"Big-Oh", "Big-Omega", and "Big-Theta": Properties and Rules

Lecturer: Georgy Gimel'farb

COMPSCI 220 Algorithms and Data Structures

1 Big-Oh rules

Scaling Transitivity Rule of sums Rule of products Limit rule





Scaling (Lemma 1.15)

For all constant factors c > 0, the function cf(n) is O(f(n)), or in shorthand notation cf is O(f).

The proof: $cf(n) < (c + \varepsilon)f(n)$ holds for all n > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$.

- Constant factors are ignored.
- Only the powers and functions of n should be exploited

It is this ignoring of constant factors that motivates for such a notation! In particular, f is O(f).

xamples: $\begin{cases} 50n \in \mathcal{O}(n) & 0.05n \in \mathcal{O}(n) \\ 50,000,000n \in \mathcal{O}(n) & 0.0000005n \in \mathcal{O}(n) \end{cases}$

Scaling (Lemma 1.15)

For all constant factors c > 0, the function cf(n) is O(f(n)), or in shorthand notation cf is O(f).

The proof: $cf(n) < (c + \varepsilon)f(n)$ holds for all n > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$.

- Constant factors are ignored.
- Only the powers and functions of \boldsymbol{n} should be exploited

It is this ignoring of constant factors that motivates for such a notation! In particular, f is O(f).

xamples: $\begin{cases} 50n \in \mathcal{O}(n) & 0.05n \in \mathcal{O}(n) \\ 50,000,000n \in \mathcal{O}(n) & 0.0000005n \in \mathcal{O}(n) \end{cases}$

Scaling (Lemma 1.15)

For all constant factors c > 0, the function cf(n) is O(f(n)), or in shorthand notation cf is O(f).

The proof: $cf(n) < (c + \varepsilon)f(n)$ holds for all n > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$.

- Constant factors are ignored.
- Only the powers and functions of n should be exploited It is this ignoring of constant factors that motivates for such a notation! In particular, f is O(f).

Examples: $\begin{cases} 50n \in O(n) & 0.05n \in O(n) \\ 50,000,000n \in O(n) & 0.0000005n \in O(n) \end{cases}$

Scaling (Lemma 1.15)

For all constant factors c > 0, the function cf(n) is O(f(n)), or in shorthand notation cf is O(f).

The proof: $cf(n) < (c + \varepsilon)f(n)$ holds for all n > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$.

• Constant factors are ignored.

• Only the powers and functions of n should be exploited It is this ignoring of constant factors that motivates for such a notation! In particular, f is O(f).

Examples: $\begin{cases} 50n \in O(n) & 0.05n \in O(n) \\ 50,000,000n \in O(n) & 0.0000005n \in O(n) \end{cases}$

4/14

Transitivity

Big-Oh: Transitivity

Transitivity (Lemma 1.16)

If h is O(g) and g is O(f), then h is O(f).

The proof:

- $\circ h(n) \leq c_1 g(n)$ for $n > n_1$; $c_1 > 0$, because $h \in O(g)$.
- $g(n) \leq c_2 f(n)$ for $n > n_2$; $c_2 > 0$, because $g \in O(f)$.
- → Substituting the second inequality (•) into the first inequality
 (◦) leads to the inequality

$$h(n) \le \underbrace{c_1 c_2}_{c; c > 0} f(n) \text{ for } n > \underbrace{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}_{n_0}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

proving the transitivity rule.

4/14

Transitivity

Big-Oh: Transitivity

Transitivity (Lemma 1.16)

If h is O(g) and g is O(f), then h is O(f).

The proof:

- $h(n) \leq c_1g(n)$ for $n > n_1$; $c_1 > 0$, because $h \in O(g)$.
- $g(n) \leq c_2 f(n)$ for $n > n_2$; $c_2 > 0$, because $g \in O(f)$.
- → Substituting the second inequality (•) into the first inequality
 (◦) leads to the inequality

$$h(n) \le \underbrace{c_1 c_2}_{c; c>0} f(n) \text{ for } n > \underbrace{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}_{n_0}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

proving the transitivity rule.

Big-Oh: Transitivity

Informal meaning of the transitivity rule:

If function h(n) grows at most as fast as g(n), which grows at most as fast as f(n), then h(n) grows at most as fast as f(n).

Examples:

- If $h \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, then $h \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.
- If $\log_{10} n \in O(n^{0.01})$ and $n^{0.01} \in O(n)$, then $\log_{10} n \in O(n)$.
- If $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(2^n)$ and $2^n \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$, then $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$.

Big-Oh: Transitivity

Informal meaning of the transitivity rule:

If function h(n) grows at most as fast as g(n), which grows at most as fast as f(n), then h(n) grows at most as fast as f(n).

Examples:

• If
$$h \in \mathcal{O}(g)$$
 and $g \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, then $h \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

• If $\log_{10} n \in O(n^{0.01})$ and $n^{0.01} \in O(n)$, then $\log_{10} n \in O(n)$.

• If $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(2^n)$ and $2^n \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$, then $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$.

Big-Oh: Transitivity

Informal meaning of the transitivity rule:

If function h(n) grows at most as fast as g(n), which grows at most as fast as f(n), then h(n) grows at most as fast as f(n).

Examples:

• If
$$h \in \mathcal{O}(g)$$
 and $g \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, then $h \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

• If $\log_{10} n \in O(n^{0.01})$ and $n^{0.01} \in O(n)$, then $\log_{10} n \in O(n)$.

• If $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(2^n)$ and $2^n \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$, then $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$.

Big-Oh: Transitivity

Informal meaning of the transitivity rule:

If function h(n) grows at most as fast as g(n), which grows at most as fast as f(n), then h(n) grows at most as fast as f(n).

Examples:

• If
$$h \in \mathcal{O}(g)$$
 and $g \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, then $h \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

• If $\log_{10} n \in O(n^{0.01})$ and $n^{0.01} \in O(n)$, then $\log_{10} n \in O(n)$.

• If $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(2^n)$ and $2^n \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$, then $n^{50} \in \mathcal{O}(3^n)$.

Big-Oh: Rule of Sums

Rule-of-sums (Lemma 1.17)

If $g_1 \in O(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in O(f_2)$, then $g_1 + g_2 \in O(\max\{f_1, f_2\})$.

The proof:

- $\circ g_1(n) \leq c_1 f_1(n)$ for $n > n_1$, because $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1)$.
- $g_2(n) \leq c_2 f_2(n)$ for $n > n_2$, because $g_2 \in O(f_2)$.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Summing the inequalities ($\circ)$ and ($\bullet)$ leads to the inequality

$$g_{1}(n) + g_{2}(n) \leq c_{1}f_{1}(n) + c_{2}f_{2}(n) \\ \leq \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}\} (f_{1}(n) + f_{2}(n)) \\ \leq \underbrace{2 \cdot \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}\}}_{c; c > 0} \cdot \max\{f_{1}(n), f_{2}(n)\}$$

for $n > \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, proving the rule of sums

 n_0

Big-Oh: Rule of Sums

Rule-of-sums (Lemma 1.17)

If $g_1 \in O(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in O(f_2)$, then $g_1 + g_2 \in O(\max\{f_1, f_2\})$.

The proof:

- $\circ g_1(n) \leq c_1 f_1(n)$ for $n > n_1$, because $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1)$.
- $g_2(n) \leq c_2 f_2(n)$ for $n > n_2$, because $g_2 \in O(f_2)$.

 $\rightarrow\,$ Summing the inequalities ($\circ)$ and ($\bullet)$ leads to the inequality

$$g_{1}(n) + g_{2}(n) \leq c_{1}f_{1}(n) + c_{2}f_{2}(n) \\ \leq \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}\} (f_{1}(n) + f_{2}(n)) \\ \leq \underbrace{2 \cdot \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}\}}_{c; c > 0} \cdot \max\{f_{1}(n), f_{2}(n)\}$$

for $n > \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, proving the rule of sums

 n_0

Big-Oh: Rule of Sums

Rule-of-sums (Lemma 1.17)

If $g_1 \in O(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in O(f_2)$, then $g_1 + g_2 \in O(\max\{f_1, f_2\})$.

The proof:

- $\circ \ g_1(n) \leq c_1 f_1(n) \text{ for } n > n_1 \text{, because } g_1 \in \mathrm{O}(f_1).$
- $g_2(n) \leq c_2 f_2(n)$ for $n > n_2$, because $g_2 \in O(f_2)$.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Summing the inequalities () and () leads to the inequality

$$g_{1}(n) + g_{2}(n) \leq c_{1}f_{1}(n) + c_{2}f_{2}(n) \\ \leq \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}\} (f_{1}(n) + f_{2}(n)) \\ \leq \underbrace{2 \cdot \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}\}}_{c; c > 0} \cdot \max\{f_{1}(n), f_{2}(n)\}$$

for $n > \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, proving the rule of sums.

Big-Oh: Rule of Sums

Informal meaning of the rule of sums:

The sum of functions grows as its fastest-growing term. Therefore,

• If $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ and $h \in \mathcal{O}(f)$, then $g + h \in \mathcal{O}(f)$.

• If
$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$$
, then $g + f \in \mathcal{O}(f)$.

• If $g(n) = a_0 + a_1 n + \ldots + a_k n^k$ (a polynomial of degree k), then $g(n) \in O(n^k)$.

Examples:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} {\rm If} \quad h\in {\rm O}(n) & \mbox{ and } \quad g\in {\rm O}(n^2), \mbox{ then } \quad g+h\in {\rm O}(n^2) \\ {\rm If} \quad h\in {\rm O}(n\log n) & \mbox{ and } \quad g\in {\rm O}(n), \mbox{ then } \quad g+h\in {\rm O}(n\log n) \end{array} \right.$$

Big-Oh: Rule of Sums



シック・ボー・ボー・・ボー・・ロー・

Rule of products

Big-Oh: Rule of Products

Rule-of-products (Lemma 1.18)

If $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_2)$, then $g_1g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1f_2)$.

The proof:

- $\circ g_1(n) \leq c_1 f_1(n)$ for $n > n_1$, because $g_1 \in \mathrm{O}(f_1)$.
- $g_2(n) \leq c_2 f_2(n)$ for $n > n_2$, because $g_2 \in O(f_2)$.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Multiplying the inequalities ($\circ)$ and ($\bullet)$ leads to the inequality

$$g_1(n)g_2(n) \le \underbrace{c_1c_2}_{c; c>0} f_1(n)f_2(n) \text{ for } n > \underbrace{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}_{n_0}$$

proving the rule of products.

Rule of products

Big-Oh: Rule of Products

Rule-of-products (Lemma 1.18)

If $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_2)$, then $g_1g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1f_2)$.

The proof:

- $\circ g_1(n) \leq c_1 f_1(n)$ for $n > n_1$, because $g_1 \in O(f_1)$.
- $g_2(n) \leq c_2 f_2(n)$ for $n > n_2$, because $g_2 \in O(f_2)$.

ightarrow Multiplying the inequalities (\circ) and (ullet) leads to the inequality

$$g_1(n)g_2(n) \le \underbrace{c_1c_2}_{c; c>0} f_1(n)f_2(n) \text{ for } n > \underbrace{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}_{n_0}$$

proving the rule of products.

9/14

(ロ) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Rule of products

Big-Oh: Rule of Products

Rule-of-products (Lemma 1.18)

If $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_2)$, then $g_1g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_1f_2)$.

The proof:

- $\circ g_1(n) \leq c_1 f_1(n)$ for $n > n_1$, because $g_1 \in O(f_1)$.
- $g_2(n) \leq c_2 f_2(n)$ for $n > n_2$, because $g_2 \in O(f_2)$.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Multiplying the inequalities () and () leads to the inequality

$$g_1(n)g_2(n) \le \underbrace{c_1c_2}_{c; \ c>0} f_1(n)f_2(n) \text{ for } n > \underbrace{\max\{n_1, n_2\}}_{n_0}$$

proving the rule of products.

Rule of products

Big-Oh: Rule of Products

Informal meaning of the rule of products:

The product of upper bounds of functions gives an upper bound for the product of the functions.

Therefore,

- If $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ and $h \in \mathcal{O}(f)$, then $gh \in \mathcal{O}(f^2)$.
- If $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ and $h \in \mathcal{O}(f^k)$, then $gh \in \mathcal{O}(f^{k+1})$.
- If $g \in O(f)$ and h(n) is a given function, then $gh \in O(fh)$.

Examples:

- If $h \in O(n)$ and $g \in O(n^2)$, then $gh \in O(n^3)$.
- If $h \in O(\log n)$ and $g \in O(n)$, then $gh \in O(n \log n)$.

Limit rule

Big-Oh: The Limit Rule

Suppose the ratio's limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = L$ exists (may be infinite, ∞).

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Then} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \mbox{if} & L=0 & \mbox{then} & f\in \mathcal{O}(g) \\ \mbox{if} & 0< L<\infty & \mbox{then} & f\in \mathcal{O}(g) \\ \mbox{if} & L=\infty & \mbox{then} & f\in \Omega(g) \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

When f and g are positive and differentiable functions for x > 0, but $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} g(x) = \infty$ or $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} g(x) = 0$, the limit L can be computed using the standard **L'Hopital** rule of calculus:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$$

where $z'(x) \equiv \frac{dz(x)}{dx}$ denotes the first derivative of the function z(x).

ヘロン 人間と 人間と 人間と

Prove that exponential functions grow faster than powers:

 n^k is $O(b^n)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and $k \ge 0$.

The proof – either by induction, or what is simpler, by the limit rule using successive (k + 1 times) differentiation of $f(x) = x^k$ and $g(x) = b^x$ by x:

• Derivatives of $f(x) = x^k$ by x for $k \ge 0$:

$$\frac{dx^{k}}{dn} = kx^{k-1}; \qquad \qquad \frac{d^{2}x^{k}}{dx^{2}} = k(k-1)x^{k-2}; \quad \dots$$
$$\frac{d^{k}x^{k}}{dx^{k}} = k(k-1)\cdots 2 \cdot 1 = k!; \quad \frac{d^{k+1}x^{k}}{dx^{k+1}} = 0$$

Prove that exponential functions grow faster than powers:

 n^k is $O(b^n)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and $k \ge 0$.

The proof – either by induction, or what is simpler, by the limit rule using successive (k + 1 times) differentiation of $f(x) = x^k$ and $g(x) = b^x$ by x:

• Derivatives of $f(x) = x^k$ by x for $k \ge 0$:

$$\frac{dx^k}{dn} = kx^{k-1}; \qquad \qquad \frac{d^2x^k}{dx^2} = k(k-1)x^{k-2}; \quad \dots$$
$$\frac{d^kx^k}{dx^k} = k(k-1)\cdots 2\cdot 1 = k!; \quad \frac{d^{k+1}x^k}{dx^{k+1}} = 0$$

Prove that exponential functions grow faster than powers:

 n^k is $O(b^n)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and $k \ge 0$.

The proof – either by induction, or what is simpler, by the limit rule using successive (k + 1 times) differentiation of $f(x) = x^k$ and $g(x) = b^x$ by x:

• Derivatives of $f(x) = x^k$ by x for $k \ge 0$:

$$\frac{dx^k}{dn} = kx^{k-1}; \qquad \qquad \frac{d^2x^k}{dx^2} = k(k-1)x^{k-2}; \quad \dots$$
$$\frac{d^kx^k}{dx^k} = k(k-1)\cdots 2\cdot 1 = k!; \quad \frac{d^{k+1}x^k}{dx^{k+1}} = 0$$

• Derivatives of $g(x) = b^x$ by x:

$$\frac{db^x}{dx} = b^x \ln b; \qquad \frac{d^2 b^x}{dx^2} = b^x (\ln b)^2; \qquad \dots$$
$$\frac{d^k b^x}{dx^k} = b^x (\ln b)^k; \quad \frac{d^{k+1} b^x}{dx^{k+1}} = b^x (\ln b)^{k+1}$$

Therefore, by the L'Hopital rule, the limit of the ratio

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^k}{b^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{0}{b^n (\ln b)^{k+1}} = 0$$

for b > 1, proving that $n^k \in O(b^n)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and $k \ge 0$.

• Derivatives of $g(x) = b^x$ by x:

$$\frac{db^x}{dx} = b^x \ln b; \qquad \frac{d^2 b^x}{dx^2} = b^x (\ln b)^2; \qquad \dots$$
$$\frac{d^k b^x}{dx^k} = b^x (\ln b)^k; \quad \frac{d^{k+1} b^x}{dx^{k+1}} = b^x (\ln b)^{k+1}$$

• Therefore, by the L'Hopital rule, the limit of the ratio

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^k}{b^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{0}{b^n (\ln b)^{k+1}} = 0$$

for b>1, proving that $n^k\in {\rm O}(b^n)$ for all b>1, n>1, and $k\geq 0.$

Prove that logarithmic functions grow slower than powers:

 $\log_b n$ is $O(n^k)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and k > 0.

The proof:

- The first derivative of $f(x) = x^k$ by x is $\frac{dx^k}{dx} = kx^{k-1}$.
- The first derivative of $g(x) = \log_b x$ by x is $\frac{d \log_b x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x \ln b}$.
- By the limit rule, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} (k\ln b)n^k = \infty$ for n > 1, b > 1, and $k \ge 0$, proving $n^k \in \Omega(\log_b n)$, i.e. $\log_b n \in O(n^k)$.

As a result, $\log n \in O(n)$; $n \log n \in O(n^2)$, and $\log n \in O(n^{0.0001})$.

 $\log_b n$ is $O(\log n)$ for all b > 1 because $\log_b n = \log_b a \times \log_a n$

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Prove that logarithmic functions grow slower than powers:

 $\log_b n$ is $O(n^k)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and k > 0.

The proof:

- The first derivative of $f(x) = x^k$ by x is $\frac{dx^k}{dx} = kx^{k-1}$.
- The first derivative of $g(x) = \log_b x$ by x is $\frac{d \log_b x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x \ln b}$.
- By the limit rule, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} (k\ln b)n^k = \infty$ for n > 1, b > 1, and $k \ge 0$, proving $n^k \in \Omega(\log_b n)$, i.e. $\log_b n \in O(n^k)$.

As a result, $\log n \in O(n)$; $n \log n \in O(n^2)$, and $\log n \in O(n^{0.0001})$.

 $\log_b n$ is $O(\log n)$ for all b > 1 because $\log_b n = \log_b a \times \log_a n$

・ロン ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

Prove that logarithmic functions grow slower than powers:

 $\log_b n$ is $O(n^k)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and k > 0.

The proof:

- The first derivative of $f(x) = x^k$ by x is $\frac{dx^k}{dx} = kx^{k-1}$.
- The first derivative of $g(x) = \log_b x$ by x is $\frac{d \log_b x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x \ln b}$.
- By the limit rule, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (k \ln b)n^k = \infty$ for n > 1, b > 1, and $k \ge 0$, proving $n^k \in \Omega(\log_b n)$, i.e. $\log_b n \in O(n^k)$.

As a result, $\log n \in O(n)$; $n \log n \in O(n^2)$, and $\log n \in O(n^{0.0001})$

 $\log_b n$ is $O(\log n)$ for all b > 1 because $\log_b n = \log_b a \times \log_a n$

・ロン ・日ン ・日ン・

Prove that logarithmic functions grow slower than powers:

 $\log_b n$ is $O(n^k)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and k > 0.

The proof:

- The first derivative of $f(x) = x^k$ by x is $\frac{dx^k}{dx} = kx^{k-1}$.
- The first derivative of $g(x) = \log_b x$ by x is $\frac{d \log_b x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x \ln b}$.
- By the limit rule, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} (k\ln b)n^k = \infty$ for n > 1, b > 1, and $k \ge 0$, proving $n^k \in \Omega(\log_b n)$, i.e. $\log_b n \in O(n^k)$.

As a result, $\log n \in O(n)$; $n \log n \in O(n^2)$, and $\log n \in O(n^{0.0001})$

 $\log_b n$ is $O(\log n)$ for all b > 1 because $\log_b n = \log_b a \times \log_a n$

・ロン ・日ン ・日ン ・日ン

Prove that logarithmic functions grow slower than powers:

 $\log_b n$ is $O(n^k)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and k > 0.

The proof:

- The first derivative of $f(x) = x^k$ by x is $\frac{dx^k}{dx} = kx^{k-1}$.
- The first derivative of $g(x) = \log_b x$ by x is $\frac{d \log_b x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x \ln b}$.
- By the limit rule, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} (k\ln b)n^k = \infty$ for n > 1, b > 1, and $k \ge 0$, proving $n^k \in \Omega(\log_b n)$, i.e. $\log_b n \in O(n^k)$.

As a result, $\log n \in O(n)$; $n \log n \in O(n^2)$, and $\log n \in O(n^{0.0001})$.

 $\log_b n$ is $O(\log n)$ for all b > 1 because $\log_b n = \log_b a \times \log_a n$

ヘロン 人間 とくほう 人 ヨン

Prove that logarithmic functions grow slower than powers:

 $\log_b n$ is $O(n^k)$ for all b > 1, n > 1, and k > 0.

The proof:

- The first derivative of $f(x) = x^k$ by x is $\frac{dx^k}{dx} = kx^{k-1}$.
- The first derivative of $g(x) = \log_b x$ by x is $\frac{d \log_b x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x \ln b}$.
- By the limit rule, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} (k\ln b)n^k = \infty$ for n > 1, b > 1, and $k \ge 0$, proving $n^k \in \Omega(\log_b n)$, i.e. $\log_b n \in O(n^k)$.

As a result, $\log n \in O(n)$; $n \log n \in O(n^2)$, and $\log n \in O(n^{0.0001})$.

 $\log_b n$ is $O(\log n)$ for all b > 1 because $\log_b n = \log_b a \times \log_a n$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト