CompSci 366

Classical Planning: Regression Planning

Outline

- Review of Progression Planning(PP)
- Regression Planning Overview
- Regression Planning in Prolog

Review of Progression Planning(PP)

- PP:
 - Checks whether the new current state satisfies the goal (if it is then done!).
 - Finds all the ops *applicable in current state*.
 - Selects one of those ops and <u>"progresses" the state</u>
 <u>thru that op to get the new current state</u>.
 - Recurse with the *new state and the old goal*.

Preview of Regression Planning (RP)

- RP:
 - Checks whether the current goal set is satisfied by the initial situation (if it is then done!).
 - Find ops that can *satisfy some of the goals*.
 - Selects one of the ops and "<u>regresses" the goal set</u>
 <u>thru that op to get the new current goal set</u>.
 - Recurse with the <u>new goal set and the old initial</u> <u>situation</u>.

What is regression?


- What does it mean to regress a logic expression, *L*, thru an action description, *A*?
- It means computing another logic expression, *P*, such that
 - if **P** is true in state **S**

then if A is applied to S

then *L* must be true in that new state.

Regression Example


Example Problem




Initial Situation


Goal

Regression Example cont'd







Select Op & Regress Goal




Does Initial State Satisfy Regressed Goal Set?




Initial Situation











Select Op & Regress Goal



Initial Situation Satisfies Regressed Goal Set



- We now have a procedural understanding of regression planning.
- How do we go about implementing RP in Prolog?

- Think declaratively: i.e., define what a problem being solved by a plan means.
- Want a definition that uses regression of goal sets rather than progression of states.
- Want simple definition use divide & conquer approach.
- Specifically, induction/recursion!

- <u>Base case</u>: what would be the simplest case where a plan solved a problem?
- The absolutely simplest case would be where the initial situation already satisfied the goal and we had the empty plan.
- We could say this in Prolog by: solvedBy(problem(Init, Goals), []) :satisfiedBy(Goals, Init).

- <u>Inductive case</u>: since the simplest case used the empty plan, perhaps we should do the induction on the length of the plan.
- We could do this in Prolog by: solvedBy(problem(Init, Goals), Plan) :append(Rest, [Step], Plan), achievesSome(Step, Goals), regressesThruTo(Goals, Step, NewGoals), solvedBy(problem(Init, NewGoals), Rest).

- *achievesSome(Step, Goals)*'s definition should be rather obvious.
- *regressesThruTo(Goals, Step, NewGoals)*'s definition is probably less so.
- The goal of regression is to compute the "weakest" precondition, *NewGoals*, for when it is guaranteed that *Goals* will be achieved after executing *Step*.
- However, this is too expensive and so we compute a sufficient condition for guaranteeing that *Goals* will be achieved after executing *Step*.

- Regression should fail if resulting goal description is inconsistent (assume initial situation consistent then no valid plan should be able to achieve an inconsistent situation).
- Inconsistencies occur if there is a goal, *G*, and *not*(*G*) is either an effect or a precondition of the step. *Why???*

• Regressed goals = Goals - Effects(Step) + Preconditions(Step), where "-" is set difference.