## Combinations of Range Data and Panoramic Images

Reinhard Klette (Auckland University, CITR, New Zealand) and Karsten Scheibe (Berlin-Adlershof, DLR, Germany)



#### 350 Megapixel 380 degrees panoramic image

[view from the top of Auckland's harbor bridge, 2002; PhD projects by Shou-kang Wei, Fay Huang, Karsten Scheibe]

















How to visualize 350 Megapixel images ? How to use them for 3D scene modeling? More tools available for this task?



[here: graduate project by Xin Zhang at CITR, Auckland]



distance data ---- from laser range finder + texture ---- from panoramic images

- allow multiple viewpoints

 panoramic images are of higher resolution than LRF scans

## Outline of Talk

(1) Sensors: LRF and Rotating line cameras (2) Stereo with rotating line cameras (3) Optimized camera parameters (4) Calibration of sensors (5) Unification of multiple scans (6) Textured surfaces (7) Conclusions



DLR Berlin-Adlershof: design and production of airborne sensors (including alternative applications)



Set-Up for the Ruby Laser

first laser range finder (LRF): it used ruby lasers and was demonstrated less than a year after the laser's discovery in 1960 at Hughes (time-of-flight LRFs) Phase-difference LRFs allow to measure very accurate range values as well as intensity (gray) values. A scene is illuminated point by point, and time-of-flight and phase differences are measured for light that is reflected from surfaces. Combining such an LRF with a (rotating) deflection mirror also allows to measure horizontal and vertical angles.

#### Example: LARA 53500 of Zoller und Fröhlich, Germany

#### company data



	LARA 25200	LARA 53500		
Distance up to	25.2m	53.5m		
Error in range data	< 3mm	< 5 mm		
Data acquisition rate	< 625 Kpx/s	< 500 Kpx/s		
Laser output power	22 mW	32m₩ 780 nm		
Laser wavelength	780 nm			
Beam divergence	0.22 mrad	0.22 mrad		
Laser safety class	3R (DIN EN 60825-1)	3R (DIN EN 60825-1)		
Field of view vertical	310°	310°		
Field of view horizontal	360°	360°		
the second s				



#### Intensity data

[Office of King Ludwig, Neuschwanstein]



#### Range data (same LRF scan)

[Office of King Ludwig, Neuschwanstein]





CCD line cameras designed and build at DLR for space missions



used for panoramic images (rotation)



Stereo options proposed by CITR, joint experiments in Auckland 2002

ω

R

## (2) Stereo with Rotating Line Cameras

Stereo with pinhole cameras: well-studied subject



see (e.g.)



#### Stereo with rotating line cameras: for example two CCD lines with different viewing angles ()



#### More options in general:



different view points, rotation radius' R, or viewing angles  $\omega$ 

#### Depth calculation in a symmetric pair of panoramic images:

disparity d with  $0 < d < \frac{W}{2}$ 

or angular disparity  $\theta = \frac{2\pi d}{W}$  with  $0^\circ < d < 180^\circ$ 

#### then depth (or distance)

$$D = \frac{R\sin\omega}{\sin\left(\omega - \frac{d\pi}{W}\right)} = \frac{R\sin\omega}{\sin\left(\omega - \frac{\theta}{2}\right)}$$

#### Epipolar curves (for detecting corresponding pixels):





### (3) Optimized Camera Parameters



#### potential samples of a symmetric panorama

#### Scene model indoor:





Close range outdoor 6 ... 50 m

#### Inward case:



<u>Given</u>: width W of a panoramic image to be captured, and model about stereo viewing <u>Goal</u>: avoid dipodia by allowing only a maximum disparity of  $\theta_w$  (use 0.03 times viewing distance)

Optimization problem for symmetric panoramas (i.e., those which are stereo viewable): Calculate R and  $\omega$  such that number of samples in scene space between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ is maximized, and angular disparity is always less or equal  $\theta_w$ .

#### Three parameters for modeling a scene:



#### Unique (!) solution:

$$R = \sqrt{D_1^2 + H_1^2 + 2D_1H_1} \frac{D_1 - D_2\cos\left(\frac{\theta_w}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{D_1^2 + D_2^2 - 2D_1D_2\cos\left(\frac{\theta_w}{2}\right)}}$$

$$\omega = \arccos\left(\frac{D_1^2 - H_1^2 - R^2}{2H_1R}\right)$$

The total number of potential samples of a symmetric panorama is  $\left|\frac{\omega W}{\pi}\right|(2W-1)H$ 

where H is the number of pixels in each column (the height). Note: no influence of R

(1) (2) (3) (4a) 2	$   \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16232 18550 21249	10.48 9.17	0.2499 0.5809	146.88	
$ \begin{array}{c c} (2) & & \\ (3) & & \\ (4a) & & 2 \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$   \begin{array}{c cc}     0 & 4.2 \\     0 & 5.5   \end{array} $	18550	9.17	0.5809	113.92	
(3) (4a) 2	6 5	0 5.5	21240				
(4a) 2	0 00		21249	8.00	0.6768	44.66	
	0 20	0 20.0	19478	8.74	1.6942	92.43	
(4b) 2	0 20	0 20.0	19478	5.00	0.9695	91.39	
in meter / also calculated 70 pixel (see above)							



CITR (Auckland): anaglyphic panorama, 350 Megapixel: two panoramic images of 10,000 x 35,000 pixels each combined into one stereo viewable panoramic image

[Shou-kang Wei, Fay Huang, ... 2002, DLR and CITR]

## (4) Calibration of Sensors

a. production-site calibration of camera



b. calibration before capturing data b.1 intrinsic parameters R,  $\omega$ , ... of camera b.2 extrinsic parameters (affine transforms) for camera and range finder

#### Preparing for capturing data





indoor: Drottingholm, Sweden outdoor: Wörlitz, Germany

#### Method 1 for calibrating R and $\omega$



#### Method 2 for calibrating R and $\omega$





# uncalibrated LRF data



Calibration: (1) local polar coordinates (2) world coordinates (affine transform)

#### local coordinates of camera data:



Polar coordinates as well!
(due to similarity of sensor geometry)
IMU (inertial measuring unit) allows
to identify φ with error ≤ 1/1000°
Calibration: (1) local polar coordinates
(2) world coordinates (affine transform)

## (5) Unification of Multiple Scans

Least-square error approach for calibration of affine transforms (see conference article for details)

workflow

- a. all range data (and camera data) in same world coordinate system
- b. triangulation of range data for each view point
- c. unification and simplification of triangulations
- d. geometric correction of unified triangulations





Digital surface model (DSM) by triangulation: [T. Bodenmüller, DLR Berlin]

- a. thinning of points ("density check")
  b. approximation of normals based on local surface approximation
- c. point insertion depending on normals and density
- d. estimation of Euclidean neighborhoods
  e. projection of neighborhoods into tangential planes
  f. local Delaunay triangulation creates DSM

#### Detection of planar surface patches, edges, ...





## (6) Textured Surfaces

Spatial density of range data less than spatial density of camera data: <u>texture triangles by camera data</u>







Low-resolution anaglyphic visualization (Neuschwanstein)





#### Separated surface parts with textures

[C. Sparchholz, K. Scheibe et al., 2005]





## (7) Conclusions

Described projects go to the limits of available computer technology (memory, speed)

Open problems: Reduction of influence of lighting effects, elimination of shadows, filtering of range data, visualization of high-resolution images ... rendered surfaces, and many more







An animation (HRSC, DLR) illustrating further fusion of multiple sensor data

## The End