

Error in Enumerable Sequence Prediction

Extended abstract

Nick Hay

Department of Computer Science
University of Auckland, New Zealand
Email: `nhat005@ec.auckland.ac.nz`

Abstract. We outline a method for quantifying the error of a sequence prediction. With sequence predictions represented by semimeasures $\nu(x)$ we define their error to be $-\log_2 \nu(x)$. We note that enumerable semimeasures are those which model the sequence as the output of a computable system given unknown input. Using this we define the simulation complexity of a computable system C relative to another U giving an *exact* bound on their difference in error. This error in turn gives an exact upper bound on the number of predictions ν gets incorrect.

1 A prediction's error

Suppose we wish to predict a sequence over a finite alphabet X .

Definition 1. [3] A **semimeasure** ν is a function $\nu: X^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying:

1. *Normalisation:*

$$\nu(\epsilon) = 1$$

2. *Coherence:*

$$\sum_{c \in X} \nu(xc) \leq \nu(x)$$

$\nu(x)$ is the probability the true sequence begins with x , for finite strings $x \in X^*$. The two conditions are both necessary and sufficient for this interpretation to make sense. In this way semimeasures are predictions of what the true sequence is.

The smaller the probability $\nu(x)$ that ν assigns to the sequence so far x , the more the prediction is in error. We thus define the error as a decreasing function of the probability $\nu(x)$:

Definition 2. The **error** $E\nu: X^* \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of a prediction ν is

$$E\nu(x) = -\log_2 \nu(x)$$

The logarithm, as opposed to another decreasing function, is necessary for the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\nu(x) &= -\log \nu(x) \\
 &= -\log \prod_{i=1}^{|x|} \nu(x_i|x_{<i}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{|x|} -\log \nu(x_i|x_{<i}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{|x|} E\nu(x_i|x_{<i})
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second step follows the product rule of probability theory. Note that:

1. x_i is the i th symbol of x ; $x_{<i}$ is the first $i - 1$ symbols of x .
2. $\nu(x_i|x_{<i}) = \nu(x_{<i}x_i)/\nu(x_{<i})$ is the probability the i th symbol is x_i , given that the predictor knows all the earlier symbols $x_{<i}$.

This reduces predictions of the whole sequence x to sub-predictions of each element of the sequence x_i . The total error $E\nu(x)$ is separated into errors $E\nu(x_i|x_{<i})$ for each of these sub-predictions. $E\nu(x)$ measures the cumulative error in the sub-predictions.

The decomposition gives intuition into what the error means. If a particular sub-prediction assigns more than probability $1/2$ to the correct answer we consider the sub-prediction correct. This means all incorrect sub-predictions assign less than probability $1/2$ and thus have an error greater than 1. As a direct result:

Lemma 1. *A prediction ν has at most $\lceil E\nu(x) \rceil$ incorrect sub-predictions.*

2 Predicting sequences generated by computable systems

Definition 3. [3] *An enumerable semimeasure $\nu(x)$ is a semimeasure where the set*

$$\{(x, p) : p \leq \nu(x), p \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\}$$

is computably enumerable.

This means there is a computable process we can ask

“does ν predict x with at least probability p ?”

and always receive an answer when it’s “yes”. Although all practically implementable semimeasures will be enumerable, the converse doesn’t hold. We use

this large a class because it has a nice representation: *enumerable semimeasures correspond to predictions about the output of a computable system.*

A system C is a black box which can input bits $p_i \in 2$ and output symbols $x_j \in X$. The outputs and inputs may be interleaved in any way. Given a series of input bits, a system's output forms a sequence. Define a system C 's behaviour

$$C: 2^* \rightarrow X^\#$$

where 2^* is the set of finite binary sequences and $X^\#$ the set of finite and infinite sequences over X . $C(p)$ is the sequence of outputs C makes when p is the series of input bits available. We stop recording outputs if C tries to read more than p bits.

Definition 4. *A computable system is a system with computable behaviour. In particular, its behaviour C can be implemented by a monotone Turing machine.*

Equivalently, the behaviour $C: 2^* \rightarrow X^\#$ is computable when the following sets are computably enumerable:

1.

$$\{(p, x) \in 2^* \times X^* : x \leq C(p)\}$$

2.

$$\{(p, x) \in 2^* \times X^* : p \text{ is non-terminal for } C \text{ and } x = C(p)\}$$

A string $x \in 2^*$ is non-terminal for C if there exists $y \neq x$ such that $C(x) < C(y)$. This means that C eventually outputs more symbols after receiving more input bits.

Functions satisfying the above axioms are called processes in [1].

Suppose we know nothing about how the input to the computable system C is generated: we assign equal probability to 0's and 1's. The probability the output begins with x is $\mu_C(x)$:

Definition 5. [2] *A computable system C 's output semimeasure is:*

$$\mu_C(x) = \sum_{p: C(p)=x^*} 2^{-|p|}$$

where $C(p) = x^*$ means p is a minimal input string such that $C(p)$ begins with x (i.e. no prefix of p has output beginning with x).

Lemma 2. *For any computable system C , the output semimeasure μ_C is enumerable.*

Theorem 1. For any enumerable semimeasure $\nu(x)$ there exists a computable system C such that

$$\nu(x) = \mu_C(x)$$

Prediction using an enumerable semimeasure is equivalent to modelling the sequence as generated by a computable system fed an unknown input. See [3] for a similar result.

3 Complexity of prediction bounds error difference

Definition 6. A computable system U **simulates** a computable system C with constant $k_C \in \mathbb{N}$ if for all x there exists an injective f_x :

$$f_x: \{p : C(p) = x^*\} \rightarrow \{q : U(q) = x^*\}$$

such that

$$|f_x(p)| \leq |p| + k_C$$

U simulates C if we can recode all the minimal input strings for C outputting x as minimal input strings for U doing the same without increasing their length too much.

Definition 7. The **simulation complexity** $S_U(C)$ of a computable system C relative to another U is

$$S_U(C) = \min\{k_C : U \text{ simulates } C \text{ with constant } k_C\}$$

This simulation complexity is the least amount of overhead the best simulation needs. We use this measure rather than a standard one to derive exact results.

Definition 8. The **simulation complexity** $S_U(\nu)$ of a semimeasure ν relative to U is:

$$S_U(\nu) = \min\{S_U(C) : \forall x \mu_C(x) = \nu(x)\}$$

$S_U(\nu)$ is the simulation complexity of the simplest computable system C which simulates ν (i.e. has ν as its output semimeasure).

Theorem 2. For computable systems U and C , and for all x :

$$E\mu_U(x) \leq E\mu_C(x) + S_U(C)$$

Corollary 1. Given a computable system U , and any enumerable semimeasure ν :

$$E\mu_U(x) \leq E\nu(x) + S_U(\nu)$$

References

1. Calude, 2002. Information and Randomness. An Algorithmic Perspective, 2nd Edition. Springer-Verlag.
2. Hutter, 2004. Universal Artificial Intelligence. Springer, Berlin.
3. Li and Vitanyi, 1997. An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and its Applications, 2nd Edition. Springer-Verlag, New York.