COMPSCI 366 S1 C 2006 Foundations of Artificial Intelligence —Qualitative Reasoning—

Hans W. Guesgen Computer Science Department



Limitations of Other Formalisms

- In some areas it is difficult to solve problems using general theories or rules.
- Therefore, alternative techniques are necessary, which often involve qualitative reasoning.

Line Labeling



Boundary line: > Concave interior line: -Convex interior line: +

Possible Junctions



Labeled Junctions



The Waltz Algorithm

- 1. Put all junctions that occur in the drawing on to a stack.
- 2. While the stack is not empty do:
 - (a) Let J be the top element of the stack.
 - (b) Pop J from the stack.
 - (c) If J is visited for the first time, initialize J with all labelings for this type of junction.
 - (d) If J has been visited before, compare J's labelings with the labelings of each neighboring junction and delete those of J's labelings that are not consistent with at least one neighboring labeling.
 - (e) If J's labelings have been changed in step 2c or 2d, push the neighboring junctions of J on to the stack (unless already there).

Naive Physics

- Based on the intuition about physical phenomena.
- May readily produce the qualitative answers needed in a situation.
- Can directly operate on qualitative input, which would make detailed numeric calculations pointless.
- Is computationally less expensive than quantitative reasoning.

Example: Temperature on a Qualitative Scale

- F: frozen ($x < 0 \,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$)
- f: freezing $(x = 0 \,^{\circ}\mathrm{C})$
- C: chilled $(0 \circ C < x < R)$
- R: room temperature ($18 \,^{\circ}\text{C} < x < 25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$)
- W: warm (R < x < H)
- H: hot $(40 \,^{\circ}\text{C} < x < 100 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$
- B: boiling $(x = 100 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$

Qualitative Temperature Control

	Put in freezer	Put in fridge	Leave out	Heat on stove
F	F	$f \rightarrow C$	$f \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow R$	$f \rightarrow C \rightarrow R \rightarrow$
				$VV \rightarrow H \rightarrow B$
f	F	C	$C \to R$	$C \rightarrow R \rightarrow W \rightarrow$
				$H \rightarrow R$
C	$f \rightarrow F$	C	R	$R \mathop{\rightarrow} W \mathop{\rightarrow} H \mathop{\rightarrow}$
				В
R	$C \longrightarrow f \longrightarrow F$	С	R	$W \to H \to B$
W	$R \to C \to f \to F$	$R \rightarrow C$	R	$H \rightarrow B$
Η	$W \rightarrow R \rightarrow C \rightarrow$	$W \rightarrow R \rightarrow C$	$W \rightarrow R$	В
	$f \rightarrow F$			
В	$H \rightarrow W \rightarrow R \rightarrow$	$H \rightarrow W \rightarrow R \rightarrow C$	$H \rightarrow W \rightarrow R$	В
	$C \rightarrow f \rightarrow F$			

Qualitative Addition

+	empty	partly full	full
empty	empty	partly full	full
partly full	partly full	partly full, full, or full $^+$	full ⁺
full	full	full ⁺	full ⁺

Temporal Reasoning

- Time is most commonly conceptualized as a numeric attribute of events.
- Humans reason about time even when precise numeric representations of time are not available.
- This is often accomplished by using the ordering information associated with events and time intervals.

Relations between Time Intervals

Relation	Short	Inverse			
$\operatorname{Equal}(t,t')$	=				
$\operatorname{Before}(t,t')$	<	>		 	$\leftarrow t'$
$\mathrm{Meets}(t,t')$	m	mi		 	t'
$\operatorname{Overlaps}(t,t')$) о	oi		¦ ¦ ¦ ∢	t'
$\mathrm{Starts}(t,t')$	\mathbf{S}	si		 	t'
$\operatorname{During}(t,t')$	d	di	◄	 	t'
$\operatorname{Finishes}(t,t')$	f	fi			ו ו ו

Allen's Composition Table (incomplete)

Relation between t_1 and t_2						
	Relation between t_2 and t_3					
	<	>	m	mi	• • •	
<	<	?	<	<, m, o, s, d	• • •	
	?	>	>, mi, oi, d, f	>	• • •	
m	<	>, mi, oi, si, di	<	$=, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{fi}$	• • •	
mi	<, m, o, di, fi	>	$=, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{si}$	>	• • •	
:	E					