState.

## Generating Solution Candidates

- Forward chaining:
  - List containing just SO is candidate.
  - Given candidate, adding legal transition state to end is candidate.
- Forward chaining defines the search space topology, i.e., the nodes & edges.

## Computing Legal Transitions

- Given [S0, S1, ..., Si], compute the legal transition states that can be added to end.
- Legal transitions only depend on last state.
- Given last state *Si*, what is easiest way to compute legal transitions?
- Could look at each tile & see which ones move.
- Could look at blank & see which way can move.

## Computing Legal Transitions Based on Blank Position



Depending on where blank is, it can move in as many as 4 different directions: up, down, left, right. In example, blank is in upper right hand corner & can only move down or left.

newState([OldBX/OldBY|OldRest], NewState) :-OldBX < 3, moveRight([OldBX/OldBY|OldRest], NewState).

newState([OldBX/OldBY|OldRest], NewState) : OldBY > 1,
 moveDown([OldBX/OldBY|OldRest], NewState).

newState([OldBX/OldBY|OldRest], NewState) :-OldBY < 3, moveUp([OldBX/OldBY|OldRest], NewState).

MB: 26 March 2001

CS360 Lecture 13

## Search Space Traversal

- •Given search space topology, & initial node, there are number of different ways to traverse that space: depth-first, breadth-first, etc.
- •Each has advantages & disadvantages.
- •Iterative deepening combines advantages of both depth-first & breadth -first.
- •Iterative deepening does succession of bounded depth-first searches.
- •If iteration does not find solution, bound is increased.

#### Iterative Deepening Traversal



Iterative deepening would do the following traversals: I (*fail*)

(fail)

- S2 -S5 (fail)
- S7 S4 S8 S9 (success)

MB: 26 March 2001

CS360 Lecture 13

I - S1 - S3 - S4 I - S1 - S3 - S6

#### Solution Candidate Generator

- Our solution candidate generator will generate the candidates using iterative deepening.
- Our generator's signature is: *path(+StartState, +EndState, ?RevSol)*
- *RevSol* will start out as a list containing just *SO*.
- Next, sequences of legal state transitions of length 2 are generated, etc.

#### Our Generator in Prolog

/\* path(+StartNode, ?EndNode, ?Path) \*/
path(StartNode, StartNode, [StartNode]).

path(StartNode, EndNode, [EndNode|Path]) : path(StartNode, PenUltimateNode, Path),
 newState(PenUltimateNode, EndNode),
 not(member(EndNode,Path)).



/\* path(+StartNode, ?EndNode, ?Path) \*/
1. path(StartNode, StartNode, [StartNode]).

2. path(StartNode, EndNode, [EndNode|Path]) :path(StartNode, PenUltimateNode, Path), newState(PenUltimateNode, EndNode), not(member(EndNode,Path)).

MB: 26 March 2001