# An Embarrassingly Simple Solution to the Problem of Protecting Browser Users

Peter Gutmann

University of Auckland

## **Browser Security**

One of the most visible aspects of Internet security

- Everyone's familiar with it
- Experienced by a billion+ people
- Makes a good example to talk about









arderprofit.cc - Mozilla Firefox	- 🗆 ×
Edit View Higtory Bookmarks Tools Help	
arderprofit.cc https://www.carderprofit.cc/member.php?action=register	⊠ -
	SHOP WITH Confidence
Home Search Member List Contact Vendors/Sellers	Escrow Help
	Current time: 10-08-2011, 01:58 AM
arderprofit.cc / Board Message	
arderprofit.cc	
	tor has disabled new account registrations. To obtain an account d account it is \$100 LR and for a non-vouched account it is \$30 LR.
	hive) Mode   RSS Syndication English (American) Go
es: 134/137 Loaded: 178 KB Speed: 66.79 KB/s Time: 2.746 Done	

	Connection - mozi	illa Firefox			- 🗆	×
<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew	Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>B</u> ookmar	rks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp				
https://www	.bofa.com				÷	*
	You have asked connection is se Normally, when are going to the <b>What Shou</b> If you usually co impersonate the Get me out of <b>Technical D</b> <b>I Understan</b>	vou try to connect securely inft place. However, this: inft I DO? onnect to this site without p site, and you shouldn't cor fhere betails nd the Risks	to www.bofa.com, i , sites will present trus site's identity can't be v roblems, this error coul tituue.	Id mean that someone is trying to		
mages: 0/0	Loaded: 2 KB	Speed: 1.00 KB/s	Time: 2.003	Done	S	5 /



Higtory <u>B</u> ookmarks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp		
Secure Connection Failed visa.com uses an invalid security certificate. The certificate is not trusted because it is self signed. The certificate is only valid for MIA21793WVWW002.managed.ch. (Error code: sec_error_ca_cert_invalid) • This could be a problem with the server's configuration, or it could be somene trying to impersonate the server. • If you have connected to this server successfully in the past, the		
error may be temporary, and you can try again later.	S /	











VeriSign S	ecured Seal - J	Mozilla Firefox			- 🗆 🗙	<			
http://seal.	verisign.com/splas	h?form_file=fdf/splash.	fdf&dn=WWW.N8	BNZ.CO.NZ⟨=	en 🏠				
$\bigcirc$	rriSign scured			English	•	I			
10/2/2009 www.nbnz.co		services as follows:							
	SITE NAME:	www.nbnz.co.nz							
SSI	L CERTIFICATE STATUS:	Valid (18-Jun-2009 to	o 27-May-2010)						
O	COMPANY/ RGANIZATION:	ANZ NATIONAL BAN Wellington WLG-Webserver1, NZ							
Encry Trans	pted Data mission	This Web site can sec VeriSign SSL Certifica address beginning with transmission.			У				
Ident	tity Verified	ANZ NATIONAL BAN owner or operator of th Official records confir a valid business.			.nz.				
sure the add you are exp page begins	dress of the visite ecting to see. Mal with "http://seal.		ress >> RE	PORT SEAL MIS					
ages: 50/50	Loaded: 63 KB	Speed: 4.09 KB/s	Time: 15.664	Done	S //	11.			









Not the same as defence in depth



• Defence in depth is a set of layered defences that an attacker has to knock out one after the other



Takes ideas from behavioural theory and community organisational theory

- Analyses the intended use of an area
- Examines how it can be arranged to minimise crime

Reduces the propensity



of the physical environment to support criminal behaviour



... but also less-obvious ones

- Position windows for passive surveillance of public spaces
  - Based on the "eyes on the street" concept of urban activist Jane Jacobs
- Locate car parks in front of office buildings rather than around the side out of sight
  - For schools, put bike racks in front of classroom windows
- Use glazed-in balconies for residential buildings
  - Encourages the occupants to sit in them
  - Provides surveillance of the area outside the building



Put ATMs out on the street in full view of passers-by

- This is why they always seem to be positioned with no regard for user privacy
- They *almost* got this one right



# Risk Management / Sec.through Diversity

Design residential houses for passive surveillance

- Orient houses in a cul-de-sac at 45 degrees to the entrance to the cul-de-sac
- Locate highoccupancy areas (traditionally kitchens) by the street entrance



Other measures are even less obvious...



Eliminate features that provide easy access to roofs Use slippery (high-gloss) paint for columns and supports







These are pretty trivial measures

• The choice of *paint* used?

In combination they add up to considerable integrated defensive system

# Risk Management / Sec.through Diversity

Security for the built environment isn't just about following fixed rules

- "We can secure schools by installing CCTV cameras"
- Kids who damage school property often do it to have their moment of fame
- Letting them know they could end up on TV is entirely the *wrong* motivation



What about providing adequate lighting?

- Lighting up school sports grounds is an invitation for unauthorised use
- Provides a perfect excuse for trespassers to be on school grounds after dark



• Police are unlikely to prosecute a couple of

teenagers for (apparently) wanting to kick a ball around.



Provide minimal lighting required by building codes

- Forces intruders to turn on the lights
  - These can be wired to an alarm
- Alternatively, they can use flashlights
- There are few things more attention-grabbing for security guards than a torch flashing around inside a dark building



## Risk Management / Sec.through Diversity

Reverses the conventional thinking about using illumination for security

• Makes darkness a part of the security

The Internet has a great deal of diversifiable risk

- No need to rely on a single defence mechanism to try and cope with all risk
- Risks total failure when the sole mechanism fails

Diversify the mechanisms you use so that

- A failure of one of them can, at worst, somewhat increase the overall risk
- Won't lead to a total failure of security









Users could choose to be highly risk-averse ...

- Site has to pass some fairly stringent checks in order to be regarded as safe
- ... through to highly permissive
  - Few checks are performed before the site is regarded as safe
  - This is the current default for all browsers

Can be adapted over time as risk assessment measures mature

- Default setting can be moved from the current totally permissive level to more restrictive settings
- Users can choose to voluntarily apply more restrictive settings





Managing risk through diversification on the web works like CPTED

• There's no single silver bullet

There are lots of measures that make things a bit better

• Patterns

There are also many that we know make things worse

• Antipatterns

#### CPTED danger signs

- High-rise multi-unit housing for low income-earners
  - "public housing" /
    "housing projects" /
    "housing estates"
- Families with a high proportion of young members



- Think "youths" rather than "children"
- Shared communal entrances and living areas
- Elevators feeding double-hung corridors



Risk-based assessment isn't a silver bullet

- Can't give you a "definitely OK" or "definitely bad"
- Unfortunately conflicts with humans' innate zero-risk bias

Can give you a risk-based analysis of a site's trustworthiness

- Probably OK
- Probably dubious

Indications as to why it is or isn't OK

• A general measure of the overall level of risk or non-risk

# Key continuity

Is this the same key/certificate that we saw the last time we came here?

• SSH-style key continuity

# Key continuity

Certificates get rolled over once a year when the CA's renewal fee is due

- Is the key in the new certificate the same as the old one?
  - Sites typically re-certify the same key year in, year out
  - The few CDNs that play games with cert changes can be handled through whitelisting
- Was the certificate issued by the same CA as the previous one?
- Does the combination of CA and site location make sense?
  - Did a CA in Brazil issue a certificate for a site in France?

#### Key continuity

Example: Comodogate

- Sudden change of CA for Google, Microsoft, Mozilla, Skype, and Yahoo is highly suspicious
  - (Not to mention their relocation to an ISP in Iran)
- Even the most trivial sanity check by browsers would have caught this

Example: Diginotar

- See "Comodogate"
- Browser vendors did nothing
- "Please sir, can I have some more?"

# Key continuity

Simple presence of a certificate can still act as a riskmitigation measure

- Not a boolean pass/fail measure
- Moves the the risk balance somewhat further towards "less risky"

Allows value to be assigned to different CAs and certificate types

- Standard vs. EV certificates
  - Not the ineffective blue vs. green colour bar
- Trustworthy vs. negligent CAs

## Key continuity

This is a serious problem with the current boolean pass/fail mechanism

- Most diligent CA is treated no differently from the most negligent one
- No value in being careful, you get the padlock picture either way
- Incentivises the race to the bottom

# Key continuity

Deals with the CA too-big-to-fail problem

• Once your root is in the browser, you'll never be removed no matter what you do

Negligent CAs can be downgraded to a high-risk category

- Mirrors downgrading of risky financial ventures by ratings agencies
  - Until the skewing of the market during the 2008 financial crisis these had actually been a good indicator of a venture's credit risk

## Key continuity

Current pass/fail measure rewards negligent CAs

- The less checking the CA does, the lower its operating costs
- Risk-evaluation based system rewards diligent CAs
  - Assigns them a lower risk rating than less diligent ones









#### **Background Information Checks**

Check TTL for DNS records

- Very short TTLs are associated with fast-flux botnets
- Akamai, Google sometimes use short TTLs
  - Can be managed with whitelists for these providers

Check domain's registration date via a whois lookup

• Some registries already provide RESTful interfaces for this and other lookup types

Check whether A, MX, and/or NS records share the same IP prefix or AS

- Web site and mail server hosted in the same data centre
- Takedown-resistant "sites" are typically spread all over the place

#### **Background Information Checks**

Use stack fingerprinting to check the remote OS

• You're already communicating with the remote system's stack anyway

Phishing sites are frequently hosted on botnets via reverse proxies and other tricks

- E-commerce site running Windows 7 Home Premium
- Moves risk assessment towards "unsafe"

Site is hosted in an IP address block assigned to a DSL or cable modem provider

• Moves risk assessment towards "unsafe"

Use statistical classification techniques

- Uses text-mining feature extraction to get site-specific keywords
- Submit to Google as a search query
- A hit in the first few results means that it's probably OK
- Evaluated on 100 phishing and 100 legitimate pages
  - Detected 97% of phishing pages
  - 10% false positive rate

#### Site Content Checks

Use the Wayback Machine

• Was this site completely different six months ago?

Visit the site with user agent = Google/Googlebot vs. user agent = MSIE

• Some sites serve up benign content for Google's scanner, malicious content for MSIE to evade detection

Check for odd characters in the URL for homonym attacks

• Generic . com site with a Cyrillic letter in the middle of the domain name

Use web page classification to try and detect signs of malicious sites

- Lots and lots of work done in this area
- Used by search providers to scan for malicious sites

Some features found to be effective in practice include...

- Number of elements like div, iframe, and object with small areas
  - Used to hide web bugs and carry out drive-by downloads and other malicious activities
- Number of elements with suspicious content like potential shellcode

...continues...

#### Site Content Checks

...continued...

- Number of overtly suspicious items like object tags containing classids of exploitable ActiveX controls
- Number of objects pulled in from other locations via script, iframe, frame, embed, form, or object tags
- Number of out-of-place elements
  - Typically caused by XSS injecting scripts or iframes into odd locations
- Presence of double documents
  - Multiple html, head, title or body elements
  - Side-effect of some types of web-site compromise

...continues...

- ...continued...
- Presence of malicious patterns
  - Things like meta refresh pointing to an exploit server
- Various known malicious patterns like swfNode.php and pdfNode.php
  - Commonly used by exploit toolkits
  - Will eventually be evaded by toolkit authors, but it doesn't hurt to check anyway

#### Site Content Checks

Other less obvious features have been discovered using Bayesian classifiers and support vector machines

• Can automatically extract new, non-obvious features from data sets

Presence of the string "members" in the URL

• Triggered by the presence of phishing and malware sites on free hosting services like members.aol.com and members.tripod.com

Owners of IP ranges for DNS records

- Registrars like RIPE are less likely to register malicious sites
- Registrars like GoDaddy are more likely to register malicious sites

Checking Javascript is particularly effective

- Obviously malicious code is easily detected
- · Obfuscated code looks like no normal Javascript

Signs of malicious Javascript

- Keyword-to-word ratio
  - Number of keywords like var, for, while, and others is limited in comparison to operations like instantiation, arithmetic operations, and function calls in exploits
- Number of long strings and their entropy
  - Typically used to obscure payloads

...continues...

# Site Content Checks

...continued...

- Presence of classes of function calls like eval(), substring(), and fromCharCode()
  - Widely used for de-obfuscation and decryption
- Length of strings passed to functions like eval ()
  - Another de-obfuscation indicator
- Number of string assignments
  - Another characteristic of de-obfuscation/decryption procedures
- Number of bytes allocated through string operations like assignments, concat(), and substring()

- Used for heap exploits and heap spraying

...continues...

- ...continued...
- Number of DOM-modifying functions
  - Operations like document.write and document.createElement
  - Typically used to instantiate vulnerable components or create page elements used to load scripts and exploit pages
- Values of attributes and parameters in method calls
  - Long strings are typically used for buffer-overflow attacks
- Number of event attachments like page load triggers
  - Used for drive-by downloads
- Presence of iframe-injection code or code to inject other objects or scripts into a page

#### Site Content Checks

What about an anti-virus style arms race?

• Not so easy for malicious web sites

Don't have complete control over the output of XSS, SQL injection, or similar injection attacks

- Produces malformed strings, repeated or out-of-place tags, and other danger-sign patterns
- Already used by tools like NoScript to try and mitigate attacks
# Site Content Checks

Obfuscating Javascript isn't so hard

- Artefacts of the obfuscation are rather harder to disguise
- Results in page content that's nothing like any legitimate web site
- Recall that many of the previous triggers were for deobfuscation artefacts

Attackers can try and evade detection like native-code malware authors

• Anti-detection mechanisms themselves increase their detectability



# **Risk-Assessment Value Proposition**

Total of all of these measures is well over 100%

Illustrates the fault-tolerant nature of the assessment

- Even if some of the indicators aren't available you can still be reasonably confident that the site is safe to use
- In reliability engineering this is called de-rating
  - Run a device at less than its rated maximum level to provide a safety margin

# **Risk-Assessment Value Proposition**

Only one mechanism, in only one browser, currently does anything like this

- SmartScreen filter in IE9
- Not to be confused with the basic site blacklist of the same name

Checks the "reputation" of a download

• "Reputation" is somewhat vaguely defined by Microsoft

Reduces warnings from the generic, useless "This type of file may harm your computer" to one that only occurs with files without a "reputation"

• According to Microsoft, 90% of downloads no longer display (pointless) warnings

### **Risk-Assessment Value Proposition**

Mechanism takes effect immediately without the delay inherent in blacklists

- In one attack SmartScreen blocked 99% of downloads of malware from the moment that it was released
- Infection rates peaked 4-5 hours later
- 6-7 hours after *that*, the first blacklist-based blocking finally began to kick in

Unfortunately, no proper evaluation of its effectiveness

- Simply blocking all downloads would be 100% effective in blocking malware
- Still, better than the nothing that other browsers are doing

# But What About...

But if we do this, our users will move to another browser!

• We haven't actually asked any of them, but we're absolutely certain they will

Problem has been evaluated by ISPs implementing walled gardens

- *Increased* rather than decreased user confidence in and loyalty to the ISP
- "They care about my security (unlike everyone else)"

Sole evidence we have is that it increases, rather than decreases, user satisfaction in a product or service

### But What About...

The browser vendors aren't interested, what about...

- Plugins
  - Require poking around in way too much of a browser's internals
  - For the users most at risk, plugins don't exist
- Local proxy on the user's PC
  - Need to know that the proxy exists, download, install, reconfigure the browser
- ISP-level proxies
  - Bad guys can't easily subvert these because they're indistinguishable (if done right) from end-user PCs

### But What About...

This will affect performance!

- Use risk-assessment heuristics to help decide which sites need the extra checking
- The checks are overkill if applied to all sites

Apply standard performance-optimisation techniques for the few sites that do need the checks

- Run checks in background threads while the current pages is being loaded/rendered
- Perform opportunistic lookups for links on the current page
- Cache results from previous lookups
- ...

# But What About...

"Is the site asking for user credentials (typically a password)?"

- Check for strings like login, signin, secure, bank and account in the page URL
- Check for situation-specific ones like webscr (PayPal) and ebayisapi (eBay)

Goal of phishing is to acquire credentials

• Any site that tries to obtain credentials should be subject to extra checking

### But What About...

Check page contents for keywords or other indicators of a high-value site

- Impersonating a bank is more or less impossible without including lots of banking-related keywords on the site
  - Go to your bank's home page to see an example of this
- Phishers try and disguise this using image text
  - A site that consists mostly of images and asks for a password is another danger sign

# But What About...

Consult a database of common phishing targets to identify the need for extra checking

- The bad guys have been doing this for years
- Pull a target list from any banking trojan

Whats Good for the Goose...

Diversifying security mechanisms for risk management works for CAs as well

- In Comodogate, any one of a series of extremely rudimentary checks would have caught the problem
- Certificates for well-known, high-profile US sites requested from Iran
- Certificates issued by completely different CAs were replaced by Comodo ones
- EV certificates replaced by non-EV certificates

These anomalies should have triggered every alarm that it's possible to trigger

# Whats Good for the Goose...

I'd like to swap my EV certificate...





### Whats Good for the Goose...

Simple automated check for CAs

- Open an HTTPS connection to the target site
- Do they already have a certificate from another CA?
- Is the certificate nowhere near its expiry date?
- Are EV certs being replaced by non-EV ones?

Counter-check for a legitimate cert-replacement

• Is the certificate that's being replaced listed on a CRL?

# Whats Good for the Goose...

Moves the risk level to "high-risk"

• Triggers manual review and a requirement for more comprehensive authentication

Email the domain holder for a chance to object

- Fully automated process
- c.f. mailing-list sign-up checks



# **UI** Issues

For users a site's appearance will override all security indicators and browser-supplied phishing warnings

• "It looks like a bank, so it is a bank"

Lots and lots of research by anti-phishing folks into this effect

- No known security indicator or mechanism can override this
- "I can see my bank's site in the browser, the security warning must be a false positive, I'll disable it"

# **UI** Issues

Turn a bug into a feature

• Change a site's appearance if it registers as being high-risk Disable Javascript and plugins

- A wise precaution when visiting a high-risk site anyway
- Most major US bank sites don't function without Javascript
- Some simply display blank home pages

Block images

- Another good precaution given malformed-image attacks Render the site in the default font
  - No boldface headings, different fonts and font sizes

🖉 Capital One Online Banking   Online B	anking - Credit Cards - Windows Internet Explorer	×
() () http://27.106.206.18/login.aspx	• + <sub>2</sub> >	×
🔆 Favorites 🖉 Capital One Online Banking   On	ine Banking - Credit C 📄 🗸 📑 👘 🔻 Page 🔹 Safety 👻 Tools 👻 🚱	<u>#-</u>
X Capital One Logo		
Online Banking - Credit Cards	Site Help	
To manage your credit card account, log i deposit account, installment loan, line of c		
deposit account, instanment toan, inte of c		
Log in to your account	Why enroll in Online Banking?	
User name	We took our already secure site	<u>.</u>
Password	and made it even stronger.	
	Online bill pay.     Save yourself time and postage	
× Login	by paying your bill electronically.	
Need to enrol? Forgot User name   Forgot Password	× Online convenience.	
Note: For your security, we remove profiles that haven't logged in for 24 months or more.	See your statements and recent trans-	
Canadian customers log in here	actions anytime.	
Log in to your other Capital One accounts	Enroll     Online Banking demo	
	ite provides information about and access to financial	
	es offered by the Capital One family of companies, ing Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. and Capital One,	
N.A.	members FDIC.	-
	It your account agreement for information about the I One company servicing your individual accounts.	
· · ·	Unknown Zone (Mixed)	-



# UI Issues Now you've really got the user's attention Provide further information to explain why the site looks the way it does Reverses the standard situation User can see their goal and anything you do is an impediment to reaching it Now the user can see that there's a problem Looking for an explanation

# UI Issues

If the site registers high enough on the danger scale...



• Treat an inability to provide a safe connection as an inability to provide any connection

### **UI** Issues

- "We've detected that this site is a phishing site loaded with malware"
  - But we'll allow you to go there anyway after ignoring this message from the sponsor

The user wants to connect to a site to perform a secure, safe transaction

- If they're being led to an obviously unsafe site then this should be treated identically to a standard network problem
- (Obviously this is only for sites that read highly on the danger scale)

# Conclusion

Browsers currently do *nothing* to protect users from malicious web sites

- Browser PKI is pure security theatre, indistinguishable from placebo by any measure that we know of
- Site blacklists don't work

Apply standard risk-management measures to protect users

- A concept built on centuries of real-world work
- Every measure presented here has been evaluated in real-world testing or real-world conditions

This is not rocket science

• It's so simple that it's almost embarrassing having to mention it